

TOWN TOPICS

(1126 DAY OF 1915.)

AMUSEMENTS

HELIUM—Broadway at Taylor. Curtain 2:15 and 8:15. Book and Talk in "The Daily Show." Wednesday and Saturday matinees. 8:15. Broadway and Sixth. Curtain 2:20 and 8:20. Matinee Sunday. Wednesday and Saturday. Italian Grand Opera company in "Fratellina Rusticana" and "I Pagliacci."

Coming Events. Realty Board luncheon at Commercial club April 22. Transportation club luncheon at Multnomah hotel, April 23. Annual show of boys of Y. M. C. A., at Y. M. C. A. gymnasium, April 22-24. Oregon Civic League at Multnomah hotel, April 24.

Central Library Meetings. Nationalist society, every Sunday evening. Oregon Civic League, every Tuesday evening. Pacific university extension lectures, every Wednesday evening.

Port Information Supplied. Information regarding this port may be obtained from the Portland Chamber of Commerce, 15th street. Telephone Main 90 or A-1203.

Fire and Police. Fire department—Main 7100. A-1523. Police department—Main 1181. A-5751.

Today's Forecast. Portland and vicinity—Fair tonight and Friday. Winds westerly. Light showers Saturday.

Weather Conditions. A moderate low pressure area in the central or Utah and a small high pressure area over the Canadian coast.

Observations. Station. High. Low. Rain. Wind. Direction. Force. Clouds. Precipitation. Last 24 hours.

Table with columns for Station, High, Low, Rain, Wind, Direction, Force, Clouds, Precipitation. Lists various cities like Baker, Astoria, Beaverton, etc.

Pennsylvania Club Dinner. The Pennsylvania club will hold its annual dinner at the University club Thursday evening, April 22, at 6:30 o'clock, being the birthday of Andrew D. Curtin, who is by many believed to have been the greatest war governor in office during the time of the Rebellion.

eral George B. Meade" will be treated by Professor Ewing. In view of the large number of requests received by the club, the society has concluded to permit the attendance of non-members, and gentlemen desiring to spend the evening with the club will kindly register themselves with the club secretary, C. R. Meloney, 615 Oregonian building.

To Revis Housing Code.—The Oregon Civic League at its luncheon in the Multnomah hotel Saturday, notified the city council that it will revive the housing code. More than a year ago a housing code was submitted to the council and for some reason nothing has been done. Speakers will discuss the city's housing problem, the list including R. G. Dieck, commissioner of public works; Rev. E. V. O'Hara, chairman of the housing commission; Oregon Consumers' league; Miss Caroline Gleason, who made a partial survey of housing conditions in different parts of the city; Miss Ada McGown, who has been investigating housing conditions in Albina during the winter; and Charles E. Warner, who will discuss the relation between housing and taxation.

Blind Orator Guest.—F. S. Attwood, the blind orator of the Knights of Pythias, and three times grand chancellor of Minnesota, was received last night at K. of P. hall by a large number of members of the order, their friends and families. Among the distinguished members present were A. E. Wrightman of Silverton, grand chancellor; R. R. Stinson of Salem, grand record keeper; Frank S. Grant, past grand chancellor; William M. Calkins of Mosier, P. of H. Charles E. Clark of Portland, J. B. Bourne of Clatskanie; He was accompanied from Tacoma by Henry Windsor. He speaks tonight at Astoria, at St. Johns tomorrow night at The Dalles Saturday night, and will be in Boise, Idaho, the first of next week.

Colored Woman in Need.—A strong, healthy colored woman wants work. She has a 3-year-old daughter and applied for a widow's pension. Investigation proved that she desired the pension to pay for care of the baby while she sought work. Under the circumstances the pension cannot be granted as the pensioners are offered that women may remain at home to care for their children. This woman can earn much more than the \$10 a month for the pension and care for her daughter better if given work and the juvenile court asks that those having work communicate with the juvenile court, which will confer with the woman, may support herself and her child.

Three Transactions Admitted.—U. S. Grant, who arrived Tuesday night at midnight as he was about to enter his home by the rear door by Deputy Constables Moshier and Moshier, charged with passing bad checks. Moshier is a sign painter and resides at 850 East Taylor street. He will be given a hearing in the juvenile court, when confronted with checks that he had passed which had been dishonored he admitted three of the transactions. He was in trouble on the night he was arrested, having a wife and one child. The William J. Burns International Detective agency assisted in securing evidence against Moshier.

Spanish Society to Meet.—A meeting of the Spanish American society of Oregon will be held Thursday, tonight, in hall A of the Central library, at 8 o'clock. A. R. Vejar, of this city, will deliver an address in Spanish and English. The subject is "Conditions in Mexico." Other features of the evening program will be vocal selections rendered by Miss Maxine Hombree. A greater scope is to be given to the national and humorous sketches of Spanish social life, in which every member of the society will take an active part. Those interested in the study of the language and friends of the society are cordially invited.

Albina Public Market.—Public opening of the Albina public market, on Knott street, for the season of 1915 will take place on May 15, according to plans made by the market committee of the Albina Consumers' league yesterday. Business houses in the district will be specially decorated. Arrangements for the opening will be in the hands of a committee of women from the Consumers' league. They will be assisted by members of the Albina Business Men's club. Sandwiches and hot coffee will be served to the public.

Temperance Lecturer Returns.—The temperance forces of the city, assisted by the peace advocates, will join in greeting Frederick Hanckel, lecturer on at a cafeteria luncheon to be held at the Y. M. C. A. Wednesday, April 23, at 12 o'clock noon. Mr. Hanson has been in the city for the past year visiting England, Denmark, Norway and Sweden as a traveling evangelist and peace and temperance lecturer under the auspices of the Sunnyside Friends' church.

Bishop Sumner to Officiate.—Bishop Walter Taylor Sumner will make his first official visitation to St. Johns Episcopal church, Milwaukie, to administer the sacrament of confirmation. He will not attempt to preach. Bishop Sumner plans to keep all his confirmation engagements without exception but will defer preaching engagements until his voice regains its strength.

strength. St. John's church, Milwaukie, was one of the first Episcopal churches in Oregon having been established in 1854. The Rev. John D. Rice is vicar.

Jewish Question Discussed.—That the eyes of the Jewish people will be opened, and that they will yet come to acknowledge Jesus as the son of God, was the declaration of Dr. A. C. Gabelstein, speaking at the White Temple last night on "The Great Jewish Question." The speaker asserted it and the Gentile nations would yet be punished for their persecution of the Jews, and stated that they would be given salvation as soon as they were made to see and believe. A large number of Jews were in the congregation.

Residents File Complaint.—Residents of the vicinity of the Reams gravel pit on the Base Line road have petitioned the county commissioners to stop the practice of dumping garbage in the pit and to clean up the mess already there. The matter was referred to Sheriff Harburt with the request that he investigate it and find those who have been dumping the garbage if possible.

No Danger of Rabies.—Fearing that he might become afflicted with rabies from handling a mad coyote which he had killed Douglas Johnson, postmaster of Fremont, Lake county, Or., applied to State Health Officer Calvin S. White for treatment yesterday. Dr. White assured him that there was no danger of rabies from the animal, however. Johnson is the son of Levi Johnson of the Men's Resort.

Circus Coming Tomorrow.—A circus is to be in town tomorrow and Saturday nights. It is to be the Jarum & Bingham circus, and will be in the Y. M. C. A. gymnasium. There will be 200 boy actors, and a lot of clowns and other fun makers. J. E. Werlein and E. J. Jaeger will be ringmasters.

Clerk Coffey to Speak.—County Clerk John B. Coffey will be the speaker at the regular weekly luncheon of the Commercial club tomorrow noon. George P. Henry, chairman of the board's entertainment committee, will preside.

Reed College Lecture Course.—In Reed college extension course 12, natural science, Dr. H. R. Torrey will give the sixty-sixth lecture, entitled "The Beginning of Intelligence," tomorrow afternoon at 3 o'clock, in the biological lecture room of the college.

Speaking Class to Meet.—Professor Prescott, of the University of Oregon extension course, will meet his class in public speaking and parliamentary law at 7:30 this evening in room B of the Central library.

Gordon Granger Corps officers and members are requested to attend the funeral of our member, Mrs. Snyder, at 10 o'clock, at the residence of her daughter, Mrs. J. E. Jaeger.

H. C. Smith, Attorney-at-Law, has moved his office from the Commercial building to rooms 828 and 829 Lumbers building, 5th and Stark sts., Ad.

Week-End Fishing Trip to Salmon river. Guide furnished. Auto leaves p. m. Saturday. Phone Tabor 3796. Ad.

The Pen Store.—Fount, in pens, ink, repairs and exchanges. 254 1/2 Washington St., Morgan bldg., near Park Ad.

University of Michigan Club.—Friday noon at the Hazelwood the University of Michigan club of Oregon will meet for luncheon at 12 o'clock.

versity of Michigan club of Oregon will meet for luncheon at 12 o'clock. near Alder, as a retail department for milk and dairy products. (Adv.)

Steamer Jesse Hawkins for Camas. Washougal and way landings, daily except Sunday. Leaves Washington street dock at 2 p. m. (Adv.)

Motel Moore, Beavette, Or., has opened for the spring and summer season. For reservations address Dan J. Moore, prop. (Adv.)

Crawfish to the Queen's Taste. Snyder's, 1st and Main streets, daily except Friday, 35c doz. Main 6782. (Adv.)

Shipper's Hot Springs.—A good time to go. E. L. SHIPPER, Mgd. Ad.

Country Slab Wood, good as cord wood. Main 65, A-1555. (Adv.)

I. R. Fox, optician, Journal bldg. Ad.

VON BERNSTORFF IS REBUKED IN NOTE SENT BY PRESIDENT (Continued From Page One.)

United States and Germany, and the attitude of this government with regard to the exportation of arms from the United States to the nations now at war with Germany.

Note Passed Americans. "I must admit that I am somewhat at a loss how to interpret your excellency's treatment of these matters. There are many circumstances connected with these important subjects to which I would have expected your excellency to advert, but of which you make no mention, and there are other circumstances to which you refer which I would have supposed hardly appropriate for discussion between the government of the United States and the government of Germany."

"I shall take the liberty, therefore, of regarding your excellency's references to the course pursued by the government of the United States with regard to the exportation of arms from this country, such as the government of Great Britain has attempted, as intended merely to illustrate more fully the situation to which you desire to call our attention and not as an invitation to discuss that course. Your excellency's long experience in international affairs will have suggested to you that the relations of the two governments with one another cannot be made a subject of discussion, especially with a third government, which cannot be fully informed as to the facts and which cannot be fully cognizant of the reasons for the course pursued."

Frank Attitude Is Assumed. "I believe, however, I am justified in assuming that what you desire to set forth is a frank statement of the position of this government in regard to its obligations as a neutral power. The general attitude and course of policy of this government with regard to the relations of neutrality, I am particularly anxious that your excellency should see in their true light."

"I had hoped that this government's position in these respects had been made abundantly clear, but I am, of course, perfectly willing to state it again. This seems to me the more necessary and desirable because, I re-

gret to say, the language which your excellency employ in your memorandum is susceptible of being construed as impugning the good faith of the United States in the performance of its duties as a neutral. I take it for granted that no such implication was intended, but it is so evident that your excellency is laboring under certain false impressions that I cannot be too explicit in setting forth the facts as they are, when fully reviewed and comprehended.

Neutral Rights Not Fielded. "In the first place, this government has at no time and in no manner yielded any one of its rights as a neutral to any one of the present belligerents. It has acknowledged, as a matter of course, the right of visit and search and the right to apply the rules of contraband of war to articles of commerce. It has, indeed, insisted upon the use of visit and search as an absolutely necessary safeguard against mistaking neutral vessels for vessels carrying cargoes for illegal. It has admitted also the right of blockade, if actually exercised and effectively maintained. "These are merely the well-known limitations which war places upon neutral commerce on the high seas. But nothing beyond these has it conceded. I call your excellency's attention to this, notwithstanding it is already known to all the world as a consequence of the publication of our correspondence in regard to these matters with several of the belligerent nations, because I cannot assume that you have official cognizance of it.

"In the second place, this government attempted to secure from the German and British governments mutually concessions with regard to means those governments respectively adopted for the interruption of trade on the high seas. This it did, not in fight, but merely as exercising the privilege of a sincere friend of both parties and as indicating its impartial good will.

Ambassador Is Misinformed. "The attempt was unsuccessful; but I regret that your excellency did not deem it worthy of mention in modification of the impressions you expressed. We had hoped that this act on our part had shown our spirit in these times of distressing war, as our diplomatic correspondence had shown our steadfast refusal to acknowledge the right of any belligerent to alter the accepted rules of war at sea insofar as they affect the rights and interests of neutrals.

"In the third place, I note with sincere regret that, in discussing the sale of arms by citizens of the United States to the enemies of Germany, your excellency seems to be under the impression that it was within the choice of the government of the United States, notwithstanding its professed neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles of neutrality and its diligent efforts to maintain it in other particulars, to inhibit this trade, and that its failure to do so manifested an unfair attitude toward Germany. This government holds, as I believe your excellency is aware and as it is constrained to hold in view of the present indispensible doctrine of accepted international law, that any change in its own laws of neutrality during the progress of a war which would result in the unequal relations of the United States with the nations at war would be an unjustifiable departure from the principles