## CORVALLIS

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  <br> ER HUSBAND'S BILLS. <br> York Supreme Court will undoubtedly hen, for it will remind those who have no never knew that a wife is liable for <br> of her husband, and family expenses <br> lowing Illinols statute: "The expenses the children shall be chargeable upon <br> 15.) <br> leago tailor who sued in New York both the husband, claiming that the clothes <br> expense. The only new point in the of New York would follow the Illinois <br> her husband's tallor bill (Hudson vs. liable for all bills incurred for family <br> household and kitchen furniture, a provided for and actually used in the <br> Il. App. 394.) is law limited to necessary family ex- penses of the famly without limitation <br> ard to its wealth, habits or social posi- <br> s a family expense depends upon none <br> The only criterion which soon involved <br> The only criterion which the statute family expenditure; was it incurred <br> ped his glove, and stooped to piek it up. Fate, the humorist, determined that the <br> Fate, the humorist, determined that the wife should keep her head so high that she did not see her husband stoop. She <br> in riotons confusion. The congregation held its breath and kept its composure. The two recovered <br> themselves and went on. Hoping to escape quickly, they turned to what looked like a side door. The husband $\qquad$ it op $\qquad$ <br> swing. <br> could hold its miader. The congregation <br> and wife fled to the real exit in undig- nified haste, amid a general and per- vasive snicker. <br> When a man resolves to turn over a new leaf he should be in sober parn- est. |
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