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 the future of the present administrative organization
ing the state board itself. Our word to the popple $i$ i
tain their composure and await developments.
 dict. These are stiff jolts, showing that the Califorriai juries
are abbe ot go the whol rood when they make up their minds
In both cases, there appeared, from newspaper accounts, some
Inche
 respecting their attitude on the stand. ${ }^{\text {Miss }}$ Windor if the verdict is unheld, will have to pay
a pretty penny because she accepted attention from a p payboo vagrant, because hes soome. tired of mains \$ Wirfections Perhams
the should sue someone else now; and then tell the ex-wife that she will pay as she is paid, the same as the French on
war debts and reparations. The man in the case, however,
would appear to be the man who should do the paving Per. Woups hispear for wife in sticking himm for alimony, too. Per-
hecepting as correct the jury's verdict in the Lamson case, it becomes a genuinely interesting pyychological study. enamoured of another, why in this day and age, would he
proeed to kill her? All he would need to do would be to waik out and get a divorce, or let her get a divorce. That
wouldn't have been nearly so messy as a murder. If his
crime was comitted in a fit of passion, then one would expect as show of deep remorre. Sudents of human nature
and of psychology should find a great deal of material for and of psychology showld find a great deal of material
further stuy in this case, regardless of the final outcome. \\ \section*{F} \\ \section*{F}
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