

Europe Arms Bill Heads for Restrictions

By Jack Bell

WASHINGTON, Aug. 14—(AP)—A sharply divided senate committee group of 25 members today seemed ready to write new restrictions of some kind into the administration's \$1,450,000,000 foreign arms programs.

A barrage of amendments is being rushed up. They deal with the amount, who gets it and who parcels it out.

One proposed curb may find Senator Vandenberg (R-Mich.) openly at odds with his air force staff chief nephew, Gen. Hoyt Vandenberg.

Senator Vandenberg has been working with Senator Dulles (R-N.Y.) on amendment designed to tie the arms program to forthcoming North Atlantic community defense plans.

May Delay Aid
It may provide for distribution of American military supplies under direction of the defense committee when it is set up by the North Atlantic council under the 12-nation treaty. Neither Dulles nor Vandenberg wish to delay the start of the arms flow.

General Vandenberg was said by some senators already to have opposed in closed meetings of the combined foreign relations and armed services committee any restriction on this country's decision as to where these arms shall go.

The 25 members of the two committees are considering the legislation as a body.

Objects to Stop-Gap
The general also has opposed, senators reported, any move to

make the program merely a stop-gap affair until the defense committee can get into operation.

Senator Vandenberg was the first to propose such a compromise.

Chairman Connally (D-Tex.) of the foreign relations committee, which will begin going over the bill in a closed session Tuesday, told reporters he wants this country to have to the final say on the distribution of military supplies.

Meanwhile, administration leaders confidently predict that the house foreign affairs group—starting work tomorrow—will approve the arms bill without major changes. A house floor fight to cut the total will come when debate opens.

First round efforts to trim the outlay and to restrict the program are expected to center in the big senate group.

Austria May Refuse to Pay Sum to Russia

VIENNA, Austria, Aug. 14—(AP)—Austria will refuse to pay Russia the agreed sum of \$150,000,000 for German assets in this country if the Soviet Union continues to ship those assets out of Austria, Interior Minister Oscar Helmer said today.

Helmer also declared that any Austrian who helps Russia in shipping the goods eastward will be regarded as a traitor.

The payment referred to by Helmer was the amount agreed upon by the big four foreign ministers in Paris.

The payments are to be made over a six-year period.

Helmer spoke at Wiener Neustadt, in the Russian-occupied zone.

Labor to Fight On Defensive Next Election

By Jack Bell

WASHINGTON, Aug. 14—(AP)—Organized labor may have to scotch its fire in the 1950 congressional campaign if it is going to fight as vigorously on the defensive as it has battled in the past on the offensive.

With a net gain of 17 votes in the senate in the 81st congress over its strength in the republican 80th, labor has a stake to protect as well as a goal to shoot for.

The 17-vote gain is figured this way:

1. The senate passed the Taft-Hartley act 68-27 over President Truman's veto two years ago.
2. This year Senator Taft (R-Ohio) introduced a bill to substitute for another one, supported by labor, to repeal the Taft-Hartley act. Taft's bill modified the Taft-Hartley act somewhat. It passed, but only 51-42.

The AFL, through its league for political action, already has put the finger on seven republican senators it says it thinks can be defeated in next year's elections.

Finger on Senators
The seven are Taft, Capehart of Indiana, Donnell of Missouri, Hickenlooper of Iowa, Millikin of Colorado, Wiley of Wisconsin and Young of North Dakota.

This listing, made recently by Joseph D. Keenan, league director, was interpreted in some quarters as meaning the AFL isn't going to scatter its fire in an attempt to defeat some others who voted for the Taft bill.

Listing only seven leaves out such potential 1950 candidates who sided with Taft as republican senators Gurney of South Dakota and Reed of Kansas and democratic senators Fulbright of Arkansas, George of Georgia, Hoyt of North Carolina and Tydings of Maryland.

Five Votes Needed
A change of five votes in the 51 to 42 margin by which the senate passed the Taft bill on June 30 would decide the issue the other way when the Taft-Hartley repeal drive is renewed in the next session, other things being equal.

But the AFL concedes that getting a reversal of the senate is not so easy as all that. Keenan named several who voted against Taft and to whom he said the AFL

figures it will have to give vigorous help to get them re-elected.

Help Said Needed for Moore

He named one republican, Senator Morse of Oregon, in this group and the following democrats: Hayden of Arizona, Hill of Alabama, Johnston of South Carolina, McMahon of Connecticut, Magnuson of Washington, Myers of Pennsylvania, Pepper of Florida and Thomas of Utah.

Most political observers agree that all of these, with the possible exception of Hayden, may face trouble in either primary or general election fights.

So also may some other democratic senators who voted against the Taft bill but who weren't classified by the AFL as likely to have such stiff competition they will need help.

Don't Need Help
In this category are Senators Lucas of Illinois, the democratic leader, who switched to labor's side after voting for the Taft-Hartley act in the 80th congress, and Senators Taylor of Idaho, Thomas of Oklahoma and Withers of Kentucky.

Republican Senator Tobey of New Hampshire, who voted against the Taft bill, also may face a fight in his state.

The AFL apparently has picked Taft, Capehart, Donnell and Millikin as its chief targets for 1950. Indications are that none of these four republicans will take it lying down.

San Diego, Calif., Aug. 14—(AP)—Pictures of a radio-guided anti-aircraft rocket—were released here today.

Consolidated Vultee Aircraft Corp., builder of the bullet-shaped missile, said it was designed for ship-board launching against enemy planes.

The company said the missile was rocket-powered and that, operated by remote control, it had made successful initial tests as early as December, 1947.

At an appropriation hearing in Washington in 1948, the navy disclosed it was developing a guided missile to knock down enemy planes more than seven miles away.

The navy said then the rocket-propelled, guided missile would be ready for fleet installations by 1950.

Consolidated Vultee said it was restricted to the brief caption material it released with the pictures.

The weapon, which the building company calls the "Convair Lark," still carries a navy experimental designation—XSAM-N-4.

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Solons Seek to Fill in Gaps in Vaughan Story

By Marvin L. Arpman

WASHINGTON, Aug. 14—(AP)—Senate investigators strove today to fill in what they called "big gaps" in Maj. Gen. Harry H. Vaughan's account of the case of the home freezers.

Vaughan, who is President Truman's military aide, has explained that two old friends simply presented seven freezers to him in 1945, and that he in turn "made gifts of these units to certain friends of mine," all Washington notables.

The senate's special investigations subcommittee has received testimony that all of the freezers were paid for by the Albert Verley Co., a Chicago perfume house.

Vaughan, in a statement at the White House yesterday, said the freezers were given to him as a courtesy by "old friends" David Bennett and Harry Hoffman. Bennett heads the Verley firm. Hoffman is a Milwaukee advertising man reportedly once associated with that company.

Subpoena for Hoffman
The committee has a subpoena out for Hoffman. A staff investigator said that so far as he knew, U. S. marshals in Milwaukee still were trying to locate Hoffman. At Milwaukee it was reported he is going voluntarily to Washington.

"Vaughan's account of what happened," said Senator Mundt (R-SD), a committee member, "leaves big gaps to be filled in. We still want to know what motivated Hoffman and Bennett to make gifts valued at about \$3,000 to Vaughan. We still want to know whether they expected to get anything out of it, and whether they actually did."

Experimental Models
Vaughan contended the freezers were experimental models without commercial worth.

The testimony about shipment of freezers to Vaughan and other prominent Washingtonians came last week from Albert J. Gross, a Milwaukee manufacturer. He said he dispatched the units paid for by the Verley company. Committee men have said Gross "is in the clear" in the case. Gross, it was learned, has been summoned back to the capitol for more questioning. He is expected to be on hand tomorrow.

So is Robert Quirk, vice president of a Cudahy, Wis., firm which supplied cabinets to Gross' company for production of freezers.

The committee wants to question both Gross and Quirk about Vaughan's statement that he was told by Hoffman that there might be some factory-reject models available, and that the freezers shipped had no commercial market value.

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

Gross said nothing about that when he testified last week. And Quirk, after Vaughan issued his statement, commented:

"There may have been some defective steel in some of them, but we didn't know it, nor did we sell them to him (Gross) as rejects."

King Enjoys Good Hunting

BALMORAL, Scotland, Aug. 14—(AP)—King George VI is having good hunting.

An eyewitness said today the king shot 60 birds on the first day of the grouse season Friday. The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

The royal family is vacationing at Balmoral Castle.

The king, recovering from a leg ailment, roams the moors in a jeep-like vehicle.

Late Sports Vics, Yakima Capture WIL League Games

YAKIMA, Aug. 14—(AP)—Yakima swept both ends of a tight Western International league baseball doubleheader from Bremerton today winning 2-1 and 2-0.

Both contests were nip-and-tuck affairs.

Bremerton scored first in the opener, but Yakima came back with two runs in the second inning on Bremerton's two errors, an infield hit and an attempted sacrifice.

In the nightcap, Bremerton's big John Marshall, the league's winningest pitcher, gave Yakima only three hits. But all three came in the fourth inning as Al Jacinto singled in the first, Bob Williams doubled and Ted Jennings singled to right for both tallies.

Bremerton — 010 000 0—1 6 2
Yakima — 020 000 0—2 6 0
Ragni and Neal; Powell and Ortega.

Bremerton — 000 000 000—0 8 0
Yakima — 000 200 00—2 8 1
Marshall and Ronning; Sweiger and Tornay.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21 4
Spokane — 001 102 000—4 8 3
Ward and Day; Adams, Kimball (5), Werbowksi (7), Howard (9) and Parks.

Victoria — 000 040 605—15 21