

THE JOURNAL

AN INDEPENDENT NEWSPAPER. PUBLISHED EVERY EVENING (EXCEPT SUNDAY) AND SUNDAY MORNING AT THE JOURNAL BUILDING, 1115 AND 1117 BROADWAY, PORTLAND, ORE.

TERMS: Single copy, 5 cents. In Advance, One Year, \$3.00. Six Months, \$1.75. Three Months, \$1.00. Foreign, One Year, \$4.50. Single copy, 5 cents.

FORBIDDEN ADVERTISING REPRESENTATIVE: The Journal does not accept advertising for any business, profession or occupation which is prohibited by law or which is in violation of public policy.

Circulation Guarantee

This certifies that the circulation of the Oregon Journal is guaranteed by the advertiser's Certified Circulation Bureau. This paper has been proved by investigation to be the circulation stated with such accuracy that advertisers may rely on its statements of income made by the publishers under the management of the advertiser in control September 2, 1908.

Whoever takes it for his law to do as he likes will not for long like what he does. A. MacLaren.

POSTAL SAVINGS BANKS.

WHAT the savings banks section of the American Bankers' association, most of the other bankers agreeing, should oppose postal savings banks, was to be expected. Naturally, the bankers are opposed to any project that would give the people opportunity to do a little banking on their own account, just as they oppose guaranty of deposits in the people's interests.

The Oregonian yesterday showed clearly enough that the American Bankers' association was wrong, both in its alleged facts and in its reasoning. The savings banks of the country do not sufficiently accommodate the people. In time of a scare the people do not trust them. Nearly half the official circulation is not actually in circulation, much of it because people are hoarding it.

The Republican platform declared in favor of postal savings banks, hence some Republican papers venture to support this proposition and oppose the bankers. But if the Democrats had declared for postal savings banks alone, not mentioning the guaranty of deposits, and the Republican platform had refused to declare for postal savings banks, we would have now seen these same newspapers laboring to prove that postal savings banks are a "fallacy," a "chimeric," an impracticable scheme, a device of a demagogue to get votes, a plan that if carried out would be ruinous to the banks and to the country.

The attempt of Republican managers and editors, taking their cue from the president, to represent

ROOSEVELT'S ADOPTION OF BRYAN'S POLICIES

IF THE election of Mr. Taft depends on Oregon, if the carrying of Oregon depends on Senator Fulton, and if Senator Fulton makes the campaign on such statements as that in which he insists that Bryan has abandoned all his former policies, Mr. Taft will never be lord of the White House.

He has not only been unvarying loyal to his convictions, but he has been so powerful in their advocacy that he has lived to see them impressed with their deep approval upon the whole body of his countrymen. He has not only been true to them himself, but he has seen his policies appropriated bodily by the head of a great opposition party, and adopted as the favorite policies by a presidential administration conducted by that party, affording a precedent never before witnessed in the political annals of the world.

Here is the utterance of Bryan on the tariff in 1896: "The tariff laws should be so amended by placing the products of the trusts on the free list, as to prevent monopoly under the plea of protection." Mr. Roosevelt in 1907, 12 years later, said: "I advocate the reduction of the tariff upon the articles coming into competition with the articles controlled by the trusts."

Such is the record that stares Senator Fulton in the face. He cannot deny it, nor evade it. It is of record in speeches, platforms and messages to congress, and it forms a case of the adoption by the head of an opposing political party of the policies of a private citizen in another party, of which the country, and the world afford no parallel.

As to the Philippines, Bryan in 1899: "I believe that we should do to the Philippines as we have done with Cuba, and that it is our duty to make that promise now, and upon suitable guarantee of the protection of American and foreign residents, to give the Philippines their independence."

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

THE National Rivers and Harbors Congress has sent out a letter in which it is said that "it has come to be accepted that there will be a rivers and harbors bill at the coming session of congress and the agitation for a comprehensive policy, on the part of the general government, toward the waterways of the United States, as urged by the National Rivers and Harbors Congress, seems largely responsible for the interest now shown in this rapidly growing subject."

of American and foreign residents, to give the Philippines their independence." Roosevelt in 1907: "I believe in the ultimate independence of the Philippines."

Bryan on railroad rate regulation in 1896: "We demand the enlargement of the powers of the interstate commerce commission, and such restrictions and guarantees and control of railroads as will protect the people from robbery and oppression." Roosevelt on the same subject 12 years later: "It is especially necessary that some representative of the national government have full power to deal with the great corporations engaged in interstate commerce, especially the great interstate common carriers."

Bryan on government by injunction in 1896: "We are opposed to government by injunction, as our support of the senate bill prohibiting it will show. That bill meets my approval." Roosevelt 12 years afterward, or in 1908: "I call your attention to the need of some action in connection with the abuse of the injunction in labor cases."

Some burglars broke into Mark Twain's villa. They were able and willing to take a joke, if it had been converted into cash or jewelry. It was very wicked for somebody to steal those Archibald-Forsaker letters. Except for the Archibald-Forsaker and Forsaker would have now been bosom friends.

General Miles and Admiral Schley, being on the retired list, it is believed that the president will not be able to carry them, or send them to some desert post, for supporting Bryan.

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

Small Change

The big stick put General Asphy on the run. Only three months of '08 left. Make the last quarter the best one.

It is time that Fourth street downtown was used for other purposes.

The rumor that Senator Bailey would resign was unfounded. Thought so.

Pittsburg is having a sequel-entennial. Few know what it is, but it is alright.

With Roosevelt and Bryan bosing the business, Hitchcock and Mack would seem to have rather easy jobs.

Senator Forsaker has been accidentally bumped off the oil wagon, and a lot of other statements are trembling.

Chairman Hitchcock has been much criticized, but at least he has not got Chancellor Day into the campaign.

It is supposed that little Mc-Toe Senator Dick wasn't worth buying, or perhaps Forsaker tossed him a piece occasionally.

If the president can keep quiet for a day or two, perhaps the country will get little attention to what Taft is saying.

Happy and perhaps rare—is the public man who can say: "Dig up and publish all the letters I ever wrote if you want to."

A banquet was served in a Chicago sewer, which was probably a cleaner and more comfortable place than many of its restaurants.

Mr. Rockefeller promises to throw light on many things in his autobiography. But is he going to raise the price for the light?

Solemn Senator Beveridge is making promises about what the next congress will do. Why didn't the last congress do them?

Portland is to have a chewing gum factory. Now girls, patronize home industry. If you eat chewing gum, chew Portland-made gum.

In Washington a monkey got drunk and was so ashamed of himself that he tried to commit suicide. Few men have so tender a conscience.

Some burglars broke into Mark Twain's villa. They were able and willing to take a joke, if it had been converted into cash or jewelry.

Portland is going to get some more reputation—that of being the only large city in the country to banish prostitutes. His cannot do it any harm.

It was very wicked for somebody to steal those Archibald-Forsaker letters. Except for the Archibald-Forsaker and Forsaker would have now been bosom friends.

If his partners and associates fall by the wayside Grandpa John D. can take the burden on himself. He is young and sound of brain, and full of love for all humanity.

A man who will go home and smash all his wife's put-up fruit preserves to live on meat, taters, bread and coffee straight, at least, all winter—and he won't get much else, at home.

General Miles and Admiral Schley, being on the retired list, it is believed that the president will not be able to carry them, or send them to some desert post, for supporting Bryan.

Let the agitation be kept up, in all possible ways. The next convention of the Rivers and Harbors Congress will be held in Washington on December 9-11, coincident with the meeting of the president's National Conservation convention, and they together should be able to impress the congress strongly with the merits of the demand for inland waterways, open rivers and improved harbors.

HAVE ROOSEVELT AND HEARST JOINED HANDS TO DEFEAT BRYAN

Chicago, Sept. 26.—(Special)—The recent literary efforts from the pen of President Roosevelt, based on charges and statements made by W. Hearst, demonstrate very thoroughly that there is no truth in the working agreement, or at least an understanding, between the Republican and Hearst, who opposed Mr. Bryan to aid in the election of Mr. Taft.

Furthermore, Mr. Hearst is doing nothing to aid in the election of Mr. Bryan, but is doing all in his power to aid in the election of Mr. Taft.

Upon the basis of the above facts, it would be interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

THE REALM OF FEMININE

Some Common Fallacies. OF THE greatest importance to the mother who may be any moment called upon to play the part of nurse, and who is at all times the guardian of the family health, is a knowledge of the common remedies for and against disease.

And yet what widespread ignorance exists as to the value of different agents. They are laughing quietly, in a stolid, well-bred way, of course, about the preparations making in London for the sealing of the new lord mayor.

And I say, by his authority, that what is thought of Mr. Hearst then. The question naturally arises following the president's statement in which he said that he had read Mr. Hearst's text, does he think of Mr. Hearst now as he did two years ago? Does he think of Mr. Hearst as a "demagogue"?

When the president "penned these words" of yesterday, did he have in mind the really serious charges against Mr. Hearst, or only the assassination of character? Will someone "by his authority" make an answer?

And the president's estimation of Senator Forsaker has apparently undergone a radical change. It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

It is interesting to know what has caused the president to change so radically his opinion of the veracity of the charges and statements made by Mr. Hearst. He is the same Mr. Hearst who only a year ago formed a Hearst Alliance with Representative Herbert Parsons, chairman of the Republican committee in New York, to defeat the nomination of Bryan in the municipal campaign in that city.

LETTERS FROM THE PEOPLE

Letters to the Journal should be written on one side of the paper, and should be accompanied by the name and address of the writer. The name will not be used if the writer so desires.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.

Not a Safeguard for Women. Portland, Sept. 29.—To the Editor of The Journal—The Journal of Monday evening contained a letter written by "wife and mother" in defense of the north end and its dives. Instead of the north end being a safeguard for women, it is a menace to the safety of these, if the unfortunate women are indeed a protection to the north end and its dives.