

DR. HOOVER FINED \$200 FOR SELLING DRIED DEER MEAT

Ex-Mayor of Roseburg Makes Denial but Judge Who Has "Known Him Since a Boy" Considers Trial Fair.

Roseburg, June 7.—Judge Hamilton, in the circuit court here, pronounced a fine of \$200 on Dr. J. A. Hoover, ex-mayor of Roseburg, convicted by a jury of selling dried deer meat in violation of the state game law. The fine was imposed after Judge Hamilton had denied a motion for a new trial based on alleged misconduct on part of two of the jurors. It was alleged that the jurors while in the jury room conversed with persons on the outside, but this was not proven. Attorneys for the defense gave notice of appeal to the supreme court and were granted 40 days time in which to file a bill of exceptions.

When asked if he had anything to say before sentence was pronounced Hoover made the following remarks:

"I declare positively that at no time have I sold venison. When the jury found me guilty it certainly made a big mistake. I am a victim of jobbery mixed up with considerable prejudice."

Judge Hamilton replied: "I think the jury gave you a fair and impartial trial and it is now the duty of the court to act in accordance with its verdict. This matter is quite an important one with you and it is unfortunate for you as well. I have known you since you were a boy, have seen you grow to manhood and build up a successful practice as a physician and I also have seen you honored with public office. If I thought your trial had been unfair to you I would set aside the verdict, but I think it was fair. The maximum fine provided by the statute for your offense is \$250, but I shall not impose that amount. The sentence of the court is that you pay a fine of \$200."

46 MEN PLACED IN DRUNK TANK, GAS INJECTED IN CELL

(Continued From Page One.)

Details. Alexander Mackay, telling of beatings he received, displayed bruises on his throat which he declared were those of a choking he had received while being dragged from the tank to be beaten.

The police deny that they have been unnecessarily severe.

New Term Now Plan.

The legality of the city ordinance prohibiting street speaking in a restricted business district is again to be attacked by the local branch of the Free Speech league of California. This was the announcement by members of the league today soon after the last I. W. W. prisoner left the local jail. The fight this time, however, is to be on different lines, at least for the present. After due notice has been given the police department a speaker will mount a box at Fifth and Main streets, in the restricted district, and attempt to speak. If the police enforce the ordinance the case will be fought out in the courts and carried to the last court of appeal, the free speech advocates declare. This program is in direct contrast with the one with which the campaign was launched and by which the I. W. W. hoped to fill the jails and keep them filled until the city authorities surrendered. The orderly legal test has the support of all local labor men, it is said, but the jail filling plan did not.

Another Smallpox Case.

Following the emptying of the city jail of I. W. W. late yesterday when the 35 remaining prisoners pleaded guilty and were released on suspended sentences, the county jail was emptied today, although the prisoners were not released from custody as were those freed yesterday. The cause of the exodus from the county jail was a third case of smallpox, contracted by an I. W. W. prisoner. Soon after the case was discovered the remaining I. W. W. prisoners were ordered taken to a stockade near the bay front, where they will be kept under guard. For the first time in weeks there are no I. W. W. prisoners in the local jails.

The case of the I. W. W. who are charged with attempting to stock the city jail, was called today, but went over, owing to the smallpox cases in the jail.

New York, June 7.—Albert Brashner, a member of the I. W. W. and I. W. W. subject, who participated in the recent demonstration in San Diego, was released from Ellis Island today. He declared that he was held there for six weeks, immigration officers seeking to deport him.

CHARITY WARRANTS KEEP ON EVEN WHEN NO ONE DRAWS THEM

(Continued From Page One.)

not called for any of the warrants, and it is evident that she is not now in need of the money.

"Delicious!" After waiting in vain for nine months for Anna Thune to call for her allowance, the court concludes that "it is evident she is not in need of the money," and orders the warrants canceled.

If She Had Called.

Now if Anna Thune, still being "not in need of the money," had called for her \$2 each one of those nine months, and then for nine months thereafter for right good measure, what then? Apparently she would have got it as long as she wanted to keep coming. It took nine months of neglect on her part to call for the money to persuade the court that she didn't need it after all.

It is hardly to be imagined that a corporation conducted along lines of business efficiency would have permitted such a warrant to run along for nine months, accumulating by the month the warrant for each month, without investigation. If such a corporation had a pensioner on its rolls, or an object of charity, the first month's failure to call for the money would have led to some investigation.

One wonders, too, whom the joke is on here: Anna Thune, who didn't get the \$2 a month she apparently might as well have had; the county court, on which it dawned after nine months that she was "not in need of money," or the public, which put up the money.

The case of Anna Thune is typical of others in the commissioners' journal, except that the allowance to her was very much smaller than in other in-

stances, in which the same involved range from \$1 to \$10 monthly.

Take the order of the county court entered on the commissioners' journal for June 4, 1911, ordering warrants issued for Anna Thune, an indigent person, who had been receiving an allowance from the county.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

One Case Runs Seven Months.

Single warrants drawn on the county and not paid for by the county, were issued for Anna Thune, an indigent person, who had been receiving an allowance from the county.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

These commissions were determined after it appearing that the following warrants drawn on the county for charity were issued for that purpose, but were being issued in violation of the law, and that the county was not bound to issue them.

post that L. T. Newell, who was getting \$15 a month from the county, "did not need assistance or had died."

Newell appeared regularly each week drawing day in that six months and thereafter, presumably he would have got the \$15 as made out to him, of per his bills or assigned might have got it. Caroline through her bills or assigned, seemingly likewise missed an opportunity to get \$15 in county money by failing to call for the \$12 each month for in there are two. It took six months for the county court to revoke the warrants.

In only two cases those of J. M. Burt and whose \$12 warrant is cancelled after two months, and Mrs. H. M. Burt whose \$12 is stopped after one month, was quick action taken.

Cases as Quoted as March 12.

Perhaps it will be said that these are not a case, and not up to date. True, they are not an order in the commissioners' journal for last December 4, showing five warrants for \$15 a month, a total of \$75 which drawn in favor of Naima Griffin, an indigent person, were not paid for and this money is not needed.

for her support. It is hereby ordered that the said warrants be cancelled, and F. S. Fields, county clerk, is hereby authorized and directed to cancel them.

Likewise the cases entered on March 12 of this year of Catherine Foster, in which warrants for \$1 a month for five months are cancelled, Mrs. Charles Jarvis, \$15 for five months, and Mrs. J. W. Withersell, \$15 for three months.

Multnomah county does a big yearly business in assisting persons in need of help. Last month the county's bill for such charity was \$1022.88. Quite evidently the strictest business methods should prevail in handling these cases.

Clerk Griffin's Work.

Henry Griffin, as clerk of the county board of relief, which consists of the members of the county court, invents many of the charity cases for the court and has the hands pretty full sometimes as many as 100 persons will apply for help in a month. Of those granted allowances by the court the majority are, no doubt, in dire need of help.

Mr. Griffin, who has not been in the

place long, seems also to use care in making these aided turn in itemized expense accounts, with receipts for what they spent the money. He is entirely subject to the court.

There is something extremely unbusinesslike, however, in this phase of the county court's activity when warrants like that of Anna Thune and the others quoted here, and they are not all by any means, can run along for months before they are attended to.

Commencement at Whitman.

(Special to The Journal.)
Walla Walla, Wash., June 7.—Whitman college commencement will begin next week, when the class of 1912 will enter upon the festive week of its college course. The exercises are to be started with the recital of the observatory graduates June 14. The college picnic will be held next day, Sunday, June 15. In the afternoon, Monday, will be the commencement exercises. Tuesday will be class day and Wednesday will be commencement day, with the alumni reunion in the evening.

(Special to The Journal.)
Union, O., June 7.—The Union live stock show opened yesterday under most favorable conditions. Judging of the stock took place in the forenoon by W. L. Carlin. The finest stock of the northwest is on exhibition.

At 11:30 o'clock the parade formed, headed by the Union band, and extended over two miles, passing through the

principal streets of the city and back to the show grounds, where the afternoon program was carried out. Relay hurdle running and trotting races were held off as scheduled. Among the most exciting events were the Roman and chariot races. Buffalo Vernon champion paper, gave an exhibition of fancy riding and bulldogging a steer.

The exhibitions were witnessed by at least 1000 people, who came via auto and special trains. Tomorrow Buffalo Vernon and P. A. Foley will bulldog a steer from an automobile.

A special train carrying people from Walla Walla county came today and one from La Grande and Baker will come tomorrow.

Mrs. Babcock Dead.

(Special to The Journal.)
McMinnville, Or., June 7.—Mrs. Eliza Moore Babcock, aged 11 years, passed away at her home after a lingering illness Monday. The deceased was a member of the Baptist church. She is survived by a son, C. H. Babcock, late of Seattle, a well-known railroad man.

BIG CROWDS SEE STOCK AT UNION

Parade of Fancy Animals Extends Two Miles; Wallowa Excursion Today

(Special to The Journal.)
Union, O., June 7.—The Union live stock show opened yesterday under most favorable conditions. Judging of the stock took place in the forenoon by W. L. Carlin. The finest stock of the northwest is on exhibition.

At 11:30 o'clock the parade formed, headed by the Union band, and extended over two miles, passing through the

REMOVAL SALE

Lipman Wolfe & Co.

Every Article Reduced
Merchandise of Merit Only

Little Girls and Misses Can Be Outfitted Here in Dresses, Coats, Hats

At Saving Prices Which Border on the Sensational, for All Children's Apparel Is Radically Reduced



A Sale of Children's Wash Dresses

Which Will Attract Unusual Attention
Dresses That Sell at 75c and \$1.00
All Are Marked at the One Price
Removal 59c

—In this lot of dresses are just 25 dozen of the prettiest styles imaginable.

—Of plaid, dotted and figured percales and plain chambray.

—One style is made with low neck and set-in sleeves, trimmed with plain colored percale in sailor collar effect. The front of the waist, the sleeves and the skirt are also trimmed in a banding.

—Plain chambray dresses have trimmings of fancy bandings or pipings.

—Sizes 2 to 6 years.

Colored Cloth Coats for Children

Ages 2 to 6 Years

Final Removal HALF PRICE

—Box coats in light stripes, navy blue serges, tans and gray mixtures—black and white checks—poplins and broadcloth.

—Some have plain notched collars, many sailor collars and broad rever effects, trimmed with lingerie collars, satin, silk or contrasting cloth and braid.

Regular \$2.00 \$3.00 \$4.00 \$5.00 \$7.00 \$9.00 \$12.95

Removal 98c \$1.50 \$2.00 \$2.50 \$3.50 \$4.50 \$6.48

Half Price Removal

of Lawn Aprons and Guimpes

—Odd lot of children's white lawn aprons and guimpes. The aprons are trimmed with embroidery and the guimpes with yokes of lace and insertion or embroidery and insertion.

Aprons, sizes 2 to 12 years, removal, 18c to 63c

Guimpes, sizes 2 to 12 years, removal, 38c to \$1.00

The Entire Stock of

Children's Summer Knit Underwear

Children's Summer Hosiery

At the Deepest Removal Reductions

Mid-Summer Hats of Ratine

Radically Reduced for Removal

\$1.29, \$1.48 and \$1.79

—These hats are exactly as illustrated. Made of pure white ratine in many pretty and attractive shapes. Hats that can be worn with all sorts of Summer frocks for street, outing and boating.

—Some are trimmed with small feathers, many with bands and folds of white silk. They are jaunty and smart looking. Hats that are becoming to most every one. The most popular and attractive hat ever brought out for midsummer wear.

—Here you will find an immense assortment and at prices that are the lowest possible.

A Great Half Price Sale of

Colored Cloth Coats for Misses and Children

Including Our Entire Stock From 6 to 14-Year Sizes

—This is a splendid assortment of coats, and all mothers with little daughters to dress will do well to attend this sale. The coats are light enough in weight for Summer wear. They can be slipped on over the Summer dresses of an evening for the beach. In fact, can be worn clear through the Summer and for Fall school wear.

—In plain, tan, navy, black and white checks, fancy tan or gray mixtures. Modeled in plain tailored styles or made with large sailor or fancy collars, trimmed with plain cloths, fancy stitchings and silk collars. Made with plain or fancy backs, turnback cuffs or strap finish. Many have large fancy buttons.

Regular \$6.00, \$7.50, \$9.50, \$11.00, \$12.95, \$16.00

Removal 3.00, 3.75, 4.75, 5.50, 6.48, 8.00

INFANTS' WEAR

At the Greatest Reductions Ever Known

Long and Short Capes and Coats
—Made of Bedford cord, cassimere and batiste, with the capes prettily trimmed with braid, lace and embroidery. Some hand-embroidered and a few in silk.

Regular \$1.50, \$2.25, \$3.00, \$4.50 to \$21.00

Removal 1.00, 1.50, 2.00, 3.00 to 14.00

Box Coats Greatly Reduced

—Of serge, brilliantine and fancy materials, with and without collars. Trimmed with braid or silk, and some with scalloped edges. Sizes 1 to 4 years.

Regular \$3.75, \$4.50, \$6.00, \$7.50 to \$14.50

Removal 2.50, 3.00, 4.00, 4.98 to 5.98

Infants' Coats, Removal \$2.98

Regular \$5.00 to \$12.95

—Our entire line of infants' and children's white corduroy and aviation coats in white only. Made in plain box styles or with capes. Some plain, others braid-trimmed. Sizes 1 to 4 years.

Regular 35c to \$3, Removal 25c to \$2.25

Radical Reductions on