THE CORVaLLIS GaIETTE

The doctrines of free-trade and The doctrines of free-trade and
of rrotection belong to the domain of Political Economy. The
questions themselves are not local questions but acknowledged principles of Political Science. Which of these principles should be ap-
plied in conducting the government is a local question only so
far as the interests affected by far as the interests affected by
the principles adopted exist in this, that or another locality
Which set of principles to apply Which set of principles to apply
whether those of free-trade o those of protection, depends upon
which will work to the greater which will work to the greate
benefit of all the interest involved. Because that is because those princi-
ples belong to Political Economy and not to mere party politics, protectionists as well as free-
traders are found in both parties. It is true, the democratic party
early opposed protection and ad early opposed protection and adward free-trade, and this is the present attitude
The first tariff law, that of 1789, was discussed and settled When slave labor became highly remunerative in the south and the production of cotton and tobacco tries the people of the south, like all agricultural people, favoredthe passed the south became the con-
trolling force in the democratio party. and that party became the trolling force of the Whig, and publican party was in the manufacturing north. Protection then became, in turn, a policy of these sition of the two parties, Demo and explains how these questions of political economy came to be
regarded by many men as simply party policies of local importance

But, observe; our northern and western farmers see that protec-
tion benefits them more than free-trade does. The south sees that she must establish manufac-
turing if she would develop her boundless resources and attain her full measure of prosperity. Prouth, and the day is not far distant, we may hope, when these they should be, as questions of political science, and decided upen tical application to the conduct o ests of the American people. This is the hope and desire of protecpurpose $\qquad$

## $\xrightarrow{C H E D E A}$

The believers in heredity are tant ancestry for evidence of mamoral conditions which, after of non-appearance, reappear in some remote descendant with in Whatever may be the truth o prepared to accept as true all that that constitutes a man to the influence of heredity. It is true nd has the race of mean be he mathod Nature pursues, and he makes no mistakes. If she ity of any sort it is in resentment of some interference with her The Spartans put themseives i
caine an ideal race of men.
But what of the power of envi But what of the power of envihere may be found the greatest
of all forces in moulding human
true constitutional representatrue constitutional representa-
tives of the people chosen by certain equal groups of the peo-
ple to represent them in the ple to represent them in the
conduct of the general gov-
ernment. They are intended to ernment. They are intended to fore it is fitting they should be chosen by the people, and the
constitution provides they shall be

have no constituency, are not chosen to represent the people of their state but to represent, rather, the Government of the United
States. Their responsibility and accountability are not to the peo-
ple of their state but to its conple of their state but
stituted Government.
For this reason, among others, it is most appropriate that the chief and most powerful branch of the State Government should elect U. S. Senators and that they
should be of equal number from should be of equal nare the proviseach state. Such are
ions of the constitution.
Possibly we are mistaken in clinging somewhat reverently Washington, Hamilton, Henry Adams and Franklin.
Possibly Messrs. Bourne, U'Ren,
et. al. are wiser than these, but,
pardon us, we do not believe it.

## 

## AS OTHERS THINK.


Nothing makee lite so miserable, or in
terferes eo widely with the usefniness of
the average American, as indivertinn,
and it is well for us to sive fair considera

With fine frenzy and illogical
ogic some would-be tinkers ask those who prefer to observe the provisions of the
Federal Constitution in the election of United States senators ical attempt to nullify those provisions, "why then, not amend the constitution of the state so
that the legislature and not the people shall elect all our state officials?'
Why a
all? Certainly if the legislature may contravene the Federal conthe states, it may easily nullify deed it may be argued that it
would have more right to vene the constitution of its own state than that of all the other Then just see how easily it may legislature and, presto! the change is wrought. Since the care a straw for their constitution it may be the people of Ore theirs.




