

THE ENTERPRISE.

OREGON CITY, OREGON, FEB. 18, 1876.

Reverdy Johnson.

The death of Reverdy Johnson, which is announced in this issue, occurred on the evening of the 10th inst., at Annapolis, Maryland, the place of his birth. Attending circumstances lead to the conclusion that he was stricken with apoplexy when he fell, inflicting the fatal contusion from which he died instantly. Mr. Johnson was born on the 21st day of May, 1796. He passed through a collegiate course at St. John's college in his native State, after which he studied law and was admitted to the bar in 1815. Two years later he commenced practice in Baltimore, where he has since resided.

As a lawyer Mr. Johnson was eminently successful and soon attained a high and enviable position. He was elected State Senator in 1821, and re-elected in 1825. In 1825 he was chosen United States Senator, and was afterwards appointed, by President Taylor, Attorney-General of the republic. In 1863, Mr. Johnson was sent as United Minister to the Court of St. James, and during his stay there effected a treaty with the British Government for the adjustment of the Alabama claims, which, however, failed to receive ratification by Congress. He returned home in 1869, being succeeded by Mr. Motley. During his long professional career he has occupied a position as a jurist unsurpassed by any other. Although at the time of his death he was in his eightieth year and enfeebled by age his great intellect remained in its full vigor. The place he occupied at the bar of the Supreme Court of the United States will not easily be filled.

Rules for Readers.

We have no doubt that the majority of the readers of the Enterprise take a certain interest in its welfare and would like to see it well maintained. It keeps you posted on current events, and perhaps occasionally gives a few practical suggestions. If our readers in return wish to have their paper ably sustained, we submit for their consideration some rules dictated by actual experience. Subscribe and pay for your paper. Send your printing and advertising to this office. Help to make the paper interesting by sending in items and communications. Lay aside all fears that the proprietor will grow rich. Do not expect too much from the editor, but be content in believing that he has done the best he could to make the paper interesting. Remember that the editor is only mortal, and that very seldom indeed is one found with the wisdom of Solomon. If the editor be not as intelligent as you, remember that it is not his fault, and that you should be content with a knowledge of your superiority, without airing it on the occasion of a typographical error. These rules well applied would make a wonderful difference in the exchequer of the Enterprise.

Oregon's Appropriations.

It is very true that the Oregon Senators and our solitary member of the House have been looking after the interests of our State with all requisite vigilance; and it is also true that bills asking appropriations for this, that and the other thing have been introduced in our behalf, - but will it all amount to anything? Will these bills ever bring the money? Our delegation may call for appropriations from the "easy" deep, but will they come, that is the question? From the very economical stand made by the present House, by its cutting down of all extravagances and everything that has a remote appearance of the unnecessary, by its vetoing of all bills to anything in the shape of a subsidy, we are led to believe that even should our delegation perform superhuman feats in Congress and in the lobby, Oregon's rivers and railroads will remain in blissful state.

THE OVERLAND MAIL.—The Oregonian goes after Postal Agent Underwood with a sharp stick for the non-fulfillment of contract to run a daily mail from Redding to Roseburg. It seems that the stages make the trip every day, but leave the mail to be forwarded from San Francisco by steamer. This dereliction of duty confines Oregonians to one mail a week, which, to newspaper men, is no trifling inconvenience. The government pays for a daily mail between Redding and Roseburg, so if Mr. Underwood does not wish to undergo the "decapitation process," he had better see that he does it.

THE DEMOCRATIC STATE CONVENTION will convene at Salem, Wednesday, April 16, 1876, at 11 o'clock a. m., to select six delegates to the National Democratic Convention, candidates for Judges of the Supreme Court in the second, third and fifth judicial districts, and for prosecuting attorneys in the several judicial districts, and for the transaction of such other business as may be thought proper.

The next time the Albany Register uses two of our editorials, in one issue, without crediting them, we will recommend its readers to at once subscribe for the Enterprise.

A Good Bill.

We have lately read with pleasure of a bill having been introduced into the New York Legislature with the aim to protect married women in the enjoyment and control of their separate property.

In endorsing this bill we do not wish to be pounced upon as a woman suffragist, any more than Horace Greeley wanted to be considered a secessionist when he signed his name to Jefferson Davis's bill bond; we think this bill just and right, and as such defend it.

The bill provides that any married woman possessed of separate property may enter into contract for the purchase of real and personal estate, or for any other purpose, or may take upon herself any obligation with like effect in all respects as if she were unmarried. The bill also provides that "the real estate of the husband of any female who may hereafter marry shall not be liable for any debt or right in said female prior to the death of said husband," and provides still further that on the death of any married woman possessed of real estate, the husband shall have a marital right to her real estate undivided by will, equivalent to dower. The intention is evidently to put husband and wife on a basis of equality in respect to the owning and disposition of separate property. A peculiarity of the bill is the extending of the operation of the law to all "females," instead of being confined to those of the human race—this, however, we presume, will in no way interfere with its efficacy.

A Big Scheme.

The Albany Democrat seems to have no very high confidence in the actual business intentions of the gentlemen who have recently been advertised as the future publishers of the Portland Bulletin:

We see by the Oregonian, that articles of incorporation have been filed in the proper offices, by Messrs. Wm. B. Cook, Wm. A. Dailey, and Wm. A. Hart, of the "Bulletin Publishing Company of Portland, Ogn." The business which the corporation is formed to engage in is, and shall be, the publication of daily and weekly newspapers, and the execution of printing in all its branches in the city of Portland. The amount of the capital stock of the corporation shall be \$200,000, divided into 200 shares of \$100 each, duration 20 years.

Yes, we think we catch the idea of these three Williams. When Holladay comes home and he and Flaxbrake want to start up the now defunct Bulletin, won't they have to pay those three bills at a high figure to get their corporation out of the fields? It is a pretty sharp scheme on the part of the boys, and we hope they will make it pan out.

A GOOD CUT.—Our foreign ministers, as a general thing, are men well to do in this world, and accept the positions more for the honor than any monetary attraction. The cutting down therefore of the pay attached to these offices we look upon as thoroughly just and a move in the right direction. The bill as reported from the committee on appropriations appropriates \$222,847, and fixes the pay of ministers to Great Britain, France, Germany and Russia, at \$14,000 each; to Spain, Austria, Brazil, Mexico and Japan, \$10,000; to Italy, \$8,000; to Portugal, Switzerland, Belgium, Netherlands, Denmark, Sweden and Norway, Turkey, Venezuela and Hawaiian Islands, \$6,500 each; to Chili and Bolivia (one mission), \$6,500; to Peru, Ecuador and Colombia (one mission), \$6,500; to the Argentine Republic, Paraguay, and Uruguay (one mission), \$6,500; to Guatemala, Costa Rica, Honduras, Salvador and Nicaragua (one mission), \$4,000; and to Liberia, \$4,000.

BULLY.—This is the way Bro. Bull hits politicians who refuse to take the East Oregonian:

(Hon.) T. H. Cann, says the Sentinel, has returned from California. We thought so, for we received an epistle like this:

"Pursuant to instructions from the Postmaster General, I beg leave to inform you that your paper addressed to Thos. H. Cann, is not taken out, but remains dead in this office. Reason, he does not want it."

Perhaps Mr. Thos. H. Cann, when he depends upon the people for office may want the East Oregonian. If he does he can have it by paying for one year in advance. Selah!

The Springfield Republican, in its Presidential calculations, arrives at the following conclusions: "A man whose whole strength is wholly with and within the party—Mr. Blaine's case—will not fill the bill. With Mr. Grant, Mr. Morton, Mr. Hayes, or Mr. Conkling, Republican success is simply impossible; with Mr. Adams, it is possible; with Mr. Adams and Mr. Brewster, it is certain." This is Sam Bowles' opinion, and we give it for what it is worth.

When a lady uses the word "scissors" as a last resort, we pity her resources; but when at the same time she uses the scissors to make clippings from the Enterprise, in order to give "snaps" and vitality to her paper, it behooves us to congratulate her on a display of good taste and judgment rarely found among female journalists.

The Owyhee Armbruce says the Maggie mine, in the Wagontown district, is richer than ever.

Summary of the Babcock Trial.

The first witness for the Government was Wm. J. Barrett, gangster of the ring. He acknowledged that acting under instructions from superior officers, he committed a fraud while at Bovins & Frazer's real-estate house, and used to find on Saturday evening an envelope in his overcoat pocket containing from \$100 to \$200. Two whisky barrel heads with the gangster's stamp on them were produced in court, with the stub books from which the money was taken. On comparison, it was shown that in each case the stamp on the barrel registered the contents as forty-six proof gallons, while the corresponding stub reported only five proof gallons to the collector for taxation. Barrett said he never saw him to the sub-treasury with a package of \$1,000 in small bills, to get two \$100 bills. He brought them back and Joyce put them in envelopes, and told him to take them across the street and put them in the letter box. Wm. J. Barrett said he was asked if he observed the directions on the letters. Before he could answer, the defense interposed an objection, claiming that the prosecution were now trying to show that Babcock's name was one of those who had been in the room at the time. A long argument ensued.

Edward B. Frazer testified to having seen, in whole, or in part, telegrams, or what purported to be telegrams, in the hands of Joyce, informant of the contemplated fraud, and the revenue agent. Witness always straightened up his house on such occasions and it almost always happened agents came after information had been received.

J. W. Douglas, ex-commissioner of internal revenue testified as follows: Several revenue agents were sent to St. Louis in 1872, but I received no definite information from them. Later Brooks and Hoge were sent especially to look into Bovins & Frazer's affairs, and that firm paid the government \$10,000 as a compromise. When I sent Joyce to California, it was to get him out of the way of the agents, whom I sent here and who complained of an excessive attention on his part, visiting and dining them so they could not do any work. He had a conversation with Rogers with regard to the investigation in the West. I remember showing a copy of a letter received, written by Brooks, saying that delay would prove equally profitable to the government and gratifying to ourselves, or something of that kind. A copy of the letter was shown to me at the White House by Gen. Babcock. He said the agent had shown it to him, and he was afraid it was being leaked out, and he told me not to say anything about it. I told him I had great confidence in the men. He said, "What might a sensitive man like Logan say if he saw that letter had been given confidentially to the government?" He said he would not say anything about it. Some two or three months before Richardson went out of office, I had a talk with the President, and told him things were crooked in St. Louis, and I told him that the government was making it, and would result largely to the benefit of the treasury. He concurred with me. Afterwards Bristow came into office, and we talked the matter over. He concluded to wait until the next election, and then we waited, and then there were a number of senatorial elections, and we had to wait until they were over. We were in the midst of the Presidential election, and that was another obstacle. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject. He told me I was not to make any conversation with the defendant on the matter. The transfer was to take place on the 15th of February. He said that he arranged to make a transfer after I addressed the letter to the Secretary, and the transfer of supervisors was made, and the conversation with the defendant. The conversation was commenced by him calling my attention to the subject.