

CHRISTIANITY FOR DEMOCRACY BASIS LARGED BY SPEAKER

Judge Alden of Massachusetts at Chautauqua Asserts People Should Have More Conscience.

BIG PAGEANT IS PLANNED

Children to Portray Founding of Nation; Problems of Juvenile Court Work are Discussed.

Gladstone Park, Williamette Valley Chautauqua, July 11.—Declaring that Christ and Christianity are the center of life and civilization, Judge George D. Alden, Massachusetts jurist, addressed a large crowd at the Chautauqua Thursday night. He asserted that no democracy could be safe unless based on Christianity.

Judge Alden spoke on "The Needs of the Hour" and his lecture was an intellectual treat. While the world is being made safe for democracy, he said, democracy should be made safe for the world, people should have more conscience in their dealings with fellowmen. He said that the world is drifting away from a safe democracy because of utter disregard of individualism.

BIBLE READING URGED
"Graft and greed have undermined the American manhood, and put conscience on the sliding scale," said the speaker. "Every nation that turns from God goes down to defeat."

Judge Alden urged his hearers to reform themselves, saying they could not expect to reform cities, towns, governments or officials until this had been done—that conscience and duty must be the keynote used by the people. He said that the people must be God-fearing people. He emphasized the value of a more general reading and studying of the Bible at home, and declared that the man who reads the Bible for God had no respect for himself, and that the lack of self-respect was the cause of most of the ills of today.

Here the speaker tore a page from his own life's book and read a sentence that, in his younger days and while a jurist, he was an atheist, and how his life had been changed to that of a believer in God and that God was the creator of life, by a young rector, who had turned down a \$10,000 a year position as advertising manager for John Wanamaker of New York, to accept that of a minister at \$1200 a year.

PAGEANT TO BE STAGED

Among the arrivals at the park Thursday was Mrs. Hazel Packard Murphy of La Grande, who is in charge of the Junior Chautauqua work of the circuit, and will remain until after the big children's pageant on July 18, which will be given under the personal direction of Miss Julia Hunter, director of Junior activities. Miss Hunter has the largest class of Junior members in the circuit at the Chautauqua and expects to have a total of 150 in the pageant. This year's production is patriotic in character, based on the founding of the nation.

At Thursday's morning Bible hour, Dr. W. B. Hinson of Portland spoke on "Immortality," Mrs. Virginia Spencer Hutchinson sang.

Mrs. M. S. Blumauer, head of the Junior Red Cross of Multnomah county, spoke at the forum hour and was followed by Mrs. Saddle Orr Dunbar, secretary of the State Tuberculosis association, and Dr. Charles Ulysses Moore, a member of the children's bureau in France and Italy during the war, who spoke on "Infant and Child Welfare." The symposium, which began at 5 o'clock was under the direction of Mrs. Eva Bimery Dye Thursday. Mrs. Mary Mallett, probation officer of the juvenile court of Multnomah county, spoke on "Moral Education and Child Welfare." She said that neglected homes are not all foreign homes and declared that there should be laws on the statute books to prevent a landlord from renting a house not fit for a dog to live in. She reviewed the juvenile court work, giving an idea of what causes delinquency in children. Miss Mary Brown of Seattle, state president of the West Washington W. C. T. U. and national superintendent of moral education, also spoke.

The program for this afternoon and evening is:

4:30, baseball, Kirkpatrick's versus West Linn; 5, symposium, "The Future of the Nation," Dr. J. W. Caldwell and Miss Ann Schneider; 7:30, concert, Castellers' Concert band; entertainment, Bess Gearhart Morgan.

Saturday's program is:

Morning
8:10, physical culture, Professor H. T. Smith; 9:10, Chautauqua, Dr. J. W. Caldwell, Dr. MacFall; 9:15, emergency first aid demonstration; 9:15, children's Chautauqua, Miss Julia Hunter; 10, Sunday school teachers' training class, "Primary Department," Dr. W. B. Hinson; 11, forum hour, E. J. Stack of State Federation of Labor.

1:30, musical entertainment, the Parnells; lecture, "Some By-Products of Armageddon," Dr. Robert Sutcliffe; 4, baseball, Caywens versus Estacada; 7:30, concert, "New Problems in Education of Girls," Helen E. Barrett.

Evening
7:30, musical entertainment, the Parnells; lecture, "Awake, Ye Dry Bones," J. C. Herberman.

BEEF TRUST TARGET OF TRADE REPORT

(Continued From Page One)

undetermined interest in 93—a total of 78 companies—and that they produce or deal in some 77 commodities, largely food products, the report gives the picture of growing packer invasion into related and unrelated industries.

In addition to meat foods, they produce or deal in such diverse commodities as fresh tomatoes and banana strings, leather and cottonseed oil, breakfast foods, curled hair, patent shoe powders. Their branch houses are not only stations for the distribution of meat and poultry, but take on the character of wholesale grocery stores, dealers in various kinds of products and jobbers to special lines of trade.

BANKS ALSO CONTROLLED

The report states that the "Big Five" are interested in banks, which their competitor packing houses borrow money, as well as in companies supplying machinery and supplies to themselves and their competitors. They are principal dealers on the provision exchange where future prices in standard cured animal products are determined, and they are also important factors in

the leather industry, oleomargarine and hard substitutes, in fertilizer, soap, glass, etc.

"Individuals of the Armour family are owners of grain and elevator companies," the report says. "And J. Ogden Armour is also a prominent factor in the American International corporation, with its shipping and ship building interests, its import and export companies in various lines, its interest in a company organized to develop and raise the oil and allied industries in Paraguay; its control of a large tea importing company and a large machinery corporation, and its minority stock in the United Fruit company."

OTHER INTERESTS SHOWN

"Some of Swift & Co.'s subsidiaries are growing tropical fruits in Hawaii; a subsidiary of Wilson & Co. has reached into the Salmon fisheries in Alaska, and a Morris concern causes shrimp taken from the Gulf of Mexico. In England, France, Italy, Holland and other European countries large meat distributing companies have been organized by the packers to sell the meats from their plants in the United States, Argentina, Uruguay, Paraguay, Brazil and Australia."

The "Big Five," the report shows, have control of or interest in public utility corporations in Sioux City, Kansas, South St. Paul, Portland, Ore., South San Francisco, South St. Joseph, Mo., Mill City, Minn.; Fort Worth and Dallas, Texas; and other cities. Wilson and Armour are also directors in banks affiliated closely with those strong at the center of their power in the United States, it is set forth.

CREDIT ALSO FACTOR
"Being thus allied with the powerful interests at the sources of credit, the packers' power is great, not only for financing their own national and international operations, but for affecting, for good or for ill, the credit of cattle producers and competitors of customers in any line," the commission states.

The report goes into great detail regarding the operations of the "Big Five" in all phases of food production as well as in the field of by-products and unrelated industries.

SWEETING DENIAL IS MADE BY SWIFT & CO.

Chicago, July 11.—Louis F. Swift, president of Swift & Co., today declared that the latest report of the federal trade commission contained no new facts and was evidence of effort to influence legislation at this time proposed radical legislation. He said:

"This latest report of the federal trade commission is merely an attack upon large and successful business organizations and should be resented by all Americans who are proud of the industrial progress of the nation. It contains nothing new and is an outgrowth of the former discredited Heney ex parte investigation. This report, so far as I am informed, contains absolutely no evidence of collusion among the five largest packers and I say for Swift & Co., as I have said many times, that we have no agreement of any kind with any other packer to affect the prices of livestock or meats."

FIGURES DECLARED JUGGLLED

"The manner in which the trade commission juggles figures and reports to sensationalism betrays the insincerity of the commission. We are living up to both the spirit and the letter of the law and want to cooperate with the government in every helpful and constructive way, but we must protest against the methods used by this important branch of the government. We say that the large packers and their individual businesses are a public benefit and a decided factor in the supply of meat between livestock and meat prices."

"This report is put out now, as a part of the propaganda in which the trade commission with others is engaged seeking to destroy the packing industry and other lines of business to the arbitrary control of subordinate government officials through a license system."

LACK OF TRUTH ALLEGED

"It is to be regretted that in these days when the public feels keenly the pressure of high prices a government body does not conduct its investigation with fairness and honesty. This has never been done fairly by the federal trade commission as regards the packing industry, although the commission has constantly had the most complete information in its possession."

"No one disputes that out of the total receipts of the packers derived from the products of animals about 85 per cent is paid out for the live animals themselves. About 12 per cent is paid out for wages, transportation and other such expenses. About 2 per cent on this turnover is earned by the packer as net profit and no one disputes that this amount is but a small fraction of a cent per pound and that it is so negligible as not in any event to affect the price which the consumer pays for meat."

REPORT CALLED IMMATERIAL

"The report just issued by the trade commission and its discussion of the number of subsidiary companies and its calculation of percentages of the classes of business handled by the packers are not only erroneous but are entirely immaterial to the real question in which the public is interested, namely, why are prices high?"

"I do not believe that the findings of such a prejudiced and unfair report should be used to influence public opinion or as a basis for the radical legislation that has been introduced at Washington."

BUTLERS VISITORS

Mr. and Mrs. Truman Butler and two children, from Hood River, arrived at the Benson Thursday on the way to Seaside, where they will spend a three weeks' vacation. They were accompanied to Portland by their father, Leslie Butler.

DANCE TONIGHT

Cotillion Hall

Portland's Dancing Palace, cool and best ventilated hall, has a dancing evening door. Wonderful orchestra.

Dancing Every Evening

MONTEOSE PARK

"45 Minutes from Broadway" Our New Resort on the Columbia Highway 2 Miles Beyond Troutdale will open for the season Sunday, July 13. Picnicking, bathing, dancing. Bring your lunch and bathing suit and stay all day. Park for lease to clubs and organizations.

What advantages do we offer piano customers?

Answer:
Piano Quality
—Piano Service and Money Saved.
Get in on the July Clearance Sale terms.

Lipman Wolfe & Co.
"Merchandise of Merit Only"

FUNERAL SERVICES HELD THURSDAY FOR THOMAS GIBSON

Nearly Half Century Spent in Service of Weather Bureau; Helped Take Geronimo.

Funeral services were held Thursday morning at 11 o'clock at the Holmes undertaking parlors for Thomas Gibson, 445 Eleventh street, for nearly 60 years a member of the United States weather bureau and the army signal corps, from which the bureau was made. The body was cremated at the Portland crematorium. Reverend John Dawson conducted the funeral services.

Mr. Gibson was an assistant observer in the weather bureau. He was born in Philadelphia in 1847, and while a young man, made the trip from there around Cape Horn to San Francisco, to visit the western coast. He remained on this coast but a short time, returning to Philadelphia across the continent. Later he enlisted in the army and served three years, and again for service in the signal corps in 1874, entering the meteorological branch.

He remained in the service until 1891, when the weather bureau was organized from the meteorological branch of the signal corps and placed under the department of agriculture. He had trained for the work at Fort Myer, Va., and was assigned to the station at Wilmington, N. C., after leaving the training school.

During his army service he served some as a telegraph operator, and at the time of the Indian wars he took part in the capture of Geronimo, the Apache chief. He was receiving a pension for his Indian war service.

In 1890, when the weather bureau was placed under the department of agriculture, he was placed in charge of the office at Roseburg, being transferred from there to Portland as an assistant observer in 1898.

Here the veteran observer's work brought him on duty at 4:30 o'clock in the morning, and he worked thus continuously until June 30, when his age, he became ill early in the morning and was not able to work, calling for the capture of Geronimo, the Apache chief. He was receiving a pension for his Indian war service.

He survived by his widow, two daughters, Ruth and Sibyl, and his son Irving Gibson of Sacramento, who came to Portland for the funeral.

ARBITRATION IS THE LEAGUE'S BIG WEAPON

(Continued From Page One)

recognize to be suitable for submission to arbitration and which cannot be satisfactorily settled by diplomacy, they will submit the whole subject matter to arbitration. Disputes as to the interpretation of a treaty, as to the existence of any fact which would constitute a breach of any international obligation, or as to the extent and nature of the reparation to be made for any such breach, are declared to be among those which are generally suitable for submission to arbitration.

AGREED BY PARTIES
Following this are provisions that the court of arbitration in a given case shall be the one agreed upon by the parties, or provided for in treaties between them, and a pledge in good faith to abide by the award.

Attention should also be directed to article 21, which provides that treaties of arbitration existing shall not be affected by the covenant of the league. After considering these clauses, the

Government officials in India are considering the use of submarine boats from Ceylon to gather pearl shells from the bottom of the ocean.

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

Seattle Star. "Wilson's speech was disappointing because it dealt entirely in generalities. The people of the United States are entitled to be told by the president in an intelligent way, but he seems to expect their support without taking them into his confidence. Sentimentally, the people of the United States are for the league—if it is the kind of league Wilson says it is. But mere assertion does not prove the case."

reader may reconcile Mr. Root's statement that "nothing has been done" in the best way he can.

There is no secret as to why compulsory arbitration was not provided for in the league. News dispatches during the revision of the covenant stated that President Wilson and Colonel House strongly favored it. They were unable to secure it because other countries would not agree. There was also considerable doubt as to whether the senate of the United States would ratify any agreement for compulsory arbitration.

RESERVATIONS CAUSED DEFEAT

It is a matter of history that when President Taft negotiated the general arbitration treaty with Great Britain the senate made a wry face over it and adopted "reservations." The principal reservation was that this country would require a special agreement defining the matter to be arbitrated to be approved by the senate before the arbitration treaty was to be ratified. The result in the failure of the treaty, which may serve as a tip for those who are proposing to load the present treaty with reservations.

The world not being ready in 1919 for general compulsory arbitration, agreement was reached that the president should not submit to arbitration matters not submitted to arbitration shall be referred to the council or the assembly. One method or the other was the purpose of the treaty.

Looking to the creation of a permanent court for settlement of what Root calls "questions of right," the covenant called "justiciable," the covenant directs the council to formulate and submit to its members plans for adoption of a permanent court of international justice.

HELD AS ILLUSION

Pursuing the illusion that "nothing has been done" toward arbitration, Mr. Root suggests that the senate should adopt a resolution at practically the same time that it ratifies the treaty, formally requesting the president to open negotiations with the other great powers for reestablishment and strengthening of a system of arbitration.

The covenant of the league contains a much stronger provision. The action proposed by Root is a request by the president to the other great powers to formulate plans, which are to be submitted for adoption by the league, which are members of the league.