

State's Attorney Says That
Is How Chicago Trials
Are Conducted.

**Mrs. Eliza M. Mendenhall
Declares Attorney Ed C.
Mendenhall Is Affinity of
Mrs. Fred Frederickson—
Suit Brings Mixup.**

If charges made by Mrs. Eliza M. Mendenhall are true, Attorney Ed C. Mendenhall is the affiant of Mrs. Fred Frederickson, formerly Maud Cobb, concerning whom Frederickson testified before Judge Gantenbein in the circuit court last Friday.

Frederickson at that time was denied a divorce because his charges were not deemed strong enough after a married wife that began only last July, but he has promised to file a new complaint, and Mrs. Mendenhall, in an affidavit filed in the divorce case begun by her lawyer-husband, says she is informed, that Frederickson is about to file a new complaint linking the names of Mendenhall and his wife.

Frederickson testified that his wife told him she had a friend with a 60 horsepower automobile who could travel 60 miles an hour, and she gave him to understand he was too slow for her. Mrs. Mendenhall charges that Mendenhall purchased a 60 horsepower White steamer in the east, motored through Illinois with Mrs. Frederickson, then Claude Cobb, and finally shipped the ma-

Mrs. Mendenhall alleges that Mendenhall and this woman are together every day and that Maud Cobb's mother and brothers stay at the Mendenhall home. In the summer of 1908, she charges, her husband lived with Maud Cobb until she heard of the scandal.

While Maud Cobb has had all sorts of luxuries, Mrs. Mendenhall says, she has been compelled to live in two back rooms. While he has purchased an automobile for the affinity, says the lawyer's wife, she has not been certain where her next meal would come from. Mrs. Mendenhall also makes serious charges concerning the management of

her father's estate by her husband. Her father was Noah Lambert, who died a number of years ago. She says she trusted her husband implicitly, and only within the last few weeks has she been looking up the records in the county court. She has discovered, she says, that property of the estate at 53 and 76 West street and 32 Front street was sold at private sale to her husband for

Says Charges Are Trumped Up. Mendenhall, who is plaintiff in the divorce case, denies all the affinity charges of his wife. He says that they were trumped up to humiliate him and that the object of extorting a settlement from him. He says that since the divorce papers were filed, she has called him over the telephone and told him that she had additional papers prepared that would not be to see in print, but unless he would give her property at 4th and Columbia streets she would publish the charges so the newspapers could

Hendelham further says that a detective named Cox in the employ of his father has gone around town seeking testimony against him and promising him a "chunk of money" to testify against him. When the people approached said they knew nothing, he alleges, the detective offered large inducements for the testimony.

When his wife came to Portland from Los Angeles, says the attorney, he told him she had a trap for him and that would ruin him unless he would agree to pay her \$150 per month for

endenhall says he has been too nervous to do much law work in recent years. His wife increased this nervousness, he says, by giving noisy parties after midnight until he was compelled to stop them. He complains she went out alone in the evening and would not tell him where she

Spied on Each Other.
Both Mendenhall and his wife tell of being spied on each other. Mrs. Mendenhall says Maud Cobb is not the only one with whom she has no affinity. She says she has known the first one and accepted his ex-planation, finally going to California at his invitation. It was while she was away, she says, that Maud Cobb spied on her from the horizon. She charges that he traveled in Texas, New York and other parts of the east in connection with the new affinity.

ment took place before Judge Broth in the circuit court today on a motion for suit money. She asked for \$100 per month. She offered \$2000 suit money, \$500 attorney and \$150 per month for support. She alleges that her husband is worth \$200,000.

Mendenhall, on the other hand, says she owns property worth \$25,000. He thinks he should not be required anything until the trial of the determines who is entitled to the

CANN DEFENSE ESTS; REBUTTAL

**MASHERS LOUNGE AT
CHAPMAN SQUARE**

ore or less in evidence all sum-
One of the ilk in particular has
specially obnoxious. He has been
square daily and has lost no op-
to harass and annoy women
ave gone to the plaza without
long since this parasite ap-
ed a woman who was seated
and began a conversation. The
started to withdraw and told
that she was awaiting for her
n, but the man said it made
ference, that he would take
y of her husband appearing.

Poulterer Wants Divorce.
Eileen L. Poulterer has begun the circuit court for divorce against William H. Poulterer, to whom she was married in San Francisco in 1921. She alleges that he deserted her July, 1925.

1