So Holds Speaker Carter in the Matter of the Impeachment Bill.

THE HOUSE SUSTAINS HIM

Initiates that Friends of the Bill in the Present Form Insisted on theoppel to the Recommodation of the Messers.

BAWAK, Jan. 1.—The effort to obtain reconsideration of the reapportionment bill in the house, which grew prominent of a house fight at the close of the session yesterday, has failed. After a debate of over and hour this morning the decision of the house in holding the bill had passed beyond the jurisdiction of the house and was sustained, by votes of 20 to 20, almost 7.

The question being put whether the decision of the chair should stand as the judgment of the house, Mooney and Wilson in the floor in defense of the position held by the speaker. The said the house was not whether the reapportionment bill was just, but whether the speaker was right in his ruling, and appealed to the members to consider the feelings upon the reapportionment bill influence their vote. Mooney's motion was voted to sustain the speaker from the plaid standpoint.

Bawker was the first to take the floor in opposition to the ruling of the speaker, and contended that the house was the judge of its own rule, and could give them new construction as it saw fit. He also intimated that there must be some motive in the attempt to defeat reconsideration.

Robert alleged that the speaker's rules of order in the action of the day on the doctrine of the reapportionment bill, and also the congressional records in the Missouri and Pennsylvania cases.

Foy seconded the motion to refer to Mr. Bawker's for his own defeat, and asked for a postponement of the reapportionment bill until the next session was taken.

Bawker said the logical sequence of the action contemplated by the opposition to the bill was carried out, stating it was just as easy to go a step further and recall a bill when the governor had signed it. He said it was not time for sharp policy and prudence, as the even of the state were upon them, and for himself would vote to sustain the speaker.

Bawker replied to Bawker and then tock the floor on a question of his own, and asked for a postponement of the reapportionment bill until the next session was taken.

The bill on the new floor was the action contemplated by the opposition to the bill was carried out, stating it was just as easy to go a step further and recall a bill when the governor had signed it. He said it was not time for sharp policy and prudence, as the even of the state were upon them, and for himself would vote to sustain the speaker.

Bawker replied to Bawker and then tock the floor on a question of his own, and asked for a postponement of the reapportionment bill until the next session was taken.