### **Political Tactics.**

#### La Grande, July 26th, 1888. EDITOR SCOUT :--

In the last issue of THE SCOUT you seek to contrast the position taken by the republican party in their national platform in 1884 with that of 1888, in which, by an ingenious omission, you make a most glaring false statement. Ingalls, of Kansas, rake up his (Voor-Perhaps not a false statement, but permit a wrongful conclusion. At all times, Mr. Editor, you have claimed THE SCOUT to be truly independent in politics, as in all other things. What is to be understood by independence is not to be wanting of opinion, but to have an opinion and to give expression to the same without hesitation. To have opinions on any subject and not express them is to be neutral. To of their inhabitants justifies it, to form have opinions and to express them, a constitution and be admitted into whether they may be for or against the union upon terms of perfect equalone party or the other is independence. Following out this line of thought, we take it that the columns of THE SCOUT are open to discussion upon any and all subjects. In your last issue you say that the position taken by the reupblican party in 1888 is diametrically opposed to that of 1884-that is. that in 1884 that party declared itself proper thing for you, Mr. Editor, to in favor of a reduction of the revenue arising from tariff taxes, and in 1888 that party declared itself opposed to democratic party for the past two score such a course of action. Unthoughtedly, perhaps, on your part, you have stated the position of the republican party falsely. The republican party did not in its platform of 1888, nor at any other time, delare its opposition to the reduction of surplus revenue, and on the contrary, by its platform, by its speakers on the stump and through the medium of the press, every expression has been favorable to a reduction of the revenue. But, as you, Mr. Editor, know this issue now is as to how shall the revenue be re duced? All agree that it must be reduced.

President Cleveland in his message to congress says the best policy is to take the tariff off of raw materials, giving as a reason that it will enable the manufacturer to obtain his raw materials cheaper, and thereby manufacture his goods cheaper. In other words he wants the manufacturer, with his millions, his "trusts," combinations and monopolies protected, while the farmer, the producer, the laborer and the poor man must compete with foreign chean labor. Do you, Mr. Editor, as the manager of an independent journal, with the interest of your readers at heart, regardless of party, approve of such doctrine? Your subscribers are composed mostly of producers. Where you have one manufacturer, you have two hundred producers as patrons. When you decrease the income of the producer by advocating a reduction of the price he must obtain fer that which he produces, are you laboring in his interests, or are you stooping to the level of the wiley politician, and allowing your political

nunciation of the republicans for prosecuting the war. Do you think they have never changed their opinion on this subject? It is said that Thurman wrote the

plank in the platform of 1864 declar-ing the war a failure. Do you suppose he would point with pride to that great act of his life? Does Voorhees, of Indiana, like to have such men as hees') old speeches during the war and parade them before the public? Even the silver-tongued orator sent up to teach Oregon democrats the benefits of free trade, winced when his attention was called to his "youthful indiscretions during the war."

In 1856 the democratic platform recognized the right of the people in all territories, "whenever the number ity with the other states." Does the democratic party adhere to and practice that doctrine with regard to Washington and Dakota to-day?

What we have said, Mr. Editor, has been in all kindness, believing you to be fair-minded enough to give both sides of a question. It is hardly the censure the republicun party for a change in sentiment, inasmuch as the years has done nothing but change opinions. READER.

Sore Eyes

The eyes are always in sympathy with the body, and afford an excellent index of its condition. When the eyes become weak, and the lids inflamed and sore, it is an evidence that the system has become disordered by Scrofula, for which Ayer's Sarsaparilla is the best known remedy.

Scrofula, which produced a painful infammation in my eyes, caused me much suffering for a number of years. By the advice of a physician I commenced taking Ayer's Sarsaparilla. After using this medicine a short time I was completely

Cured

My eyes are now in a splendid condi-tion, and I am as well and strong as ever. —Mrs. William Gage, Concord, N. H.

For a number of years I was troubled with a humor in my eyes, and was troubled with a humor in my eyes, and was unable to obtain any relief until I commenced using Ayer's Sarsaparilla. This medi-cine has effected a complete cure, and I believe it to be the best of blood puri-dense of the base of blood purifiers.-C. E. Upton, Nashua, N. H.

From childhood, and until with a few months, I have been afflicted with Weak and Sore Eyes. I have used for these complaints, with beneficial results, Ayer's Sarsaparilla, and consider it a great blood purifier. — Mrs. C. Phillips, Glover, Vt.

I suffered for a year with inflamma-tion in my left eye. Three ulcers formed on the ball, depriving me of sight, and causing great pain. After trying many other remedies, to no purpose, I was final-ly induced to use Ayer's Sarsaparilla.

#### **By Taking**

three bottles of this medicine I have been entirely cured. My sight has been re-stored, and there is no sign of inflamma-tion, sore, or ulcer in my eye. - Kendal

Notice is hereby given that in complement with the provisions of the act of Congress of June 3, 1878, entitled "An set for the sale of timber lands in the States of Califernia. Oregon, Nevada, and Washington Territo-ry." HARLOW B. DRAKE. of Union, County of Union, State of Oregon, has this day filed in this office his sworn statement No. 40, for the purchase of the NE qr. SE qr. Section 23, and NW qr. SW qr. of Section No. 34, in Township No. 4 South Range No. 29 East. and will offer proof to show that the land sought is more valuable for its timber or stone than for ag-ricultural purposes, and to establish his claim to said land before the register and receiver of this office at La Grande. Ore-gon, on Monday the 5th day of Oct., 1888. He names as witnesses: Robert Yerkes, W. H. Stafford, C. L. Blakeslee and A. C. Craig, all of Union, Oregon, Any and all Baseball Tournament

Timbe

# \$750.00

IN PREMIUMS.

A grand basebail torunament will be held at Union, Oregon, commencing

and continuing six days.

PREMIUMS

First Premium

Second Premium

Third Premium

ganized.

7-27-w10

Monday, August 27, 1888,

quartz mining chaim. This chaim is situa-ted in Granite mining district in Union county, Oregon, about one haif mile above the arastra of Wm. Horper, on the right bank of Elk creek, and is also called the "O. R. & N" claim, as will appear by certifi-cate of location and amended location filed August 21st and September 1st. 1885 in the office of the district recorder of said dis-trict, in order to hold said premises under the provisions of Section 2324, revised stat-utes of the United States, being the amount required to hold the same for the year en-ding Dec. 31st, 1887, and if within ninety

Land, Act June 3- 1872--- Notice

for Publication.

U. S. LAND OFFICE, LA GRANDE, OFFICE, A GRANDE, A GRAND, A

July 16, 1888. Notice is hereby given that in compliance

Craig, all of Union, Oregon. Any and all persons claiming adversely the above-de-scribed lands are requested to file their claims in this office on or before said 8th day of October, 1888.

ADMINISTRATRIX NOTICE.

are hereby notified to present the same, du-ly verified, to use at my prace of residence

NOTICE OF FORFEITURE.

To W. H. Creed, Thomas Fitch, L. Blu-

County of Union, State of Oregon,

To whom it may concern:

HENRY RINEHART,

Register

County court of the State of Ogn, for Union county, to me directed and delivered, bear ing date of May 9th, 1888, commanding me to levy upon the goods and chattles of the delinquent tax-payers named on the delin-quent tax roll for said county for the years 1886 and 1887 thereto attached, and if none be found, then upon the real property as set forth and described in the said delinquent tax roll or so much thereof as shall satisfy the amount of taxes charged therein, together with costs and expenses, I have duly levied (having been unable to find any goods or chattles belonging to the delin-quent hereinafter named) upon the follow ing described pieces or parcels of land as set forth in said tax roll, lying and being in



ents in your own State or County, write to C. A. SNOW & Co., Register.



days after this notice you fail or refuse to contribute your proportion of the expendi-\$200.00 ture as a co-owner, your interest in said claim will become the property of the sub- $150\ 00\ 100.00$ claim will become the property of the su-scribers, under said section. Dated this 12th day of April, 1888. MIRS, ALICE EASTON, J. K. MALONEY, W. T. WRIGHT, J. W. SHELTON. SHERIFF'S SALE. By virtue of a warrant issued out of the Competition for the above premiums open to all clubs in Eastern Oregon. Eastern Washington and Idaho. Member h p of competing nines limi ed to resident players of the county in which their club is or Union, Oregan. 6-22-w6

HENRY RINEBART,

## NOTICE OF FORFEITURE.

County of Union, State of Oregon. To John Hepburn and Samuel Merchant — You and each of you are hereby notified that I have expended one hundred dollars

reasurer's

The following county warrants will be paid on presentation, viz: Class S. No's, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006;

Jass T. No's, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 Interest ceases July 10, 1888.

NOTICE FOR FUBLICATION.

LAND OFFICE AT LA GRANDE, ORECON,

