THE INDEPENDENT.

Forest Grove, May 28, 1874.

INDEPENDENT STATE TICKET.

For Congress,
T. W. DAVENPORT, of Marion.

For Governor,
T. F. CAMPBELL, of Polk

For Secretary of State,
J. H. DOUTHITT of Wasco.

For Treasurer,
D. BEACH of Linn.

For State Printer,
Wm. HAND, of Wasco.

For Superintendent of Public Instruction, *M. M. O'LESBY, of Douglas.*

DISTRICT NOMINATIONS.

SECOND DISTRICT.—For Judge, JOHN BURNETT. For Prosecuting Attorney, J. J. WALTON.

THIRD DISTRICT.—For Prosecuting Attorney, TILMON FORD.

FOURTH DISTRICT.—For Judge, E. D. SHATTUCK. For Prosecuting Attorney, H. Y. THOMPSON.

FIFTH DISTRICT.—For Prosecuting Attorney, ORLANDO HUMASON.

INDEPENDENT COUNTY TICKET.

For State Senator,
P. G. BUFORD.

For Representatives,
THOMAS ROE, ULYSSES JACKSON, J. M. PARTLOW.

For County Judge,
A. C. ARCHIBOLD.

For County Clerk,
ALFRED LUELLING.

For Sheriff,
C. T. TOZIER.

For Assessor,
JAS. A. FLIPPEN.

For County Commissioners,
WM. LANDESS, C. W. PURDIN.

For Treasurer,
W. SIMMONS.

For School Superintendent,
D. M. C. GAULT.

Surveyor,
JOHN WITHYCOMB.

The Election.

Independents, do your duty next Monday. Our ticket is a fair one and we should support it. We have made some mistakes but what party does not? If we have any really bad or corrupt men on our ticket we should vote against them. Let each man's conscience enlightened by his intelligence be his guide in this matter. Listen not to mere reports but search for facts in the case and judge without personal bias or party prejudice.

The Independent party has begun its career. Will you support it fellow citizens? Look at the influence's look at the men that started it in our own County. Did they do it for selfish purposes? They are your neighbors—honest, toiling men. Do you doubt them?

Can you expect reform from the old parties. Why? What have they done but increase from year to year your taxes and the emoluments of State and County officials. Look at Multnomah county where the Sheriff and Clerk receive $21,000 and $40,000 each per annum. Look at the expenses of the State government increased $200,000 yearly. Look at the high-handed invasions of your State Constitution which has been disregarded and infracted by the the party in power. Consider all these things and rebuke these old and corrupt parties next Monday. For have they not united their forces in our county in the desperate attempt to defeat the Independent ticket?

The Confusion ticket has some good men on it but they are run in the interest of the Railroad Ring which is using $20,000 to carry Multnomah county at this election, and we must rebuke that corrupt Custom House Clique by beating every man on their ticket no matter how good his record. That Ring has bribed voters, corrupted the ballot, manipulated our Grand Juries and defied our courts and public opinion, and we will be recreant to our professions, the enemies of our own interests and the cowardly slaves of this infamous Ring if we vote for their men.

Stand by your colors Independents and hurl these recreant parties from power.

Will Richardson Explain?

Will John A. Richardson explain what influence was brought to bear to make him vote for Fleischner in the Democratic State Convention? Several Democrats would like to hear something about this, since Mr. Richardson is asking for their suffrages in this County.

Our Candidates.

The Independent county ticket has been before the people now for nearly two months and it has stood the test of public crititicism and stands firm in the confidence of the people.

P. G. Buford, candidate for State Senator, was one of the leading men in organizing the Independent party in this county. He broke off from the Democratic party and put himself on the record against its corruption. Mr. Buford for several years past has been engaged in mercantile pursuits, has been honest in his dealings, is a man of good common sense and fair ability and will be elected of course, for he will combine the strength of the Independent party and will receive many votes from the opposition.

Our Representative candidates, Thos. Roe, Ulysses Jackson and J. M. Partlow, have long been identified with the interests of this county, are honest, intelligent and capable men and will faithfully represent their constituents in the Legislature, and will, we hope, together with Mr. Buford, cast their votes and unite their voices against the corruption reckless extravagance and lawless acts of the present administration.

A. C. Archibold, candidate for County Judge, is one of the best men on the ticket. He studied law and was admitted to the bar in the State of Ohio where he had some practice in the law business. He is virtually the only candidate for *Judge* in the field, as his opponent knows nothing about law and could not possibly perform the difficult and responsible duties of the office. That Archibold will be elected is a foregone conclusion, which even his opponents concede.

Alfred Luelling, is the candidate for County Clerk and that he is well qualified for the duties of the office is unanimously admitted. In years past he has held office in this county and in all his acts he strove to represent the wishes of his constituents. He is a man of good character, temperate in his habits and honest in his business relations. In politics he has been an Independent for several years and therefore has many enemies who will do their utmost to defeat him, but we predict that he will carry the county by a handsome majority. Mr. Luelling belongs to the Order of Good Templars and was an active member of the Society while the Lodge in his vicinity lived. The Temperance people in this County ought therefore to support him in the coming election.

The candidate for Sheriff, C. T. Tozier, has been our officer in that capacity for two years past and a more faithful, honest and gentlemanly officer Washington County has never had. Without any wire-working—for his enemies will admit that he is ignorant of the first principles of the political trickster—he was renominated by a large majority of the delegates of the Convention. Mr. Tozier was a Washington county farmer before he was elected Sheriff, is a man of family, and consequently thoroughly identified with the interests of the people of this County. The Sheriff's salary in this County is small—about $1,200 per annum. To pay a man for quitting his business he ought to have the office two years if his services have been acceptable, and who is there to complain of Mr. Tozier on this account? His competitor J. G. Stevenson is a young man just of age and who if not elected will probably leave the County as he has no other interest here. We want permanent citizens and men who have substantial interests in our County or State for officers. From a business point of view merely, the people will vote for Tozier. "The fittest" is the principle on which the people vote now-a days, and that Tozier is the best qualified both by reason of age and experience, no one will deny. Mr. Stevenson would make an excellent County Clerk but a Sheriff never.

Jas. A. Flippen is the candidate for Assessor and is an excellent man. He is a farmer, honest, industrious and successful in his business and a man of good practical qualities, just the kind of a man we want for Assessor; and he will be elected.

The candidates for Co. Commissioners, Wm. Landess, and C. W. Purdin, are substantial farmers, and honest intelligent citizens of this County. Their business qualifications are well known and their names are without reproach, and together with Archibold at the head of the Board there is no doubt but that they will serve the best interests of the people of Washington County.

W. Simmons, candidate for Treasurer, is one of Washington County's best citizens—a farmer and public spirited man—and will be elected without a shadow of doubt.

D. M. C. Gault, is the Independent candidate for School Superintendent and is well qualified for that important office having been identified with our school interests for a number of years. Every body will vote for him. He has no competitor and being on the Independent ticket no one could beat him.

John Withycomb, our candidate for Surveyor is a practical surveyor, is a farmer and a permanent resident of this county, and will be elected. His opponent, L. C. Walker, is a young man and has no settled place of abode. He has just returned from the Dalles where he has been staying for the past half year and may not remain here a month. The men we have elected to this office for the last two terms have not been settled residents of the County and they have left as and the office has been supplied with appointees.

Vote the whole ticket, Independents, and you will be both supporting the independent principle and electing a good body of officers.

Demagogical and Untrue.

"Wagram," a correspondent of the *Bulletin* from Tualatin precinct makes a few statements concerning Messrs Luelling and Landess, candidates on the Independent ticket for Clerk and Commissioner respectively, which might mislead a few and so are entitled to a passing notice.

"Wagram" attacks Mr. Luelling for voting, while Commissioner, a tax on the people of this County in support of the "West Side Railroad." Now it is a fact that Mr Luelling did vote for this tax, but he did it on the *petition of a majority of the people of Washington county.* Before Alfred Luelling cast his vote he compared the number of petitioners with the poll books and ascertaining that there was a clear majority of the people of the County in favor of the tax and he voted for it. In fact this is a cardinal principle in Mr. Luelling's politics, that when a civil officer cannot act in accordance with the petition of a majority of the people that it is that officer's duty to resign. But is it strange that he should vote this tax at that time, when everybody almost was run mad on the railroad question and this Co., especially, was nearly a unit in favor of helping the railroad in every way possible? "Wagram's" mare's nest about Luelling supporting the "West Side Railroad" is the same charge that was trumped up against S. Hughes four years ago so unjustly, and which resulted in his defeat. The injustice of the charge shows to what desperate straits the Confusionists are put to find objections against the Independent ticket.

Then "Wagram" vents his small spleen on Mr. Landess, Independent candidate for Commissioner. In a cowardly manner he hints that when threshing their grain Mr. Landess has cheated his neighbors in measure. From neighbors of Mr. Landess and men who have known him for several years, and who, unlike "Wagram," are not afraid to give their proper names, we are informed that he is an industrious, successful and intelligent farmer and honest in his dealings. Such unwarrantable attacks on the character of men ought to be punished. But we suppose that Mr. Landess will survive the slander of such nameless scribblers as "Wagram."

Tozier's Enemies.

Mr. Tozier's enemies are determined to defeat him and are busy misrepresenting him in every precinct in the County. They tell the people that Tozier made arrangements with Pittenger to send an attorney to Salem to lobby for the fee bill of '72 and that an agreement was made between them before any attorney was hired to do the work. Now this statement we have pronounced unqualifiedly false and we gave the proof in the statement we made last week about this matter. In the presence of F. L. Stott, Judge Humphreys, Stave Scoggin, ourself and others, in Hillsboro Tuesday of last week, Mr. Tozier and Pittenger made that statement.

We have stated that Mr. Tozier's fees as Sheriff amount to about $1,200 a year and we challenge proof to the contrary.

Under the fee bill of '70 for the five or six months that he worked under it he did not receive more than $60 per month, which includes his expenses for the same time. As further proof that the emoluments of this office under the bill of '70 were too low ex-Sheriff Moore states that under the fee bill of '64 which was much higher than that of '70, under which Tozier was working, he cleared above his usual expenses only $300 per annum. But, says some one, Tozier was elected under the pay of '70, and it was wrong for him to try to increase his emoluments. Let us see. Suppose that A. contracts with B. to do a certain job of work, and A. finds that he can make but little on the job at the rates agreed on and he goes to B. and tells him the fact and asks him (B.) to pay him (A.) more, and B. does it. Now does A. do wrong in this case or not? And the same would be true if A. should hire a friend to assist him in the matter. Any candid man would admit A's right to do this. Now Mr. Tozier did just what we have supposed A. to do, and who will say that he did wrong. It was the Legislature that did wrong. It passed a bill which though it did justice to Mr. Tozier and others it increased enormously the emoluments of other officers.

Now, then, will Sheriff Tozier's enemies do him justice and treat him as an honorable opponent or will they continue to basely misrepresent him?

"A Cloud About the Size of a Man's Hand," Ascends the Horizon.

In another column we publish a letter from Wm. McLeod. We thought "Wilted" would fetch him.

Just look at his answer to the question, "Would he sign a petition to have Mitchell expelled from the U. S. Senate?" and see how he tries to dodge it. He compares Nesmith the law-abiding citizen with Hipple the purloiner of moneys, seducer, bigamist and mountebank! Nesmith, is a vulgar man, honest and smart, but no one can accuse him of crime. Say, McLeod, do you not feel ashamed for making such a spectacle of yourself before an audience of Forest Grove citizens? That was a cowardly dodge, and if you did not slink out of the store as your conscience ought to have prompted after such a betrayal of principle the greater pity for you.

M. says that no one ever presented a petition to him to sign to have Hipple expelled from the U. S. Senate. We did not say that any one did.

He says that he was not aware before that the Clerk was going to the legislature. Now then after this profound declaration who will say that McLeod is not fitted for a Legislator? We are sorry that Clerks do not go to the Legislature. But we did not refer to the Clerk, M., we were just thinking that Chenette had one woman suffragist for the Legislature on his slate already and that he did not want you. We are glad that Mr. Stevenson is not a "shrieking sister." We thought it strange that he should possess such excellent judgement and sterling good qualities in all other respects and advocate that humbug.

McLeod says that in the Temperance Convention at Portland of which he and Stevenson were delegates no evidence was given to the Convention that Tolman drank spirituous liquors.

Now then we have the statements of ex-Governor Gibbs and H. Y. Thompson that Skidmore who was a delegate from Southern Oregon stated to the Convention that Tolman did drink intoxicating liquors, and we put their statements against that of Mr. McLeod's.

The *Temperance Echo*, the organ of the Good Templars of Oregon and Washington Territory, in its last week's issue, says that Tolman "established and operated for many years the first brewery ever in Jackson county," and the *Temperance Star* in last week's issue states that Tolman "*drinks his wine at home!*" and yet "for over twenty years he (Tolman) has never known the smell of whisky about him"!

Say, McLeod can you not give us some more fatherly advice. We are very young and we are very sorry that we have slandered a Christian Statesman, to wit, McLeod.

You would make a good legislator, McLeod. You would reform the whisky business, wouldn't you? You would put Christian statesmen in office, you would, William dear.

Amende Honorable.

In a previous issue we stated that Mr. Turner, candidate on the Temperance ticket for State Printer, was "reported to be an intemperate man," which report we now believe to be false.

But he asks us to copy the quotation in his article: "The liar shall have his part in the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone," etc., by which he means that we have lied. But we did not state that Mr. Turner *was* an intemperate man but was *reported* to be and we can prove that such a report is abroad. Now then who has lied? But Mr. Turner we are not done with you yet. If you are a temperante man in the use of intoxicating liquors you are a drunkard in the abuse of truth. You say in your article, where you quote from us that "where the asterisks are put in, is a good deal of abuse of the different candidates on the temperance ticket." Now the fact is that we applied our remarks to but *three* men on the ticket, and here is what we said about two of the three which you called abuse and represented by asterisks: "Tolman is a horse-racer, bets on horses, drinks a dram semi-occasionally, and is a Republican; Chadwick has always unless recently, had his regular dram and sometimes one that was not so regular, and was a Democrat." We can prove by men in this county that Tolman bets on horses and runs horses for money and is a dram drinker and that Chadwick used to take his regular dram. The *Temperance Star* says that Tolman "has kept his wine(?) and *drank it at home*," and the *Temperance Echo* states in the last issue that Tolman started and kept for several years the first brewery in Jackson county. So Mr. Turner, out of thine own mouth and that of our *Echo* brother have we condemned thee and we ask you to swallow these quotations, "The liar is not to be believed though he speak the truth," and, "The liar shall have his part in the lake that burneth with fire and brimstone." You asked us to copy these Scriptural quotations, Bro. Turner, and we cannot refuse you. Turn, O Turner, for why will you die.

The Wisconsin Railroad War.

On the 27th day of April the new tariff table of the Milwaukie and St. Paul Railroad, passed by the Wisconsin Legislature, went into effect, and the Railroad companies have defied the bill. The Governor of Wisconsin has received the written opinion of Attorney Sloan that the law is constitutional and announces his intention of using the whole power of the State Government to enforce its operation. And when the first suit is brought against the Company for violation of the law then will come the trial of strength between the farmers of Wisconsin and the gigantic railroad corporations of the East, and we are fearful that the farmers will go to the wall. If the farming population of the whole Union would unite their influence and their *money* in this contest then there would be some hope that the railway monopolists would be beaten. But the contest is narrowed down to a trial of strength between the State of Wisconsin and the united Railroad interest, and we fear the result. If the Grangers would make a test case of this Wisconsin fight—stand to-ge her shoulder to shoulder, East, West, North and South, bring their sympathy, their money and their power to bear—we have faith that they would triumph, but they will not do it. The farming class has always stood isolated, and even in the Grange they carry with them that independent individualized character that years of party training could not get into harness. When they undertake to manage a political campaign they find themselves invariably overreached by political tricksters, and when they effect the passage of good laws they find themselves beaten by the concentrated and mobile capital and influence of their enemies.

Nevertheless we have faith in the Grange. It will take years to get it into good fighting condition and its influence will and does now constitute a great power for political reformation. It will have to endure many defeats but these will test its strength and prove its intrinsic worth. You must fight for your interests, farmers, or you will be at the mercy of monopolies and politicians.

Is It Honorable?

There is a principle which is held honorable in this country that when a man goes into a political Convention as a delegate and helps nominate a ticket that he is in honor bound to support it if it acts fairly and honestly. How is it then that McLeod went into a Convention, which, if it was a humbug he helped make it so, and which nominated at least two men of his choice, how is it that he accepted a nomination to run against the nominees of that Convention? Likewise Ben Schofield indorsed the Independent move and went into the Independent Convention, but not having received any nomination, he has accepted a nomination from the Confusionists. These are pretty fellows coming before the people for their suffrages when they have violated principles held sacred by the masses.

D. C. Stewart, candidate for County Judge in Yamhill county was in town this week and tells us that the Independents are working for victory up there. They are canvassing the County, which our candidates in this County ought to have done.

Stevenson is a candidate of the Portland Custom House bribers Ring. Vote against him.

When you vote for Chenette for County Clerk you vote for Pittenger for that office.

SHERIFF'S SALE.

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN, THAT BY VIRTUE OF A WARRANT ISSUED BY the County Clerk of Washington County and State of Oregon, on the 27th DAY OF APRIL, 1874, and to me directed, commanding me to collect the delinquent taxes for the year, 1873, charged against the persons below named, and for want of personal property I have levied upon and will sell at Public Auction, at the Court House door, in the town of Hillsboro, in said County on FRIDAY the 5th day of June 1874, the following described tracts or parcels of real estate, or so much thereof as will be necessary to satisfy the taxes thereon, together with the costs and charges.

Sale to commence at 10 o'clock A. M., and to continue from day to day until all is sold:

Name.	*Description.*	*Tax*
Allen, Isaac	Part of *S. P. Soule's* Donation, 187 acres, sects. 1 & 2, T 4, *S* R 2 *W*	$ 13 20
Arnold, and Whiting	Part of Lockerman Donation, 285 acres, sect. 10, 11 & 15 T 1 S R 1 w	54 45
Benson, Mrs. Irene	Town of Centerville, Lot 1	4 [illegible]
Buck, Robert	W½ of 320 acres, sect. 20, T 1, *S* R 3 *W*	9 90
Bones, H. B. Estate of	Original Donation Claim of H. B. Bones, 380 acres, T 1, N R 2 *W*	18 47
Busby, Mrs.	S½ of Donation Claim of Geo. Teeling, 160 acres, sects 14, 15, 22 & 23, T 1, NR 4 w	3 30
Bacon, Charles	Ball's Donation, 320 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 *W*	16 50
Boisee, T.	Part of Bett's Donation, 80 acres, T 2, *S* R 3 w	4 95
Brown, —	" " Jas. Reed's Donation 300 acres T 1, *S* R 1 w	19 80
[illegible]ake, Permelia	" " *R.* Tupper's " 22 acres, T 1, *S* R 4 w	2 47
Bangasser, Geo.	*S* w¼, Sect. 25 & *S* E¼ of Sect. 26, 320 acres Sects. 25 & 26, *T* 1, *S* R 3 *W*	8 25
Ballentine, James	Part of Shakleford's Donation, 40 acres, *T* 1, N R 1 *W*	1 65
Barnes, William	Part of L. Hall's Donation, 26 acres, *T* 1, N R 1 *W*	18 39
Bacon and Sherlock	Kirby Donation, 320 acres, *T* 2, *S* R 2 *W*	16 50
Culbertson, Daniel	A. Sulger's Donation, 320 acres, sects. 15 & 16 T 1, *S* R 2 *W*	8 25
Carter, *C. M.*	Part of A. J. Harrison Donation, 48 acres, *T* 2, N R 3 *W*	
" "	Part of Wilcox Donation, 149 acres, sect. 31 T 1, N R 3 *W*	
" "	Part of H. B. Tucker Donation, 80 acres, *T* 1, *S* R 1 w.	
" "	" " Crandall " 41 acres, *T* 1, *S* R 3 w.	28 05
Clark, W. C.	Town of Forest Grove, Block 2 Part of Lot 2	8 25
Conwell, James	160 acres, sect. 24, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	
	160 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 *W*	19 80
Colson, Theodson	Part of Henrici Don., 160 acres, T 1, *S* R 2 *W*	9 90
Coleman, D. C.	" " O. S. Hall's Don., 320 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 *W*	13 20
Cartwright, Edward	" " John Gault " 80 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 W	4 95
Corette, —	33 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 W	2 47
Cathcart, Wm.	W½ of Alex Patton's Don., 320 acres, sect. 26, T 1, *S* R 3 W	8 25
Caldwell Wm	Part of James Barker's Don., 7 acres, sect. 1, T 1, *S* R 1 w	7 42½
Durham, L.	50 acres, sect. 22, *T* 2, *S* R 1 W	8 25
Dowies, Margaret	Part of W. Clement's Don., 30 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 w	1 65
European & Oregon Land Co.	Lot 5, 22 acres, sect. 5, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	74
" "	" 4 & s w ¼ of *S* w ¼, 62 acres, sect. 19, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	1 65
" "	N ½ *S* E ¼ & Lot 4, 196 acres sect. 31, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w.	10 23
" "	Lots 6 & 8, 38 acres sect. 17, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	82½
" "	E ½ of 320 acres, sect. 25, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	6 60
" "	N w ¼ of N E ¼ & Lots 3 & 4, 107 acres, sect. 27, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	2 22½
" "	Lots 1, 5 & 8, 52 acres sect. 31, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	1 32
" "	*S* w ¼ of *S* E ¼ & Lots 3 & 4, 44 acres, sect. 23, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	99½
" "	Lots 3 & 4, 56 acres, sect. 33, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	1 32
" "	Lot 1, 17 acres, sect 25, *T* 1, *S* R 2 w	33
" "	Lots 1, 2 & 3, 47 acres, sect. 3, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	82½
" "	N w ¼ of *S* w ¼, 40 acres sect. 3, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	82½
" "	w½ of N w ¼, 80 acres, sect. 3, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	1 65
" "	All of, 638 acres, sect. 5, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	13 20
" "	N w ¼ of N w ¼ & Lots 1 & 2, 57 acres, sect. 7, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	$ 1 15½
" "	All of, 640 acres, sect. 9, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	13 20
" "	Lots 1, 2 & 3, 19 acres, sect. 11, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	33
" "	w½ of N E ¼, S E ¼ & N w ¼, 400 acres, sect. 15, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	8 25
" "	N w ¼ of *S* w ¼, 38 acres, sect. 19, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	82½
" "	Lot 6, 21 acres, sect. 21, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	41
" "	N ½ of *S* w ¼ & Lots 1, 2, 3 & 4, 186 acres, sect. 27, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	3 79
" "	N ½ *S* w ¼ *S* E ¼ & N ½, 560 acres, sect. 29, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	11 55
" "	All of 640 acres, sect. 1, *S* R 5 w	13 20
" "	N w ¼ of N w ¼ & Lot 1, 76 acres, sect. 23, *T* 1, *S* R 4 w	1 56
" "	E ½ of 319 acres, sect. 3 *T* 1, *S* R 5 w	6 60
" "	All of 640 acres, sect. 11, *T* 1 *S* R 5 w	13 20
" "	N E ¼ of N E ¼ & w ½ of N E ¼, 120 acres, sect. 13, *T* 1, *S* R 5 w	2 47½
" "	w ½ *S* E ¼ & *S* E of N E ¼, 160 acres, sect. 13, *T* 1, *S* R 5 w	3 30
" "	E ½ of 320 acres, sect. 15 T 1, *S* R 5 w	6 60
" "	w ½ of *S* E ¼, *S* w ¼ & N ½, 560 acres, sect. 23, *T* 1, *S* R 5 w	11 55
" "	Lot 4 & N w ¼ of N w ¼, 67 acres, sect. 25, *T* 1 1	[illegible]
" "	All of 640 acres, sect. 27, *T* 1, *S* R 5 w	13 20
" "	" " 640 acres, sect. 33, *T* 1, *S* R 5 w	13 20
" "	w ½ 320 acres, sect. 35, *T* 1, *S* R 5 w	6 60
" "	Lots 1 & 2, 40 acres, sect. 3, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	
" "	*S* E ¼ & N ½ of N E ¼, 240 acres, sect. 5, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	8 25½
" "	N E ¼ of N E ¼ & N w ¼ of *S* E ¼, 80 acres, sect. 7, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	4 95
" "	N E ¼ of *S* w ¼, 40 acres, sect. 7, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	1 65
" "	N ½ of *S* E ¼, 80 acres, sect. 13, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	82½
" "	*S* E ¼ of *S* W ¼ and *S* w ½ of *S* E ¼, 120 acres, sect. 25, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	1 82½
" "	*S* w ¼ of *S* w ¼, 40 acres, sect. 27, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	2 47½
" "	N E ¼ and E ½ of *S* E ¼, 240 acres, sect. 33, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	82½
" "	N E ¼ of N w ¼ & *S* w ¼ of N w ¼, 80 acres, sect. 33, *T* 2, *S* R 1 w	4 95
" "	Lot 4 & N w ¼ of *S* w ¼, 58 acres, sect. 1, *T* 2, *S* R 2 w	1 65
" "	Lots 1, 2, & 3, 71 acres, sect. 5 *T* 2, *S* R 2 w	1 07½
" "	*S* w ¼ of N w ¼ & N ½ of N w ¼, 120 acres, sect. 5, *T* 2, *S* R 2 w	1 49½
" "	*S* ½ of *S* E ¼ E ½ of *S* w ¼ & E ½ of N w ¼, 240 acres, sect. 7, T 2, *S* R 2 w	2 47½
" "	Lots 3 & 4, 20 acres, sect. 9, T 2, *S* R 2 w	4 95
" "	Lots 1, 2, & 3, 25 acres, sect. 13 T 2, *S* R 2 w	41
" "	N ½ of *S* E ¼ and *S* w ¼ of *S* E ¼, 120 acres, sect. 17, T 2, *S* R 2 w	49½
" "	*S* w ¼ and N ½ 180 acres, sect. 17, T 2, *S* R 2 w.	2 47½
" "	E 1-2 of 320 acres, sect. 19, T 2, *S* R 2 w.	9 90
" "	*S* ½ and E ½ of N E ¼, 400 acres, sect. 21, T 2, *S* R 2 w	6 60
" "	E ½ & E ½ of *S* w ¼, 400 acres, sect. 23, T 2, *S* R 2w	8 25
" "	E ½ of N w ¼ and Lot 1, 108 acres, sect. 23, T 2, *S* R 2 w	8 25
" "	N w ¼ and N w ¼ of *S* w ¼, 200 acres, sect. 25, T 2, *S* R 2 w	2 22½
" "	E ½ of *S* E 1-4 and E ½ of N w ¼, 160 acres, sect. 27, T 2, *S* R 2 w	4 12½
" "	*S* E ¼ of *S* E ¼ and w ½ of w ½, 200 acres, sect. 29, T 2, *S* R 2 w	3 30
" "	w ½ of N E ¼ and w ½ of *S* E ¼, 160 acres, sect. 33, T 2, *S* R 2 w	4 95½
" "	N ½ of *S* w ¼ and N w ¼ of N w 1-4, 120 acres, sect. 33, T 2, *S* R 2 w	3 30
" "	*S* w ¼ of *S* w ¼ of N w ¼ and N E ¼, 240 acres, sect. 35, T 2, *S* R 2 w	2 47½
" "	E ½ of *S* E ¼ and w ½ of w ¼, 160 acres, sect. 1, T 2, *S* R 3 w	4 95
" "	E ½ of E ½ and Lot 1, 177 acres, sect. 3, T 2, *S* R 3 w	3 30
" "	N E ¼ of N w ¼ and Lot 2, 46 acres, sect. 5, T 2, *S* R 3 w	3 46½
" "	E ½ of *S* E ¼ and *S* ½ of N E ¼, 160 acres, sect. 11, T 2, *S* R 3 w	82½
" "	N w 1-4 of *S* E ¼ and w ½ of *S* w ¼, 120 acres, sect. 11, T 2, *S* R 3 w	3 30
" "	N E ¼ of *S* w ¼ and N w ¼ of N w ¼, 80 acres, sect. 11, T 2, *S* R 3 w	2 47½
" "	80 acres, sect. 11, T 2, *S* R 3 w	1 65
" "	*S* E ¼ of *S* w ¼, 40 acres, sect. 1, T 3, *S* R 1 w.	1 65
" "	Lot 1 and N w ¼ of N w ¼, 48 acres, sect. 1, T 3, *S* R 1 w	82½
" "	N E ¼ of N w ¼, 40 acres, sect, 3, T 3, *S* R 1 w.	82½
" "	w ½ of *S* w ¼ 80, acres, sect. 13, T 2, *S* R 3 w.	1 65
Fairchild A. *C.*	60 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 w	5 95
Fox, James C.	*S* w ¼, 160 acres, sect. 6, T 1, N R 3 w	13 20
Feaster, John	160 acres, sect. 11, T 3, *S* R 1 w	6 60
Gault, John	E ½ of N E ¼, 80 acres, sect. 28 T 1, *S* R 1 w	2 47½
French, J. D.	All of his donation Claim in this County, 80 acres, sect. 21, 37, 20 T 1, N R 1 w	3 30
Frashner, L.	Part of Jas. Barkers Don., acres 9, sect. 1, T 1, *S* R 1 w	3 30
Fain, W. D.	N ½ of w Allen, Don. 160 acres, T 1, *S* R 3 w	9 90
Fish, Albert	N E ¼, 160 acres, sect. 35, T 2, *S* R 1 w.	6 60
Goodell H. M	Forest Grove, Blk. 34 Lots 1 & 4	9 90
Gelbsmith, B.	N N E 1-4 of N E 1-4 *S* ½ of *S* ½ and *S* ½ and w 1-4 & *S* w 1-4, 560 acres, sects. 2 & 35, Ts 1 & 2, N R 2 w	24 75
Grabel, Joseph	Part of Tigarts Donation, 40 acres, T 2, *S* R 1 w.	3 30
Griffith Heirs of	*S* E 1-4, 160 acres, sect. 29, T 1, N *R* 1 w.	9 90
Gardiner, Nelson	*S* ½ of N w 1-4, 80 acres, sect. 26, T 2, *S* R 1 w	6 30
Hart, Anthony	40 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 w.	1 33½
Holt, H.	Forest Grove, Blk. 18 Lot 1.	1 65
Hammitt, Henry	*S* E 1-4 of N E 1-4, 40 acres, sect. 7, T 1, N R 1 w.	1 32
Kellogg, Orin	Hillsboro, Blk. 10, N ½ of Lots 1 & 2.	24 86
Klosterman, John	Erastus Savage's Don. Claim, 320 acres, sects. 6 & 7, T 2, *S* R 2 w.	19 80
Kennard, Angeline	*S* w 1-4, of N W 1 4 and *S* E 1-4 of N E 1-4 and W ½ of N E 1-4, 160 acres sects. 28 & 29, T 2, *S* R 1 w.	3 30
Lenox, Washington	Beaverton, Blk. 16 2 Lots.	2 47½
Linder, John	Part of his own Donation, 40 acres, T 1, N *R* 2 w.	1 65
Maxon, J. *H.*	Cornelius, Blk. 11 Lots 8 & 9	4 12½
McCleran, —	120 acres, sect. 31, T 1, *S* R 2 w	9 90
Murdy, Mrs. A. *P.*	*S* ½ of N E 1-4, *S* E 1-4 sect. 32 T 1 *S* R 1 w and N ½ of N E 1-4 sect. 5 T 2 *S* R 1 w, 320 acres, sects. 32 & 5, Ts 1 & 2, *S* R 1 w	9 90
Marshall, —	Haskell Place, 108 acres, T 1, *S* R 1 w	9 90
Minter, J. Estate of	320 acres, sects. 7 & 8, T 1, *S* R 2 w	9 90
Moss, Heirs of	Lucas Don Claim, 160 acres, sects. 3 & 10, T 2, *S* R	

(*Continued on fourth Page.*)