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 Broad Construction
1 HERE is a disposition by the democratic special pleaders case. A careful reading the decision will disclose that on one est construction of the constitution. That is, in its interpreta
tion of this clause of Section 8, Article I of the constitution "The congress shall have power- imposts and exciges, to pay the debts and
wartare of the Untited States.".
The question was whether the power of congress' $t$
levy taxes was limited to the carrying out of other enumer ated powers assigned to the national government. Justice
Roberts reviews the history of interpretations of this clause He cites Madison's position that the power to tax was re
stricted by the other grants of power. "Hamilton, on the other hand, maintained the clause confers a power separate
and distinct from those later enumerated... and congress consequently has a substantive power to tax and to appro-
priate, limited only by the requirement that it shall be exercised to provide for the general welfare of the United States.
Justice Story, whose studies in constitutional law have be come recognized as basic textbooks in the schools, adopted
 In other words the court set up for the first time, the
rule that the power of congress to levy taxes, duties, excises
is broad, limited only by the qualifying words: "to pay, debts provide for the common defense and general welfare." Rob
erts quates Monroe, Hamilton and Story to the effect that
the purpose of the tax must be general and not local, on After adopting this broad view of the taxing power of
the congress Justice Roberts does not endeavor to test the processing taxe
fare". He says:

$\qquad$ dered the conclusion of the court appeared to be the only
thing that counted. In reality the adoption of the broad construction view of the taxing power is of equal significance
In simple language the court says the congress has full pow-
er to levy taxes and make appropriations, if those purpose are "to pay debts and provide for the common defense an
general welfare." And the language of the decision and of
former decisions indicates that the court is fully inclined give very wide latitude to congress' own interpretation of
"general welfare". Here indeed is a pronounced victory fo the national principle.
On the question of over-reaching delegated powers it
seems to us the opinion of Mr. Justice Roberts is logical and "A possible result of sustaining the claimed federal power
would be that every business group which thought titself under-
privileged might demand that a tax be taid on its vendors or
vendes, the proceds to be appropriated to the redress of its
deficiency of income. to
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$


$\qquad$
$\qquad$
We have analyzed at some length the opinion of
court as read by Mr. Justice Roberts for the purpose o
futing the patent slurs cast by President Roosevelt at futing the patent slurs cast by President Roosevelt at the
court in his Jackson day address. There can be no doubt that
his phrases "haughty and sterile intellectualism", "must his phras
reaction"
thrusts at the court. Such at least may be inferred from the boisterous applause given them by the assembled appointive
office-holders at the banquet. No such charge is proper office--holders at the banquet. No such charge is proper
against the supreme court. It adopted the broad interpreta tion of the taxing power. It adopted the only sensible inter-
pretation of the constitution, the one consistently followed throughout the history of the court, namely that the con-
gress is not a parliament of unlimited powers. Such a ruling
is not "sterile intellectualism". It is merely honest thinking. is not "sterile intellectualism". It is merely honest thinking.
The trouble with the president is that he ignores legai
and constitutional restraint He would modify all laws aband constitutional restraint. He would modify all laws abhigh and lofty; and he would brand as hopeless reactionaries and as minions of greed all whose opinions differ from his
own. Quite aside from the constitutional question, the AAA is subject to very grave criticism from the standpoint of
national policy. But it is unjust and unfair to condemn the
majority of the supreme court for the performance of their duty of sustaining the federal form of government under our constitution. A study of the opinion shows it was broad an
enlightened; it was the legislation which was screwy.
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