

Climax in Union Fight at Boeing

Seattle, Oct. 29 (AP)—A bitter inter-union fight over Boeing Aircraft workers here reaches a climax Tuesday.

Jurisdiction over the company's production workers, now totaling around 20,000, will be at stake in a national labor relations board election.

The unions are the Aeronautical Mechanics' Union, which is affiliated with the International Association of Machinists (I.A.M.), and the Dave Beck-led Teamsters Union (A.F.L.).

The Aero-Mechanics' Union was the bargaining agent for the employees until it lost the recognition by calling last year's prolonged strike.

The Teamsters' Union started its aggressive organizing campaign during the strike.

In the heated campaign for the workers' votes, the Aero-Mechanics Union has aimed most of its ammunition at Dave Beck, the Teamsters' international executive vice president. The Teamsters' campaign has been aimed primarily at the Aero-Mechanics' strike leadership last year.

A general membership meeting of the Aero-Mechanics' Union has been called for Sunday. It will be addressed by Al J. Hayes, president of the parent Machinist' Union. He is flying here from Washington, D. C.

M & F Involved In Labor Story

Portland, Oct. 29 (AP)—The story of a union charge against the Meier and Frank department store was published here today after a controversy about it was carried in Time magazine.

The AFL Retail Clerks Union accused the store of attempting to coerce workers not to join the union, and filed an unfair labor practices charge with the national labor relations board. A hearing on the charge—denied by Meier & Frank—was held here September 6-15.

The hearing was not covered by Portland newspapers, and Monroe Sweetland charged in the Oregon Democrat that the story had been suppressed for the sake of an advertiser. The newspapers said the story had simply been overlooked by reporters.

Because of the Oregon Democrat's small circulation, the incident only came into general knowledge when Time's current issue recounted Sweetland's charges.

The Oregonian published the story of the Meier & Frank-AFL dispute today, without mentioning the Time article.

The NLRB hasn't decided yet whether the store tried to coerce clerks or not. A decision will be handed down after attorneys for 22, of Medford, Ore.

Hope Held Out for Control of Cancer

New York, Oct. 29 (AP)—Some of America's leading medical authorities now see hope in the future for complete control of cancer.

Dr. Alton Ochsner of New Orleans, elected president of the American Cancer society here yesterday, said new discoveries indicate that eventually even advanced cancer can be controlled.

New developments in hormones and chemotherapy—the treatments of disease by chemicals—show great promise, he said.

His hopeful opinion was backed by Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads, director of the Memorial Cancer center here, who said that five years of research suggest that chemicals may be the can-

LATE SPORTS

FOOTBALL

FINALS

Notre Dame 40, Navy 0.
Connecticut 9, Kent State 27.
Seranton 6, Boston University 46.
Holy Cross 14, Harvard 22.
Bates 0, Bowdoin 19.
Maine 12, Colby 13.
Michigan State 62, Temple 14.
Georgetown 9, Fordham 42.
Northwestern 7, Ohio State 24.
Brown 28, Western Reserve 14.
Syracuse 21, Penn State 33.
Pitt 22, Penn 21.
VMI 22, Army 40.
Rutgers 14, Princeton 34.
Tennessee 35, North Carolina 6.
Columbia 0, Cornell 54.
Purdue 13, Minnesota 7.
Georgia 7, Alabama 14.
RPI 20, Rochester 13.
Michigan 12, Illinois 0.
Duke 27, Georgia Tech 14.
Gallaudet 0, Randolph Mason 25.
Miami (O.) 34, Wash'n Michigan 20.
Iowa 34, Oregon 31.

Iowa Defeats Oregon 34 to 31

Iowa City, Iowa, Oct. 29 (AP)—Iowa staged a terrific comeback to edge Oregon 34-31 today in a fancy scoring intersectional football game. The contest was featured by long runs, the best a 99-yard touchdown by Bill Reichardt of Iowa on a kickoff return.

First Period
Oregon, taking the kickoff, picked up three first downs only to have Iowa tighten on the 36 yard line. Iowa, after getting a break when Oregon was off-side on a fourth down punt, scored on a 25-yard pass from Drahn to Commack. The receiver caught the ball just a yard short of out of bounds in the end zone. Reichardt missed his first extra point in 15 attempts. Iowa 6, Oregon 0.

Second Period
Oregon took a 7-6 lead when the second period was two minutes old on Sanders' smash from the two-yard line and Daniels' 14th straight extra point. A 21-yard pass play, Stelle to McKay, had carried Oregon to the 10. Daniels kicked a field goal from the 14 yard line for a 10-6 lead with five minutes left. There was no further scoring in the period.

Third Period
Woodley Lewis' kickoff went into the end zone and Iowa took the ball on its 20. Stelle intercepted Ruck's pass and was downed on Oregon's 46. Oregon took a first down on Iowa's 44, then Iowa held and Calderwood kicked into the end zone. Three first downs, with Reichardt doing the most effective running, carried Iowa to Oregon's 48. Then Bob McKenzie dropped a third down pass and Iowa kicked to Stelle, who returned 10 yards to Oregon's 26.

On the first play, Lewis cut off tackle and went 74 yards to a touchdown. Daniels converted, Iowa 6, Oregon 17. Drahn returned the kickoff to the Iowa 35. Iowa missed a first down and Oregon took over on Iowa's 44. On the first play Jerry Long recovered Stelle's fumble on Iowa's 44. Iowa made one first down, but Oregon stiffened and took over on its 42. After one play, Lewis fumbled and McKay picked up the rolling ball on Iowa's 33 and ran to a touchdown. Daniels converted, Iowa 6, Oregon 24. Fiske returned the kickoff to Iowa's 26. Bob Bostwick fumbled and McKay recovered for Oregon on Iowa's 36. Iowa held and Longley rec-

er solution. All repeated, however, that these hopes are for the future and that there is yet no cancer "cure."

Dr. Ochsner succeeds Dr. C. C. Nesselrode as president.

His hopeful opinion was backed by Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads, director of the Memorial Cancer center here, who said that five years of research suggest that chemicals may be the can-

der solution. All repeated, however, that these hopes are for the future and that there is yet no cancer "cure."

Dr. Ochsner succeeds Dr. C. C. Nesselrode as president.

His hopeful opinion was backed by Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads, director of the Memorial Cancer center here, who said that five years of research suggest that chemicals may be the can-

der solution. All repeated, however, that these hopes are for the future and that there is yet no cancer "cure."

Dr. Ochsner succeeds Dr. C. C. Nesselrode as president.

His hopeful opinion was backed by Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads, director of the Memorial Cancer center here, who said that five years of research suggest that chemicals may be the can-

der solution. All repeated, however, that these hopes are for the future and that there is yet no cancer "cure."

Dr. Ochsner succeeds Dr. C. C. Nesselrode as president.

His hopeful opinion was backed by Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads, director of the Memorial Cancer center here, who said that five years of research suggest that chemicals may be the can-

der solution. All repeated, however, that these hopes are for the future and that there is yet no cancer "cure."

Dr. Ochsner succeeds Dr. C. C. Nesselrode as president.

His hopeful opinion was backed by Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads, director of the Memorial Cancer center here, who said that five years of research suggest that chemicals may be the can-

der solution. All repeated, however, that these hopes are for the future and that there is yet no cancer "cure."

Dr. Ochsner succeeds Dr. C. C. Nesselrode as president.

His hopeful opinion was backed by Dr. Cornelius P. Rhoads, director of the Memorial Cancer center here, who said that five years of research suggest that chemicals may be the can-

der solution. All repeated, however, that these hopes are for the future and that there is yet no cancer "cure."

Dr. Ochsner succeeds Dr. C. C. Nesselrode as president.

Atlantic Union Chapter Planned

A public organization meeting to form a Salem chapter of the Atlantic Union committee will be held Monday night, November 7, at the Chamber of Commerce. Date for the meeting was fixed at a meeting of the local committee Thursday night.

As an organization committee to set up plans for the chapter and the nomination of officers eight persons have been appointed. They are Guy N. Hickok, Steve Anderson, Dr. Henry Gunn of Monmouth, Robert Letts Jones, W. E. Richardson, Lorraine Meusey and Laura Keller. Justice James T. Brand of the state supreme court will be consultant.

Announcement also is made that Justice Brand has been requested by former Justice Owen J. Roberts, national president of the Atlantic Charter committee, to be chairman of the state organization in Oregon.

The Salem chapter, upon completion of organization and receipt of its charter, will take the responsibility of organizing the Oregon state chapter.

At the meeting of Monday night, November 7, the main speaker will be Dr. Gunn. A mailing list of about 300 persons has been prepared to whom invitations will be sent, but a general invitation is given the public.

Goelet Elopes With Actress

New York, Oct. 29 (AP)—The New York World-Telegram said today that Robert Goelet, heir to one of America's greatest fortunes, eloped from Rome to Paris with Hollywood Actress Lynn Merrick and that his mother promptly said he would be disinherited.

The paper said Goelet fell in love with Miss Merrick while she was starring in a movie enterprise that he was operating in Rome. The paper said they were married in Paris yesterday.

It was a second marriage for both. She is the former wife of Conrad Nagel, the actor.

The telegram said his mother, the Duchess of Villars, had frowned on Robert's romance and when news of the marriage reached her in Rome she said: "He will be disinherited. That is a certainty."

The telegram dispatch was by its society editor, Charles Ventura. It said that Robert Goelet's father, a real estate magnate, head of the Newport Bailey's Beach association, threatened to disinherit Robert some years ago when he organized a swing band.

At one time the young man played in Gene Krupa's band.

turned Calderwood's punt 94 yard sto a touchdown. Reichardt converted, Iowa 13, Oregon 24.

Fourth Period
Sam Nevills fumbled Iowa's kickoff and Don Winslow recovered for Iowa on Oregon's 33. Runs by Fiske, Commack and Reichardt carried to the 17. An offside penalty set Iowa back to the 22. Fiske passed to Dittmer in the end zone. Reichardt converted, Iowa 20 Oregon 24. Sanders returned Iowa's kickoff to Oregon's 40 where Oregon got a first down on Iowa's 43. McKay circled end for 37 yards and a touchdown. Daniels converted, Final score: Iowa 34, Oregon 31.



Mill City Bank Host—Formal opening of the new home of the Mill City State Bank attracted several hundred people. The bank was completely modernized from the former Hammond general store and is at the corner of First avenue and Front street, facing the highway. The interior is decorated in medium pastel green with counters and woodwork in bleached birch. A large safety deposit vault equipped with time devices has been added with a new oil furnace installed. Shown in the insert is D. B. Hill, cashier at the bank for many years. (Courtesy Mill City Enterprise.)

Pocket Veto for Large Tracts

Washington, Oct. 29 (AP)—President Truman said today he is killing by pocket veto a bill that would have exempted the San Luis valley reclamation project in Colorado from the 160-acre limitation.

The limitation, contained in the so-called excess land provisions of the federal reclamation laws, prohibits delivery of any more water from a federal project than is necessary to irrigate 160 acres of land.

Under the pocket-vetoed bill, a farmer in the San Luis project area would have been allowed to get water for as much as 480 acres. The bill had specified that it was intended to meet "special conditions" in Colorado and would not have changed the general U.S. land limitation policy.

In a statement explaining his action, Mr. Truman said it seems to him neither desirable nor necessary to enact such a bill at this time. He said it will be at least two years before construction work at the project will be finished.

Mr. Truman said he hopes that, in the meantime, congress will consider legislation to amend the excess land provisions which would apply not only to this project but to others where changes are needed.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Village Mailman Gets \$1000 Raise

Washington, Oct. 29 (AP)—President Truman signed into law today a bill converting the village mailman into a city fellow and giving him up to \$1,000 a year increase in salary.

The measure would abolish village letter carrier service and put employees in that branch in a city letter carrier classification. Instead of a top salary of \$2,550 a year, the village carriers would receive pay up to \$3550 a year.

A bill providing automatic promotions for temporary employees in mail equipment shops also became law with the president's signature.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Auto Plants to Close Shortly

(By United Press)
A major automobile manufacturer today announced plans to shut down production at two main plants next month, because of steel shortages, while a railroad union official predicted additional cuts impending in train service.

At New York, Cyrus S. Ching, chief federal labor mediator, recessed talks with U. S. Steel, but planned to confer with officials of three other big producers in Washington Sunday and Monday. He said that he was remaining in touch with U. S. Steel. Attorney General J. Howard McGrath, meanwhile, disclosed that he is studying whether President Truman has power to seize struck coal mines and steel mills.

Nash Motors announced at Detroit that it will be forced to shut down car assembly by the middle of next month at its two main plants at Kenosha, Wis. About 12,000 will be idled. Ford Motor company has announced plans for cutbacks which will idle about 10,000 production workers about the same time, if the steel strike continues.

Hardship and suffering threatened to spread across the nation as result of the twin walk-outs.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.

Under the excess lands provisions, Mr. Truman explained, water from a federal reclamation project may be supplied to any one land owner for not more than 160 acres of land. Where the land is held jointly by husband and wife, water may be furnished for as much as 320 acres.