

# J. N. TEAL UNCLE SAM'S BUCKLE UP TO THE FORCE OF CONGRESS TO PROTECT THE PORTLAND JETTY

### Would Have Congress Appropriate Fifty Million Dollars Annually for Rivers and Harbors, Borrowing if Necessary.

### FAILURE TO PROSECUTE WORK CONTINUOUSLY COSTS MUCH

### In Order to Assure Successful Completion of Jetty Work People Must Stand Together From Astoria to Lewiston.

At a meeting of the trustees of the Portland chamber of commerce J. N. Teal, attorney for the transportation committee, submitted the following report of work and results attending his trip to Washington, taken in the interest of the chamber's river and harbor improvement projects:

Portland, Feb. 6, 1906.—To the Chamber of Commerce—Gentlemen: In reporting the result of my recent trip east, made at your request, I will be as brief as possible, as much that is of immediate interest has been fully reported in the local papers.

The particular purpose of my visit was twofold: To aid as far as possible the senators from this state in obtaining an emergency appropriation for the improvement at the mouth of the Columbia river, and to attend the meeting of the National Rivers and Harbors congress at Washington.

**Emergency Appropriation.**  
The necessity for making an appropriation of \$400,000 to protect the work done at the mouth of the Columbia river has been urged not only by the senators from this state, but by citizens visiting Washington, but also most strongly by the engineering department. In addition to the fact that the work is largely interested in enterprises in this state have been and are doing all they can to aid in obtaining it, the necessity is conceded by all; the loss of the money, if it is not secured, is not protected is known to all, and yet the appropriation is far from assured. The demands for money are so great in other quarters and for other purposes, and it seems so easy to put the veto on any bill for rivers and harbors, that there is in some influential quarters a determination to shut off any new appropriations for this year. They seem to fear that an attempt to care for any project, however meritorious or necessary, will let the bars down for all. In other words, if a start is made it is feared there will be a general rivers and harbors bill, just why there should not be so vast and the work so important, I can't see. If the receipts will not admit of this year's reduction, it could be made pro rata and these great improvements be cared for. There is no question in my mind but what the majority of the members favor one, but the leaders seem to have a different hope, however, that the emergency appropriation will be made, but I do not think a greater sum than that necessary to preserve the work can be obtained. This leads to a short presentation of facts respecting rivers and harbors bills, which should be more generally understood than they are.

**"Pork Barrel."**  
The usual designation of this bill, by those opposed to it, is "pork barrel," the purpose being to brand it as covering a mass of unworthy projects and carrying large sums of money for the benefit of congressmen in their respective districts without regard to public good. Neither of the inferences or charges are true.

When a project comes up for consideration it is first referred to the engineers for report. These men are well informed specialists, independent, high-minded and fair, and their reports are statements of fact with their opinion thereon after a careful investigation. This report is then referred to a board of engineers for further investigation and report. It is then returned to the rivers and harbors committee of the house and senate. If there are differences between the bodies a committee is appointed to adjust them, and with the president's signature the bill becomes a law.

There is nothing that is so effective in discouraging and preventing appropriations for the harbors and rivers as this unfounded idea, so carefully fostered and circulated, that the bill is a "pork barrel," and in no way can we further our interests in this respect more than in disabusing the people's minds on this point. Moreover, comparatively small sums are spent by the government on rivers and harbors. The most important feature of the development of our country and the one that does more good to more people than any other is made not only the built of every cheap and is made to carry not only the odium of the charge of graft, but it is the first to suffer when the knife is to be used.

Let us see how the appropriations for these purposes compare with others. It is well for you and the people of the northwest to know the facts and realize what you are up against. We will take for comparison the appropriations covering the period of 12 years from 1892 to 1905, both inclusive.

The total appropriations of all kinds for all purposes during this period were \$2,628,411,821. The total appropriations for rivers and harbors, including amounts in sundry civil, deficiency and special acts, for the same period were \$224,823,125, being but a trifle over 8 1/2 per cent of the whole. In other words, the annual appropriations for rivers and harbors averaged about \$18,600,000. With between three and four hundred million of approved projects, it is easy to figure on the present basis of appropriations that some of us will be pretty old men before the various works now under way are completed. As the war with Spain occurred during this period it may be suggested that the cost was increased. It is true, but the cost of the war was \$4,000,000,000, and the cost of the war was \$4,000,000,000, and the cost of the war was \$4,000,000,000.

At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show their importance, to disabuse the public mind of this "pork barrel" idea, and thus to create a demand for more appropriations that congress must give heed to. They went on record as being in favor of annual appropriations of not less than \$50,000,000 until present projects are completed. They desire to say here that if the delegates in attendance were fairly representative of their respective sections, this demand will soon be so strong that it will be complied with. The delegates were thoroughly posted, enthusiastic and determined. Every man present knew from actual experience what open waterways mean, even though from their respective cities and railroads. Propaganda will be started and there can be no question as to results. The chairman of the executive committee is Hon. Joseph E. Ransdell, of Louisiana, a member of the house of representatives and of the rivers and harbors committee, a cultivated gentleman, a good speaker, of forceful and attractive personality and withal thoroughly in earnest on this subject. Mr. H. Dovers is one of the executive committee representing the northwest and Mr. J. W. Bennett of Marshfield, Oregon, is vice-president for this state. Mr. F. Ellison, 304 East Front street, Cincinnati, is secretary. As money and in considerable amounts will be required to push the work in hand it was tentatively agreed that the annual dues should be as follows: Commercial organizations—400 members or less; 1,200 to 400; 600; 800 to 1,000; 875; 1,000 or more; \$100; Waterway association, \$100.

In order to get the organization under way various pledges were made. I am pledging for this organization \$100, which action I hope will be ratified and the money promptly forwarded to the secretary. I hope every commercial and waterway organization in the northwest will join and become members of this national association. If the work is intelligently and consistently pushed there can be no question of its success. If it will fail, but it is well worth the trial. It may be asked, how can the \$100,000,000 be obtained if the money is not on hand? In my judgment, if it comes to that pass and no other way is open, the nation with the highest credit on the face of the globe should do what any business man or corporation would do under like circumstances, and that credit, borrow the money, save waste and complete the work under way. That is just what was done and is to be done in raising money to build the Panama canal. If the income of the government is insufficient to finance the appropriations and thus expedite

the work, do you not think with the credit this nation has a way should be provided to get the money? Would not the most ordinary business principles support a proposition to save the inevitable waste as well as time, which results from the present methods?

**Importance of these Works.**  
It is unnecessary to waste time to urge upon you the importance of improving the mouth of the river and the waterways of the state. It is occasionally suggested that we drop everything until the work at the mouth of the Columbia is completed. While every one concedes its paramount importance, you will pardon me if I venture to a more pressing opinion, first, that from our standpoint it would be bad policy to attempt it, even though you thought it wise, and second, you couldn't do it if you wanted to. If the residents of the river and in view of what I shall say hereafter, I discuss this matter now. We might as well face conditions as they are. No man could be elected to congress from this state who would not support this policy and you could get no one, either senator or representative, to stand for it. It is essential to our success that we have the active aid of our friends from Idaho and Washington, who are not only to a man and who would not only never agree to but would resent such a proposition and who would certainly stand for the improvement of their rivers. If it is reasonable to assume that the residents of the Willamette valley, Southern Oregon and Coos county would agree to such a policy? Would we if conditions were reversed? Throughout the entire northwest there is now a unity of purpose and feeling and in my judgment we must stand together and help each other and in the end we all will gain. It is beginning to be understood that the mouth of the river belongs to all and the work must be done by all and more pronounced, and as the entire Mississippi valley stands for its mouth so the entire valleys of the Snake, Willamette and Columbia will stand for its mouth. But back to the matter of our rivers and harbors. The people living in the sections drained by the Ohio, the Mississippi, the Red river, the Missouri and scores of others, are not dropping the improvement above their mouths. They have had the actual demonstration of water competition on rates and you can't fool them. The removing of obstacles from the Columbia, Snake and Willamette rivers, the opening of them to free navigation, will affect and control rates, and on the doing of this and the deepening of the mouth of the Columbia, Portland's commercial supremacy will ultimately rest. We will come through the canal to an open door abroad—we also should spend the necessary money to maintain deep harbors and open rivers at home.

**Some Illustrations.**  
Before finally getting down to suggestions for our own work, let me mention a few things that have been done and smaller places have done. It may have a tendency to make us less modest and reserved and strengthen our determination to press our claims. The canal to China, the canal to Panama, the canal to the Philippines, and for almost anything outside the United States. Why should not justice be shown to our own people doing charity abroad? It was for the very purpose of having justice done the National Rivers and Harbors congress was formed. Now I have not called you briefly its object and purpose.

**Rivers and Harbors Congress.**  
At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show their importance, to disabuse the public mind of this "pork barrel" idea, and thus to create a demand for more appropriations that congress must give heed to. They went on record as being in favor of annual appropriations of not less than \$50,000,000 until present projects are completed. They desire to say here that if the delegates in attendance were fairly representative of their respective sections, this demand will soon be so strong that it will be complied with. The delegates were thoroughly posted, enthusiastic and determined. Every man present knew from actual experience what open waterways mean, even though from their respective cities and railroads. Propaganda will be started and there can be no question as to results. The chairman of the executive committee is Hon. Joseph E. Ransdell, of Louisiana, a member of the house of representatives and of the rivers and harbors committee, a cultivated gentleman, a good speaker, of forceful and attractive personality and withal thoroughly in earnest on this subject. Mr. H. Dovers is one of the executive committee representing the northwest and Mr. J. W. Bennett of Marshfield, Oregon, is vice-president for this state. Mr. F. Ellison, 304 East Front street, Cincinnati, is secretary. As money and in considerable amounts will be required to push the work in hand it was tentatively agreed that the annual dues should be as follows: Commercial organizations—400 members or less; 1,200 to 400; 600; 800 to 1,000; 875; 1,000 or more; \$100; Waterway association, \$100.

In order to get the organization under way various pledges were made. I am pledging for this organization \$100, which action I hope will be ratified and the money promptly forwarded to the secretary. I hope every commercial and waterway organization in the northwest will join and become members of this national association. If the work is intelligently and consistently pushed there can be no question of its success. If it will fail, but it is well worth the trial. It may be asked, how can the \$100,000,000 be obtained if the money is not on hand? In my judgment, if it comes to that pass and no other way is open, the nation with the highest credit on the face of the globe should do what any business man or corporation would do under like circumstances, and that credit, borrow the money, save waste and complete the work under way. That is just what was done and is to be done in raising money to build the Panama canal. If the income of the government is insufficient to finance the appropriations and thus expedite

the work, do you not think with the credit this nation has a way should be provided to get the money? Would not the most ordinary business principles support a proposition to save the inevitable waste as well as time, which results from the present methods?

**Importance of these Works.**  
It is unnecessary to waste time to urge upon you the importance of improving the mouth of the river and the waterways of the state. It is occasionally suggested that we drop everything until the work at the mouth of the Columbia is completed. While every one concedes its paramount importance, you will pardon me if I venture to a more pressing opinion, first, that from our standpoint it would be bad policy to attempt it, even though you thought it wise, and second, you couldn't do it if you wanted to. If the residents of the river and in view of what I shall say hereafter, I discuss this matter now. We might as well face conditions as they are. No man could be elected to congress from this state who would not support this policy and you could get no one, either senator or representative, to stand for it. It is essential to our success that we have the active aid of our friends from Idaho and Washington, who are not only to a man and who would not only never agree to but would resent such a proposition and who would certainly stand for the improvement of their rivers. If it is reasonable to assume that the residents of the Willamette valley, Southern Oregon and Coos county would agree to such a policy? Would we if conditions were reversed? Throughout the entire northwest there is now a unity of purpose and feeling and in my judgment we must stand together and help each other and in the end we all will gain. It is beginning to be understood that the mouth of the river belongs to all and the work must be done by all and more pronounced, and as the entire Mississippi valley stands for its mouth so the entire valleys of the Snake, Willamette and Columbia will stand for its mouth. But back to the matter of our rivers and harbors. The people living in the sections drained by the Ohio, the Mississippi, the Red river, the Missouri and scores of others, are not dropping the improvement above their mouths. They have had the actual demonstration of water competition on rates and you can't fool them. The removing of obstacles from the Columbia, Snake and Willamette rivers, the opening of them to free navigation, will affect and control rates, and on the doing of this and the deepening of the mouth of the Columbia, Portland's commercial supremacy will ultimately rest. We will come through the canal to an open door abroad—we also should spend the necessary money to maintain deep harbors and open rivers at home.

**Some Illustrations.**  
Before finally getting down to suggestions for our own work, let me mention a few things that have been done and smaller places have done. It may have a tendency to make us less modest and reserved and strengthen our determination to press our claims. The canal to China, the canal to Panama, the canal to the Philippines, and for almost anything outside the United States. Why should not justice be shown to our own people doing charity abroad? It was for the very purpose of having justice done the National Rivers and Harbors congress was formed. Now I have not called you briefly its object and purpose.

**Rivers and Harbors Congress.**  
At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show their importance, to disabuse the public mind of this "pork barrel" idea, and thus to create a demand for more appropriations that congress must give heed to. They went on record as being in favor of annual appropriations of not less than \$50,000,000 until present projects are completed. They desire to say here that if the delegates in attendance were fairly representative of their respective sections, this demand will soon be so strong that it will be complied with. The delegates were thoroughly posted, enthusiastic and determined. Every man present knew from actual experience what open waterways mean, even though from their respective cities and railroads. Propaganda will be started and there can be no question as to results. The chairman of the executive committee is Hon. Joseph E. Ransdell, of Louisiana, a member of the house of representatives and of the rivers and harbors committee, a cultivated gentleman, a good speaker, of forceful and attractive personality and withal thoroughly in earnest on this subject. Mr. H. Dovers is one of the executive committee representing the northwest and Mr. J. W. Bennett of Marshfield, Oregon, is vice-president for this state. Mr. F. Ellison, 304 East Front street, Cincinnati, is secretary. As money and in considerable amounts will be required to push the work in hand it was tentatively agreed that the annual dues should be as follows: Commercial organizations—400 members or less; 1,200 to 400; 600; 800 to 1,000; 875; 1,000 or more; \$100; Waterway association, \$100.

the work, do you not think with the credit this nation has a way should be provided to get the money? Would not the most ordinary business principles support a proposition to save the inevitable waste as well as time, which results from the present methods?

**Importance of these Works.**  
It is unnecessary to waste time to urge upon you the importance of improving the mouth of the river and the waterways of the state. It is occasionally suggested that we drop everything until the work at the mouth of the Columbia is completed. While every one concedes its paramount importance, you will pardon me if I venture to a more pressing opinion, first, that from our standpoint it would be bad policy to attempt it, even though you thought it wise, and second, you couldn't do it if you wanted to. If the residents of the river and in view of what I shall say hereafter, I discuss this matter now. We might as well face conditions as they are. No man could be elected to congress from this state who would not support this policy and you could get no one, either senator or representative, to stand for it. It is essential to our success that we have the active aid of our friends from Idaho and Washington, who are not only to a man and who would not only never agree to but would resent such a proposition and who would certainly stand for the improvement of their rivers. If it is reasonable to assume that the residents of the Willamette valley, Southern Oregon and Coos county would agree to such a policy? Would we if conditions were reversed? Throughout the entire northwest there is now a unity of purpose and feeling and in my judgment we must stand together and help each other and in the end we all will gain. It is beginning to be understood that the mouth of the river belongs to all and the work must be done by all and more pronounced, and as the entire Mississippi valley stands for its mouth so the entire valleys of the Snake, Willamette and Columbia will stand for its mouth. But back to the matter of our rivers and harbors. The people living in the sections drained by the Ohio, the Mississippi, the Red river, the Missouri and scores of others, are not dropping the improvement above their mouths. They have had the actual demonstration of water competition on rates and you can't fool them. The removing of obstacles from the Columbia, Snake and Willamette rivers, the opening of them to free navigation, will affect and control rates, and on the doing of this and the deepening of the mouth of the Columbia, Portland's commercial supremacy will ultimately rest. We will come through the canal to an open door abroad—we also should spend the necessary money to maintain deep harbors and open rivers at home.

**Some Illustrations.**  
Before finally getting down to suggestions for our own work, let me mention a few things that have been done and smaller places have done. It may have a tendency to make us less modest and reserved and strengthen our determination to press our claims. The canal to China, the canal to Panama, the canal to the Philippines, and for almost anything outside the United States. Why should not justice be shown to our own people doing charity abroad? It was for the very purpose of having justice done the National Rivers and Harbors congress was formed. Now I have not called you briefly its object and purpose.

**Rivers and Harbors Congress.**  
At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show their importance, to disabuse the public mind of this "pork barrel" idea, and thus to create a demand for more appropriations that congress must give heed to. They went on record as being in favor of annual appropriations of not less than \$50,000,000 until present projects are completed. They desire to say here that if the delegates in attendance were fairly representative of their respective sections, this demand will soon be so strong that it will be complied with. The delegates were thoroughly posted, enthusiastic and determined. Every man present knew from actual experience what open waterways mean, even though from their respective cities and railroads. Propaganda will be started and there can be no question as to results. The chairman of the executive committee is Hon. Joseph E. Ransdell, of Louisiana, a member of the house of representatives and of the rivers and harbors committee, a cultivated gentleman, a good speaker, of forceful and attractive personality and withal thoroughly in earnest on this subject. Mr. H. Dovers is one of the executive committee representing the northwest and Mr. J. W. Bennett of Marshfield, Oregon, is vice-president for this state. Mr. F. Ellison, 304 East Front street, Cincinnati, is secretary. As money and in considerable amounts will be required to push the work in hand it was tentatively agreed that the annual dues should be as follows: Commercial organizations—400 members or less; 1,200 to 400; 600; 800 to 1,000; 875; 1,000 or more; \$100; Waterway association, \$100.

In order to get the organization under way various pledges were made. I am pledging for this organization \$100, which action I hope will be ratified and the money promptly forwarded to the secretary. I hope every commercial and waterway organization in the northwest will join and become members of this national association. If the work is intelligently and consistently pushed there can be no question of its success. If it will fail, but it is well worth the trial. It may be asked, how can the \$100,000,000 be obtained if the money is not on hand? In my judgment, if it comes to that pass and no other way is open, the nation with the highest credit on the face of the globe should do what any business man or corporation would do under like circumstances, and that credit, borrow the money, save waste and complete the work under way. That is just what was done and is to be done in raising money to build the Panama canal. If the income of the government is insufficient to finance the appropriations and thus expedite

the work, do you not think with the credit this nation has a way should be provided to get the money? Would not the most ordinary business principles support a proposition to save the inevitable waste as well as time, which results from the present methods?

**Importance of these Works.**  
It is unnecessary to waste time to urge upon you the importance of improving the mouth of the river and the waterways of the state. It is occasionally suggested that we drop everything until the work at the mouth of the Columbia is completed. While every one concedes its paramount importance, you will pardon me if I venture to a more pressing opinion, first, that from our standpoint it would be bad policy to attempt it, even though you thought it wise, and second, you couldn't do it if you wanted to. If the residents of the river and in view of what I shall say hereafter, I discuss this matter now. We might as well face conditions as they are. No man could be elected to congress from this state who would not support this policy and you could get no one, either senator or representative, to stand for it. It is essential to our success that we have the active aid of our friends from Idaho and Washington, who are not only to a man and who would not only never agree to but would resent such a proposition and who would certainly stand for the improvement of their rivers. If it is reasonable to assume that the residents of the Willamette valley, Southern Oregon and Coos county would agree to such a policy? Would we if conditions were reversed? Throughout the entire northwest there is now a unity of purpose and feeling and in my judgment we must stand together and help each other and in the end we all will gain. It is beginning to be understood that the mouth of the river belongs to all and the work must be done by all and more pronounced, and as the entire Mississippi valley stands for its mouth so the entire valleys of the Snake, Willamette and Columbia will stand for its mouth. But back to the matter of our rivers and harbors. The people living in the sections drained by the Ohio, the Mississippi, the Red river, the Missouri and scores of others, are not dropping the improvement above their mouths. They have had the actual demonstration of water competition on rates and you can't fool them. The removing of obstacles from the Columbia, Snake and Willamette rivers, the opening of them to free navigation, will affect and control rates, and on the doing of this and the deepening of the mouth of the Columbia, Portland's commercial supremacy will ultimately rest. We will come through the canal to an open door abroad—we also should spend the necessary money to maintain deep harbors and open rivers at home.

**Some Illustrations.**  
Before finally getting down to suggestions for our own work, let me mention a few things that have been done and smaller places have done. It may have a tendency to make us less modest and reserved and strengthen our determination to press our claims. The canal to China, the canal to Panama, the canal to the Philippines, and for almost anything outside the United States. Why should not justice be shown to our own people doing charity abroad? It was for the very purpose of having justice done the National Rivers and Harbors congress was formed. Now I have not called you briefly its object and purpose.

**Rivers and Harbors Congress.**  
At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show their importance, to disabuse the public mind of this "pork barrel" idea, and thus to create a demand for more appropriations that congress must give heed to. They went on record as being in favor of annual appropriations of not less than \$50,000,000 until present projects are completed. They desire to say here that if the delegates in attendance were fairly representative of their respective sections, this demand will soon be so strong that it will be complied with. The delegates were thoroughly posted, enthusiastic and determined. Every man present knew from actual experience what open waterways mean, even though from their respective cities and railroads. Propaganda will be started and there can be no question as to results. The chairman of the executive committee is Hon. Joseph E. Ransdell, of Louisiana, a member of the house of representatives and of the rivers and harbors committee, a cultivated gentleman, a good speaker, of forceful and attractive personality and withal thoroughly in earnest on this subject. Mr. H. Dovers is one of the executive committee representing the northwest and Mr. J. W. Bennett of Marshfield, Oregon, is vice-president for this state. Mr. F. Ellison, 304 East Front street, Cincinnati, is secretary. As money and in considerable amounts will be required to push the work in hand it was tentatively agreed that the annual dues should be as follows: Commercial organizations—400 members or less; 1,200 to 400; 600; 800 to 1,000; 875; 1,000 or more; \$100; Waterway association, \$100.

In order to get the organization under way various pledges were made. I am pledging for this organization \$100, which action I hope will be ratified and the money promptly forwarded to the secretary. I hope every commercial and waterway organization in the northwest will join and become members of this national association. If the work is intelligently and consistently pushed there can be no question of its success. If it will fail, but it is well worth the trial. It may be asked, how can the \$100,000,000 be obtained if the money is not on hand? In my judgment, if it comes to that pass and no other way is open, the nation with the highest credit on the face of the globe should do what any business man or corporation would do under like circumstances, and that credit, borrow the money, save waste and complete the work under way. That is just what was done and is to be done in raising money to build the Panama canal. If the income of the government is insufficient to finance the appropriations and thus expedite

the work, do you not think with the credit this nation has a way should be provided to get the money? Would not the most ordinary business principles support a proposition to save the inevitable waste as well as time, which results from the present methods?

**Importance of these Works.**  
It is unnecessary to waste time to urge upon you the importance of improving the mouth of the river and the waterways of the state. It is occasionally suggested that we drop everything until the work at the mouth of the Columbia is completed. While every one concedes its paramount importance, you will pardon me if I venture to a more pressing opinion, first, that from our standpoint it would be bad policy to attempt it, even though you thought it wise, and second, you couldn't do it if you wanted to. If the residents of the river and in view of what I shall say hereafter, I discuss this matter now. We might as well face conditions as they are. No man could be elected to congress from this state who would not support this policy and you could get no one, either senator or representative, to stand for it. It is essential to our success that we have the active aid of our friends from Idaho and Washington, who are not only to a man and who would not only never agree to but would resent such a proposition and who would certainly stand for the improvement of their rivers. If it is reasonable to assume that the residents of the Willamette valley, Southern Oregon and Coos county would agree to such a policy? Would we if conditions were reversed? Throughout the entire northwest there is now a unity of purpose and feeling and in my judgment we must stand together and help each other and in the end we all will gain. It is beginning to be understood that the mouth of the river belongs to all and the work must be done by all and more pronounced, and as the entire Mississippi valley stands for its mouth so the entire valleys of the Snake, Willamette and Columbia will stand for its mouth. But back to the matter of our rivers and harbors. The people living in the sections drained by the Ohio, the Mississippi, the Red river, the Missouri and scores of others, are not dropping the improvement above their mouths. They have had the actual demonstration of water competition on rates and you can't fool them. The removing of obstacles from the Columbia, Snake and Willamette rivers, the opening of them to free navigation, will affect and control rates, and on the doing of this and the deepening of the mouth of the Columbia, Portland's commercial supremacy will ultimately rest. We will come through the canal to an open door abroad—we also should spend the necessary money to maintain deep harbors and open rivers at home.

**Some Illustrations.**  
Before finally getting down to suggestions for our own work, let me mention a few things that have been done and smaller places have done. It may have a tendency to make us less modest and reserved and strengthen our determination to press our claims. The canal to China, the canal to Panama, the canal to the Philippines, and for almost anything outside the United States. Why should not justice be shown to our own people doing charity abroad? It was for the very purpose of having justice done the National Rivers and Harbors congress was formed. Now I have not called you briefly its object and purpose.

**Rivers and Harbors Congress.**  
At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show their importance, to disabuse the public mind of this "pork barrel" idea, and thus to create a demand for more appropriations that congress must give heed to. They went on record as being in favor of annual appropriations of not less than \$50,000,000 until present projects are completed. They desire to say here that if the delegates in attendance were fairly representative of their respective sections, this demand will soon be so strong that it will be complied with. The delegates were thoroughly posted, enthusiastic and determined. Every man present knew from actual experience what open waterways mean, even though from their respective cities and railroads. Propaganda will be started and there can be no question as to results. The chairman of the executive committee is Hon. Joseph E. Ransdell, of Louisiana, a member of the house of representatives and of the rivers and harbors committee, a cultivated gentleman, a good speaker, of forceful and attractive personality and withal thoroughly in earnest on this subject. Mr. H. Dovers is one of the executive committee representing the northwest and Mr. J. W. Bennett of Marshfield, Oregon, is vice-president for this state. Mr. F. Ellison, 304 East Front street, Cincinnati, is secretary. As money and in considerable amounts will be required to push the work in hand it was tentatively agreed that the annual dues should be as follows: Commercial organizations—400 members or less; 1,200 to 400; 600; 800 to 1,000; 875; 1,000 or more; \$100; Waterway association, \$100.

In order to get the organization under way various pledges were made. I am pledging for this organization \$100, which action I hope will be ratified and the money promptly forwarded to the secretary. I hope every commercial and waterway organization in the northwest will join and become members of this national association. If the work is intelligently and consistently pushed there can be no question of its success. If it will fail, but it is well worth the trial. It may be asked, how can the \$100,000,000 be obtained if the money is not on hand? In my judgment, if it comes to that pass and no other way is open, the nation with the highest credit on the face of the globe should do what any business man or corporation would do under like circumstances, and that credit, borrow the money, save waste and complete the work under way. That is just what was done and is to be done in raising money to build the Panama canal. If the income of the government is insufficient to finance the appropriations and thus expedite

the work, do you not think with the credit this nation has a way should be provided to get the money? Would not the most ordinary business principles support a proposition to save the inevitable waste as well as time, which results from the present methods?

**Importance of these Works.**  
It is unnecessary to waste time to urge upon you the importance of improving the mouth of the river and the waterways of the state. It is occasionally suggested that we drop everything until the work at the mouth of the Columbia is completed. While every one concedes its paramount importance, you will pardon me if I venture to a more pressing opinion, first, that from our standpoint it would be bad policy to attempt it, even though you thought it wise, and second, you couldn't do it if you wanted to. If the residents of the river and in view of what I shall say hereafter, I discuss this matter now. We might as well face conditions as they are. No man could be elected to congress from this state who would not support this policy and you could get no one, either senator or representative, to stand for it. It is essential to our success that we have the active aid of our friends from Idaho and Washington, who are not only to a man and who would not only never agree to but would resent such a proposition and who would certainly stand for the improvement of their rivers. If it is reasonable to assume that the residents of the Willamette valley, Southern Oregon and Coos county would agree to such a policy? Would we if conditions were reversed? Throughout the entire northwest there is now a unity of purpose and feeling and in my judgment we must stand together and help each other and in the end we all will gain. It is beginning to be understood that the mouth of the river belongs to all and the work must be done by all and more pronounced, and as the entire Mississippi valley stands for its mouth so the entire valleys of the Snake, Willamette and Columbia will stand for its mouth. But back to the matter of our rivers and harbors. The people living in the sections drained by the Ohio, the Mississippi, the Red river, the Missouri and scores of others, are not dropping the improvement above their mouths. They have had the actual demonstration of water competition on rates and you can't fool them. The removing of obstacles from the Columbia, Snake and Willamette rivers, the opening of them to free navigation, will affect and control rates, and on the doing of this and the deepening of the mouth of the Columbia, Portland's commercial supremacy will ultimately rest. We will come through the canal to an open door abroad—we also should spend the necessary money to maintain deep harbors and open rivers at home.

**Some Illustrations.**  
Before finally getting down to suggestions for our own work, let me mention a few things that have been done and smaller places have done. It may have a tendency to make us less modest and reserved and strengthen our determination to press our claims. The canal to China, the canal to Panama, the canal to the Philippines, and for almost anything outside the United States. Why should not justice be shown to our own people doing charity abroad? It was for the very purpose of having justice done the National Rivers and Harbors congress was formed. Now I have not called you briefly its object and purpose.

**Rivers and Harbors Congress.**  
At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show their importance, to disabuse the public mind of this "pork barrel" idea, and thus to create a demand for more appropriations that congress must give heed to. They went on record as being in favor of annual appropriations of not less than \$50,000,000 until present projects are completed. They desire to say here that if the delegates in attendance were fairly representative of their respective sections, this demand will soon be so strong that it will be complied with. The delegates were thoroughly posted, enthusiastic and determined. Every man present knew from actual experience what open waterways mean, even though from their respective cities and railroads. Propaganda will be started and there can be no question as to results. The chairman of the executive committee is Hon. Joseph E. Ransdell, of Louisiana, a member of the house of representatives and of the rivers and harbors committee, a cultivated gentleman, a good speaker, of forceful and attractive personality and withal thoroughly in earnest on this subject. Mr. H. Dovers is one of the executive committee representing the northwest and Mr. J. W. Bennett of Marshfield, Oregon, is vice-president for this state. Mr. F. Ellison, 304 East Front street, Cincinnati, is secretary. As money and in considerable amounts will be required to push the work in hand it was tentatively agreed that the annual dues should be as follows: Commercial organizations—400 members or less; 1,200 to 400; 600; 800 to 1,000; 875; 1,000 or more; \$100; Waterway association, \$100.

In order to get the organization under way various pledges were made. I am pledging for this organization \$100, which action I hope will be ratified and the money promptly forwarded to the secretary. I hope every commercial and waterway organization in the northwest will join and become members of this national association. If the work is intelligently and consistently pushed there can be no question of its success. If it will fail, but it is well worth the trial. It may be asked, how can the \$100,000,000 be obtained if the money is not on hand? In my judgment, if it comes to that pass and no other way is open, the nation with the highest credit on the face of the globe should do what any business man or corporation would do under like circumstances, and that credit, borrow the money, save waste and complete the work under way. That is just what was done and is to be done in raising money to build the Panama canal. If the income of the government is insufficient to finance the appropriations and thus expedite

the work, do you not think with the credit this nation has a way should be provided to get the money? Would not the most ordinary business principles support a proposition to save the inevitable waste as well as time, which results from the present methods?

**Importance of these Works.**  
It is unnecessary to waste time to urge upon you the importance of improving the mouth of the river and the waterways of the state. It is occasionally suggested that we drop everything until the work at the mouth of the Columbia is completed. While every one concedes its paramount importance, you will pardon me if I venture to a more pressing opinion, first, that from our standpoint it would be bad policy to attempt it, even though you thought it wise, and second, you couldn't do it if you wanted to. If the residents of the river and in view of what I shall say hereafter, I discuss this matter now. We might as well face conditions as they are. No man could be elected to congress from this state who would not support this policy and you could get no one, either senator or representative, to stand for it. It is essential to our success that we have the active aid of our friends from Idaho and Washington, who are not only to a man and who would not only never agree to but would resent such a proposition and who would certainly stand for the improvement of their rivers. If it is reasonable to assume that the residents of the Willamette valley, Southern Oregon and Coos county would agree to such a policy? Would we if conditions were reversed? Throughout the entire northwest there is now a unity of purpose and feeling and in my judgment we must stand together and help each other and in the end we all will gain. It is beginning to be understood that the mouth of the river belongs to all and the work must be done by all and more pronounced, and as the entire Mississippi valley stands for its mouth so the entire valleys of the Snake, Willamette and Columbia will stand for its mouth. But back to the matter of our rivers and harbors. The people living in the sections drained by the Ohio, the Mississippi, the Red river, the Missouri and scores of others, are not dropping the improvement above their mouths. They have had the actual demonstration of water competition on rates and you can't fool them. The removing of obstacles from the Columbia, Snake and Willamette rivers, the opening of them to free navigation, will affect and control rates, and on the doing of this and the deepening of the mouth of the Columbia, Portland's commercial supremacy will ultimately rest. We will come through the canal to an open door abroad—we also should spend the necessary money to maintain deep harbors and open rivers at home.

**Some Illustrations.**  
Before finally getting down to suggestions for our own work, let me mention a few things that have been done and smaller places have done. It may have a tendency to make us less modest and reserved and strengthen our determination to press our claims. The canal to China, the canal to Panama, the canal to the Philippines, and for almost anything outside the United States. Why should not justice be shown to our own people doing charity abroad? It was for the very purpose of having justice done the National Rivers and Harbors congress was formed. Now I have not called you briefly its object and purpose.

**Rivers and Harbors Congress.**  
At the convention of this body held in Washington on January 15 and 16, 1906, there were present delegates from 26 states. In brief, the organization to be handled by an executive committee of 15 members from various sections of the United States. A vice-president from each state was also appointed. Its purpose is to arouse a new interest in the matter of rivers and harbors, to diffuse information, and to show