(The Siberat fepublicam DALLAS, SATULDAY, DEC. 2s. "Gov. Grover has taken the litigant
"franchise" from the Mo Monouth
Aressenger and conferred it upon the no "change of proprietorship" has
taken place in the former paper. Have we a king in Oregon? How is it that
the Governor can interfere with the Governor can interfere with a
"franchise" which the Legislature
had had no authority to control? We
Want io hear no moré howling by
Democratic journals, about Radical Demuecratic journals, about Radica
official usurpation." from the Corvallis Guzette. It is false, because Governor Grover has not taken
the "litigant franchise" from the Messenger, for the simple reason that it never acquired any "franchise" under
the law, although the Governor gave the law, although the Governor gave
that paper an opportunity to do so for two years in succession
because it oxhibits consumate ignoranc
upan the subject, or a disposition to place the Governor in a false position. The facts are these, the Messenger was
designated by the proper authority, and designated by the proper authority, and
eould have acquired the right to the printing for the term of four years if it
had cousplied with the law itself. The Governor did his duty in making the appointment, and there was no one at fult. The Messenger. after it was
designated did not see fit to comply with the law so as to aequire the right, ing for two years without complying with the provisions of the law, and no have not, and we were the only part interested. The time, however, part when it was found that it would be printing done at the county-seat, and it as ascertained that the proprietors the Messenger had never given the
bond or filed the stipulation required by law to entitle them to tho privilege When that fact become known to the
Governor, he was required by the law, which gave the right, to designate some the work. The Rerublican was the only paper in the county, besides the comply wuth the law, what could th Governor do, except what he has done and perform his duty?
We do not know the reason for the proprietors of the Messenger to do as the law directed. We presume they
had a reason satisfaetory to themselves but that is none of our business, an it ought not to concern the Gazette havo no more excuse for makiog false eharges against Governor Grover, an for the purpose of enlightening his subject, we will state that the proprie tor or proprietors of the Mrszenge sequired by the act. The Gazett A'short reference to the act itself will elear up the nuddled mind of th Gazette editor. Here it is,
Sec. 3. "When the propritor of
newspaper designated as the official per of any connty, fhall file with th stipulation accepting the conditions o
this act, together with a bond in the sum of five hundred do
and sufficient securty, and sufficient security,
thereafter all notices,
adyertisement hereatier all notices, summons an
advertisments of whatever natare
authorized or required to be publishe authorized or required to be publishe
by any law of this State, which shal
be pablished in any other ne be pablished in any other newspape
than the one so designated, shal be
illegal and void ; $l_{\text {rovided. That at any }}$ time, upon proof of a falluree on the
part of the proprietor of any niewspa-
per to proparly per to proporly publish legal and judi-
cial advertisements, or of their noncial advertisements, or of
complianee with any of the
of this Act, the Govertor

## nate and appoint and oonfirm, aceor ding to the provisions of this Act eomee other paper

some other paper as the official orga
of the connty in which such failure defaylt is made."
The proof of such failure aforesaid was made to the Governor and ho
appointed the Republican in s'ric onformity with the law above quoted Now we think the Gazelle, and all others who are in anywise envious,
ought to be satisfie abuse of the Gote nor for simply pelforuing his duty. We ean assure the Guzelte hecat we found no fault
because the Messenger did the printing one half of the term, nor has the



