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REPRIEVES, COMMUTATIONS AND
PARDONS.


REPRIEVES, COMMAUTATIONS AND
PARDONS.
(Extrat trom Gis. Thayert Mengese]
The Constitution and laws of the State
require the Governor to report to the require the Governor to report to th
Legislative ansembly, each case of re prieve, commutation and pardon granted
by him, and the reauons for granting the by him, and the reauons for granting the
same. Also the names of all persons in
whose favor the remissions of fines and whose favor the remissions of fines and
forfeiturex shall have been made, and the
several amounts remitted. In obedience
to that requirement, I submit at this
time, my report upon that subject. The
number of commutations, as you will obnusber of commutations, as you will ob-
serve fron the report in serve fron the report, is large, probably
much larger than has ever been granted
in this State during the same length of in this state during the same length of
time. There are two reasons for this
The one is, that there has been a greater number of conviets in the penitentiary
during the time referred to than at any
prior period of like duration. The other prior period of like duration. The other
is, that in nearly all cases wherc convicts have been clischarged before the expira-
tion of their term of sentence, a commu-
tation tation has been granted, while formerly a practice prevailed of renitting a por-
tion of the sentence, or, as it was terned, allowing extra time in favor of a party
on account of some extra service, and
and on account of some extra service, and
discharging him without granting any
commutation or pardon, but the same as if he had served the full terru subject to
the remission for merits as provided by statute, this resulted in allowing more
credit for merits than the statute author-
izal. 1 have no desire to criticise the Tzen. 1 have no desire to criticise the
practice referred to, of allowing extra
time to convicts for some extra habor or
meritorious services, beyond that which meritorious services, beyond that which
they $u$ ay entitle themselves to under the
rule alid down in the statute. I have
adopted the prictice myself, Jut have not adepted the practice myself, Jut have not
authorized the discharge of the convict
in such cases, without a formal commutain such cases, without a formal commuta-
tion or pardon, if I blieved him entitled
to a pardon. You will notice in a great majority of the cases of commutation,
the convicts have served ncarly all the
time specified in their sentence The olje spectined of granting their sentence. Thenution in
such cases, has been to render the dis charge legal The fuet, however, has
frequently heen commented upon by parties, with as much apparent serious-
ness as if there had been an important exercise of the pardoning power.
The framemo of the Constitution very
nor




