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## A smple proposition.

 $C$ Among other public mastesers which
has to took out and care for is then deepening of the channel at th
mouth of the Columbia river, one the country's largest and most in
portant streams and one draining portand streams and one draining in
vast and resourceful area. of improvement has long been de
ided on and by intermittent an piecemeal operations carried on, an
thus a large portion of the money ap
propriated has teen propriated has been wasted, the wo
done having in large part to be do over again by another inadequate ap
propriation. Now it is proposed engine
000, w

## until little

$\qquad$ critcism of Gen
probable amount
corried on continuously and diligently
until fully completed It is of a char Now, do the people of Portland believe that the Oregonian reversed all its past because of a could not blackmail? The Journal will be glad to see how far the Oregonian will follow it in an
honest and just efort to instruct the peoplt in their rights and protect them.
The Journal believes that the gas and electric light and street railway companies, and every other public utility corporation, should either be owned by the people themselves or actually managed by
the people, but not in a way to further increase the profits of landlords. The Journal has always advocated this. The curious may examine the files of the. Oregonian to see where it has stood. The
Journal has faith in the equity and justice of the single tax theory of Henry George, which would not orly compel Mr. Ladd to bring vacant property into use, but would compel Mr. Pittock either to
improve or to let go his hold of the vacant block on Washington street, which is worth as much as
the The Journal is not wholly in accord with the details of the present effort to reform the water
system, but system, but it believes and declares that the present system is radically wrong and that the cost o
water mains should be paid for by the property benefited, just as sewers are. Unlike the Oregonian,
The Journal is against any free gift whatever of any special privilege or franchise whatever by any The Journal is against any free gift whatever of any special privilege or franchise whatever by any
legislature or council whatever. The Journal is against every monopoly, wherever existing. It be-
lieves where monopoly exists, tyranny will follow. It believes there is lieves where monopoly exists, tyranny will follow. It believes there is no greater monopoly in this
state than the monopoly of the Associated Press, which is held by the plutocratic Oregonian. The ournal denounces the efforts of the Oregonian to belittle and "knock" Portland, as an outrageous
use of plutocratic power, prompted by sordid and selfish fear that its monopoly may be broken. The
Journal believes the Oregonian to be a bulldozer of the people and a tribute-taker from the people of the most unscrupulous and plutocratic type. The Journal believes that every corporation, be it rail-
way or newspaper corporation, ought to be subject to suit to revoke its charter, or corporate exist-
ence, whenever the corporation has been wifully guilty of gross abuse of its corporate power. Will Oregonian join The Journal in advocating this protection to the people?
It is because The Journal has always said these things of the Oregonian, and because The Journal
has made some inroad on the treasury of the Oregonian, that the Oregonian has singled out Mr Ladd, the richest stockholder of The Journal, for its venom, but, as has been said so many times, Mr .
Ladd is a mere minotity stockholder and has nothing to do with the policy of this paper. Were he
like Mr. Pittock, the controlling stockholder, he could then be held justly responsible for what he permitted his paper to do.
Second-The Oregonian says no one could have been deceived into the belief that the eartoon which represented Mr. Ladd clothed as a clergyman, holding up a sheef labeled "Editorial Page of
The Journal" on which was printed murderous declarations against President McKinley, intended to express that these sentiments had been expressed by The Oregon Journal and that Mr. Ladd ap-
proved of them. Whether or not this was he intent of the cartoon, and whether or not people who
saw it took it so, we leave each man to say for himself, but if this cowardly deception was not intended, it would have been easy to have had the words read, "Editorial Page of the New York Journal,"
instead of "Editorial Page of The Journal" Why were the words "New York" omitted? But no
matter what paper originally printed those fearful words, Mr, Ladd was held up to the world, wherever the Oregonian might circulate, as standing in hypocrite's garb and approving these revolting
sentiments.
It is said that this paper has rushed to Mr. Ladd's defense. It has. It has rushed to the defense of common decency, and it now offers its columns and pledges its help to the humblest man or
woman who shall ever be so outraged. This is no:, with The Journal, a case of rich man or poor woman who shall ever ine ito cumpageny or a stranger to it. It is a case of American manhood and a
man, of a stockholder
preservation of the ideals of justice and fair play. Third-The Oregonian seeks to becloud in some way its infamy by intimating that this is a
newspaper fight. It is not, and the Oregonian knows it is not, and no one is deceived. But let us
say it is a newspaper fight. Does the honorable and haughty Oregonian, with no tinge of yellow in
its veins, mean to say that a newspaper fight justifies a resort to every infamous method? That it
it

* $\stackrel{\text { an occasional flood loss. }}{\text { next legislature will have sev }}$ The next legislature will have sev-
eral very important matters to con-
sider and act upon, and all members
onght to be preparing themselves the
best they can to act intelligently and
wisely. Legislation must now be
mramed up to meet new and expanding
frandions, and to do it right and for
cond best interests of all the people will
the
require the exereise of diligent and
conscientious wisdom.


## A HYPOCRITE UNMASKED.

 The Oregonian, in a half-page editorial statement, came before the bar of public opinion yes-terday with a labobred attempt at explanation of its false and unprincipled libel of Mr. Ladd. Its
defense is not a manly apology, it is a confused attempt to justify the outrage and is so fulf of dis-
honesty that it seems to us to leave the Oregonian in a worse position than before. He who is
obligel terday with a labored attempt at explanation of its false and unprincipled libel of Mr. Ladd. Its
defense is not a manly apology; it is a confused attempt to justify the outrage and is so fulr of dis-
honesty that it seems to us to leave the Oregonian in a worse position than before. He who is
obliged to explain is usually guilty. The Oregonian's exceses are as follows:
First-That Mr. Ladd is a plutocrat and this paper is the organ of the pluto-aristocrats and the Oregonian is the people's champion, especially against franchise grabbing.
Now, in fact, this has nothing whatever to do with a fase and malicious assault upon a man'
character, be he plutocrat or poor. But since when has the Oregonian been so virtuous?. We have
printed before its defense of the blanket frachise, extending the charter of the street railways o
Portland and its contemptuous information to the people that the right to, monopolize the streets of Portland and its contemptuous information to the people that the right to monopolize the streets of
Portand was worth nothing. that this right ought to be given away free to whoever wanted it.
The Journal has printed repeatedly the Oregonian's past utterances on the subject of thes when it said:
"The blanket franchise agreed upon between the city authorities and the Portland consolidated
streetear system is one that will be looked back upon with pride in future years by every participant streetcar system is qne that will be looked back upon with pride in future years by every participant
in its framing. The city officials have served the public well, and the streetcar people themselves
have set an example which entitles them to the honor of pioneers in an inevitable era of profit-sharing by the city in corporate enterppises.
The Oregonian has steadily supporte
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