

The C. E. Entertainment and Social

Given at the Christian church last Sunday night was a great success and highly enjoyable. The program was excellent, varied and entertaining...

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

Latest War News.

Mines in Santiago Harbor Exploded. Under Morro Castle, Harbor of Santiago de Cuba, July 17, 3 p. m., via Playa del Este, July 18.—At exactly 9 o'clock this morning the Spanish flag was lowered from the staff crowning the heights on which Morro castle stands. The lowering of this emblem of the defunct sovereignty of Spain in this part of the world was witnessed by a few Spanish and American troops on shore and by the Brooklyn, New York, Vixen and Vesuvius, lying within a few hundred yards of the harbor entrance.

DR. DARRIN RETURNED.

The Well-Known Practitioner Once More in Marshfield. Dr. Darrin, who is favorably known to the people of Marshfield and vicinity by his many cures of various diseases, arrived at Marshfield and is now at his old quarters at the Blanco Hotel, ready for business.

An Old Soldier

His Story of What Hood's Sarsaparilla Has Done. Whole Family Greatly Helped by This Medicine. "I am an old run-down soldier, my whole system being out of order and my right side partially paralyzed. I have tried various remedies and realized only temporary relief. I have been taking Hood's Sarsaparilla and Hood's Pills, and have derived more real benefit from them than anything I have tried. My wife has been greatly helped by Hood's Sarsaparilla. She was all run down and her system much out of order. My two little boys recovered quickly from the effects of diphtheria by taking Hood's Sarsaparilla, which rid them of troublesome sores and symptoms of the group. They are now well and hearty." W. F. BURNETT, Starkey, Oregon.

Notice.

THE COUNTY COURT OF COOS County, Oregon, will receive sealed bids, plans, strains, diagrams and specifications until Wednesday the third day of August, 1898, at 9 o'clock a. m. of said day, to build a bridge across Brummitt Creek where the Old Coos Bay Wagon Road crosses said creek in Road District No. 45, said bridge to be about 21 feet high and 14 feet wide and about 12 feet long, and the grade of approaches not to exceed 18 inches to the road; all material used in this bridge is to be cedar timber, and all piles used for the foundation of the bridge not less than 12 inches in diameter at the butt and clear of sap and substantially driven.

Notice.

THE COUNTY COURT OF COOS County, Oregon, will receive sealed bids, plans, strains, diagrams and specifications until Wednesday the third day of August, 1898, at the hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon of said day, to build a bridge across the Middle Fork of the Coquille River where the county crosses same at Mrs. Hoffman's place, said bridge to be about 165-foot span, more or less, the same height of old bridge at said crossing. The abutments to be cedar piles not less than 12 inches in diameter at the top end, caps of said bridge to be cedar, and all timbers used in said bridge to be cedar or yellow fir; the grade of approaches to said bridge not to exceed 18 inches to the road; flooring on old bridge to be removed, and all timbers to be furnished by the county. All bids to be filed by the time above mentioned, the same made, to enter into contract for the period of two days after the same is made, to enter into contract and file his bond in the manner required by law and to the satisfaction of this Board of County Commissioners. The court reserves the right to reject any or all bids. By order of County Court, ED HACKETT, County Clerk, July 21st.

Notice.

THE COUNTY COURT OF COOS County, Oregon, will receive sealed bids, plans, strains, diagrams and specifications until Wednesday the third day of August, 1898, at the hour of 9 o'clock in the forenoon of said day, to build a bridge across the Middle Fork of the Coquille River where the county crosses same at Mrs. Hoffman's place, said bridge to be about 165-foot span, more or less, the same height of old bridge at said crossing. The abutments to be cedar piles not less than 12 inches in diameter at the top end, caps of said bridge to be cedar, and all timbers used in said bridge to be cedar or yellow fir; the grade of approaches to said bridge not to exceed 18 inches to the road; flooring on old bridge to be removed, and all timbers to be furnished by the county. All bids to be filed by the time above mentioned, the same made, to enter into contract for the period of two days after the same is made, to enter into contract and file his bond in the manner required by law and to the satisfaction of this Board of County Commissioners. The court reserves the right to reject any or all bids. By order of County Court, ED HACKETT, County Clerk, July 21st.

Notice for Publication.

LAND OFFICE AT ROSEBURG, OREGON. June 13, 1898. NOTICE is hereby given that the following named settler has filed notice of his intention to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before the county clerk of Coos county, Oregon, at Coquille City, Oregon, on July 27, 1898, viz: Andrew Likist on H. E. No. 7621 for the S 1/4 of NE 1/4, SE 1/4 of NW 1/4 and NE 1/4 of SE 1/4, Section 25, T. 36 S., R. 20 E., Range 20 E., Meridian 25. He names the following witnesses to prove his continuous residence and cultivation of said land, viz: Edward Burns, Dr. Frederick Or. Gustaf A. Carlson, of Coquille City, Or. John P. Mosser, of Coquille City, Or. Frederick Barker, of Fossil, Or. (H. M. Veatch, Register, June 13, 1898.)

CALL FOR...

HOLBROOK, MERRILL & STETSON. Also BRIDGE & BEACH STOVES Doors, Windows and any other Building Material, Or any and everything carried in a First-class Hardware Store. J. J. LAMB. The Wonders of Science Lung Troubles and Consumption Can Be Cured.

An Eminent New York Chemist and Scientist Makes a Free Offer to Our Readers.

The distinguished New York chemist, T. A. Slocum, demonstrating his discovery of a reliable and absolute cure for Consumption (Pulmonary Tuberculosis) and all bronchial, throat, lung and chest diseases, stubborn coughs, catarrhal affections, general decline and weakness, loss of flesh, and all conditions of the lungs, and chest, offers THREE FREE BOTTLES (all different) of his New Discoveries to any afflicted reader of the Coquille Herald writing for them.

To Cure Constipation Forever.

Take Cascarella Candy Cathartic, 10c or 25c. "C. C. C." cures, druggists refund money. Exchange: Hellet Luce is said to be a recruit from Iowa in camp at San Francisco. We hope that dons will realize his name when he gets after them in Manila.

Disasters in Waters of Alaska.

San Francisco, July 18.—It was reported at St. Michaels, on June 27th, that the steamer Conemaugh, from Seattle, was overtaken in Behring sea by a hurricane, and that her tow, a river steamer laden with stores, was lost. Two barges towed by a steamer of the Alaska Commercial Company was lost in the same storm. They cost about \$20,000. A similar fate overtook a new river boat towed by the National City. The loss in the last case was \$50,000.

Administrator's Notice.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ADMINISTRATOR'S NOTICE.

NOTICE is hereby given, that the undersigned was, on the 1st day of July, 1898, duly appointed administrator of the estate of Charles Harner, deceased, and that I have qualified as such administrator and entered upon my duties. All persons having claims against the said estate, are hereby required to present the same, properly verified, to me, at the law office of Albert E. Seaman and Empire City Coos county, Oregon, within six months from the date hereof. Dated this 12th day of July, 1898. WILLIAM TURPEN, Administrator of the Estate of Charles Harner, deceased. [July 12, 1898.]

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.

ARGUMENT CONCLUDED.

Motion to Remand Case of Graham vs. Beaver Hill Coal Co. Submitted. Argument in the case of R. A. Graham against the Beaver Hill Coal Co. and others, upon the motion to remand the case back to the state circuit court of Coos county, was concluded in the United States circuit court last evening.