

Sen. Robinson Outlines Democratic Policies In Notifying Nominee

Herewith is presented the text of Senator Robinson's address, formally notifying Governor Cox of his nomination to the presidency, by the Democratic party:

Dayton, Ohio, Aug. 7.—Governor Cox: The custom of giving formal notice of their selection to party nominees originated when methods of transmitting intelligence were slow and unreliable.

Means of communication now available under notification ceremonies, in a sense, superfluous. The practice continues chiefly because it affords an opportune occasion for the candidate to express his interpretation of platform declarations under conditions which give to his views great publicity. The circumstances attending your nomination should be highly gratifying to you. The San Francisco convention considered the qualifications of a number of able and loyal Democratic leaders of mature experience and national-wide renown. From the delegates to the convention, you were a favorite. Throughout the proceedings, a laudable rivalry prevailed. When on the forty-fourth ballot you were nominated, the action of the convention was practically unanimous. Seldom a contest so impetuous has terminated so harmoniously. You are not only the choice of the convention, but the choice is almost universally held by the Democrats and meets with the favor of many independent voters.

The convention reached its decision through the exercise of untrammeled judgment by the delegates, without coercion or undue influence from political bosses. Neither midnight combinations nor plutocratic cabals were instrumental in your selection.

The honor and responsibility attending this nomination came to you because your position respecting campaign issues is to be in harmony with the platform adopted and because the record of your public service demonstrates your leadership.

However well equipped in mentality you may be for public life, capacity for safe leadership in stress of time can only result from experience. Four years in the house of representatives, when congress was dealing with issues vital to the country's progress, familiarized you with legislative procedure and with the importance of cooperation between the legislative and executive departments.

REMARKABLE RECORD
It is not surprising that the wholehearted reforms accomplished in Ohio through your efforts as governor at that time have become a model for every questionable influence in the state. In spite of opposition which at times threatened to become overwhelming, by gallant and courageous performance of duty you have retained the admiration of the grateful public.

It seems appropriate to state in this connection some of the things of permanent benefit which your initiative has given to the people of Ohio. The new constitution, ratified through your efforts, made possible a series of legislative and administrative reforms without parallel in number and importance in the annals of any other state.

It is not practicable here to review in detail these reforms. They vitallized existing institutions and created new agencies for the promotion of the happiness and prosperity of a progressive people.

You secured the passage of a workmen's compensation law which 40 other states have taken for a model. This measure provides compensation for workmen who are disabled or killed through their disability, and at the same time safeguards employers against unfair and extortionate claims. It has worked as well that it is said the number of lawsuits involving workmen's compensation decreased from approximately 50,000 in 1912 to less than 50 in 1913.

INDUSTRIAL DISTURBANCES
No better example of courageous discharge of duty is known than the manner in which you dealt with the industrial problems of Ohio during the war. With firmness and patience you harmonized antagonistic interests, conciliated between employer and employee, and without resort to force succeeded in keeping in continuous operation the steel, mining and transportation industries of the state, admittedly indispensable to the comfort of your people and to the success of our arms.

THE BUDGET SYSTEM
The budget system is an enduring monument to your genius in statesmanship. This measure placed the various state departments on a sound financial basis. Notwithstanding the greatly increased cost of administration incident to the war, the state government has been operated without increase in the rates. The measure has proved so satisfactory that it has been adopted by many other states.

FOR WOMAN SUFFRAGE
You espoused the cause of woman suffrage in your public career, and it must give you sincere satisfaction to realize that our party is committed to the cause for the public good.

Our platform declares for cooperation between the public and private.

DIAMONDS, WATCHES, SILVERWARE
Attractive Midsummer Prices on High-Quality Articles

Ring set with diamond and two sapphires; fancy mounting and platinum top; exceptional value, \$115.

All-platinum Dinner Ring, set with 49 perfectly cut diamonds, \$350.

Solitaire Diamond Rings of Superior Size and Color \$100

Men's Bracelet Watches at Special Discounts

Elgin, Waltham and Swiss movements; khaki or leather wrist bands. Radiotele dials. See our showing and our reduced prices.

Silver Specials

Hammered silver-plated Lemon Dishes with tray and fork, \$1.15.

Jam Jar with hammered top and handle, \$1.50.

Hammered Silver Salt and Pepper Shakers in stand, \$1.50.

Bead, Leather and Silk Shopping Bags Reduced—Summer Sale of Silk Umbrellas

Friedlander's

Established 1870
110-112 WASHINGTON STREET
Between Fifth and Sixth

NOTIFIES GOVERNOR COX

Senator Robinson, Whose Address of Notification to Governor Cox Outlined Democratic Campaign Principles

of the national government with the states for the protection of child life, the prohibition of child labor, the promotion of the welfare of working women and the stamping out of illiteracy as a means of elevating the standard of citizenship.

The Democratic party passed the war risk insurance act, which distributes and makes attractive military and naval service by justly protecting the dependents of our soldiers and sailors.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

During recent years the rules of the senate have been invoked to prevent that body from expressing its conclusion regarding many subjects in which the public felt a profound interest.

We have what is known as the rule of "unlimited debate," which permits a senator, having the floor, to speak as long as he pleases on any subject that he chooses. The "previous question" motion is not allowed.

The platform commits the party to the policy of arming service men to secure homes, a provision which may be made more beneficial than cash bonus legislation.

U. S. WOULD BE WORLD DICTATOR IN OIL DISPUTES

By Ralph F. Couch

Washington, Aug. 7.—The United States government is preparing to assume a world dictatorship in the oil controversy, it was learned here today, if necessary to prevent the world's future output from being monopolized by Great Britain and France.

A diplomatic offensive now is under way to force Great Britain to accord to Americans equal rights in development of new fields in territory now held or to be held under mandate of the League of Nations.

The strength of the United States in the oil controversy is the fact that this country now is producing about two-thirds of the present world output of oil, according to Chief Geologist White of the interior department. United States oil production this year is expected to run to 400,000,000 barrels.

The weapon the United States may use in its diplomatic offensive is an embargo on oil exports to England and France, it was said. Without American kerosene and lubricating oils, the British navy would have to go into Scapa Flow and anchor permanently, according to government oil experts.

The diplomatic game is to trade present supplies for the right to develop future fields on equal terms with companies of Great Britain and France. The developed proposal is to let the United States in on the development of possible fields in Persia, Mesopotamia, Rumania, and Roumania.

The officials of this government assume that England and France will go on the theory that United States oil now being produced and delivered is worth the possibility of oil yet to be discovered and developed in new fields.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

monopolized by Great Britain and France.

A diplomatic offensive now is under way to force Great Britain to accord to Americans equal rights in development of new fields in territory now held or to be held under mandate of the League of Nations.

The strength of the United States in the oil controversy is the fact that this country now is producing about two-thirds of the present world output of oil, according to Chief Geologist White of the interior department. United States oil production this year is expected to run to 400,000,000 barrels.

The weapon the United States may use in its diplomatic offensive is an embargo on oil exports to England and France, it was said. Without American kerosene and lubricating oils, the British navy would have to go into Scapa Flow and anchor permanently, according to government oil experts.

The diplomatic game is to trade present supplies for the right to develop future fields on equal terms with companies of Great Britain and France. The developed proposal is to let the United States in on the development of possible fields in Persia, Mesopotamia, Rumania, and Roumania.

The officials of this government assume that England and France will go on the theory that United States oil now being produced and delivered is worth the possibility of oil yet to be discovered and developed in new fields.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.

The strong position which the United States is prepared to take was hinted at in the British house of commons by Cecil Harcourt, who told commons that the United States had made representations for equal rights for Americans in all territory under mandates of the league.