Editorial Page of The Journal man want

THE OREGON DAILY JOURNAL
small Change


## T



|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  | dexicto mimit |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| tharb |  |
| Hemidition | ys And rats remen |
|  |  |
|  | , |
| and |  |
|  | and |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| reformino timber Land Lawi. | , |
| Mr tur Land Laws hav bee very momer |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
| an |  |
| . |  |
| Stito bemom | amome tone ilicern |
|  |  |
| tieme momes bir | The commento of the chieso seribital |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


|  |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |


| Forest reserves in the northwest. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TMERE IS Nor so much obiection in the ore <br>  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| rase and be aro or it than to thate hap |  |  |
| ces with one nother. Indect the Lewist |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Some entirely unnecessary and very Imappropriate re |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| crowd in, to take all possible advantages of the inaugu-ration of such a policy, that cannot be held a sufficientreason for its abandonment, or the radical alteration of |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| reason for its abandonment, or the radical alteration ofits general intent and scope. It cannot be helped, thatone class of men are more benefited at first than an- |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| it would consider the failure of railway rate leg-istan conbress at its present session as "most un-fortunafe for the railways," and gives the following reasoms for this opinion: |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| 隹 |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| road |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| T |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |

DANIELS DEFENDED BY ROOSBVELT

LEWIS AND CLARK Dictindity of Mieinformation.




 PRIZEFIGHTER-On。










## 

$3=$
Potentallities of Salt.





