



in November, the interest now centers in New York and Indiana. The Republicans will try hard to carry these two states, which are the homes of the Democratic candidates for president and vice-president. As to able for the Republicans. Butler's circus gets its principal support from an element outside of the Republican party. It is claimed by good judges that the interior of the state of New York, including the western half, will roll up a majority of not less than 60,000 for the Republicans. The question is, will this majority be overcome by the adverse majorities cast in New York and Brooklyn? It is not believed that the majorities in those cities will exceed thirty thousand, and almost certainly not more than forty thousand. John Kelly does not believe that thirty thousand majority in New York and Brooklyn will give the state to Cleveland. Me-Pherson, secretary of the National Republican Committee, estimates the Republican majority in New York state as approaching fifty thousand. Probably this estimate is too large. but the judgment of a man who has good information is of some value.

There are large and enthusiastic demonstrations in New York and Brooklyn, the Democratic strongholds for Cleveland. But then these cities do not control the vote of that state. The interior and the western part roll up the tremendous majorities. They will not be less this year than they were four years ago. No one can predict in advance just what the majorities of the two great cities here mentioned may be. But it is fair to assume that the relation of their vote to the other parts of the state will not vary greatly from that of four years ago.

In Indiana Blaine's prospects are as good as were Garfield's four years ago. At that time Indiana had a candidate for the vice-presidency in the person of English. It now has a candidate for the same office, in the person of Hendricks. Four years ago almost superhuman efforts were made to carry that state for the Democracy. English had the mortification of seeing his own state contribute to his defeat. The relation of parties now does not seem to be materially changed. Hendricks is hardly s more popular man than was



