

on of texts out of which grew the New Testament, tears out a few of its entitled "The Morals of Jesus," leaves relating to the divinity of Christ and appropriates all the rest that its system becomes in any degree possible as a basis for personal religion." cant words, "I too have made a wee lit-tle book from the same materials, which I call the "Philosophy of Jesus." It is a paradigma of his doctrines, made by cutting the texts out of the book and

s were stamped the words, "The Mor-of Jesus." In regard to the first col-

On June 14, 1890. Senator Evarts arose from his seat in the United States senate and offered a report of the committee on library, insulich it was recommended that the overnment purchase the manuscript papers and correspondences of Thomas Jefferson. The senate did not see fit at that time to purchase these writings. But the resolution offered by Senator Evarts bore fruit. by catting the texts out of the book and arranging them on the pages of a blank book in a certain order of time or subject. A more heautiful or precious morsel of ethics I have never seen; it is a document in proof that I am a real Christian, that is to say, a disciple of the doctrines of Jesus. the doctrines of Jesus.

It is interesting to note that Jefferson did not select for his compilation all the words of Jesus. In fact he studiously

avoided certain passages the acceptance of which would have compelled Mr. Jefferson to take a view of Jesus which he refused to consider. He explained avoided certain passages the acceptance of which would have compelled Mr. Jefferson to take a view of Jesus which he refused to consider. He explained this strange proceeding thus: "We must reduce our volume to the simple avangelists, select even from them the very words only of Jesus, pering off the amphiboligisms." The last is a long word which like charity, covereth a multitude of sits. Let us see. Jefferson includes in his book the sermon on the mount. That is good. But why omit his interview with Nicodemus in which the great truth of the new birth is taught, and in which is found that magnificent passage which Luther called creat, but only a very few had ever seen creat, but only a very few had ever seen creat, but only a very few had ever seen creat, but only a very few had ever seen creat, but only a very few had ever seen creat, but only a very few had ever seen creat. his interview with Nicodenius in which the great truth of the new birth is taught, and in which is found that magnificent passage which Luther called "A Little Bible?" "For God so loved the world that He gave His only begotten Son that whosoever believeth in Him should not perish but have everlasting life." This must be an amphibilities. known of this work of the great Demo-crat, but only a very few had ever seen it. Some even regarded the story as a myth, fit only to be connected with the fabiled tale of how Jefferson rode alone into Washington on the morning of his inauguration, hitched his horse at a cor-ner post, and strode unattended into the capitol and took the oath of the presi-dent of the United States dressed in riding suit and boots.

But this was not the case, as careful students of American history kept. Still. Jefferson included in his book the

Jefferson included in his book the tender story of the woman taken in adultery, which is now generally regarded as an interpolation. But he omitted all reference to the lofty teaching of Jesus at the well-side of Sychar, and to that sublime utterance before the tomb of Lazarus, "I am the resurrection and the life, he that believeth in me though he were dead yet shall

in similar excerpts prepared for the Indians, thinks this simple form would suit them best, But, abandoning this, the formal execution of his plan took EDGAR P. HILL.

the formal execution of his plan took the shape above described, which was for his individual use. He used the four languages that he might have the texts in them side by side, convenient for comparison. In the book he pasted a map of the ancient world and the Holy Land, with which he studied the New Testament."

But this description of Mr. Spofford's But this description of Mr. Spofford's The action of the senate in not acting did not suit the literary tastes of the senators who wished to have the Jeffer-

senators who wished to have the Jefferson Bible printed. They wanted a more complete story of the work. They also wanted a fuller description of the book itself. So, one of the first clauses of the resolution was that an introduction, "not to exceed 25 pages," be written by Dr. Cyrus Adler, librarian of the Smithsonian Institution, for the new publication. Dr. Adler wrote the introduction, and used exactly one half of his allotted number of pages. His description of the book is complete. It is:

"The so-called Jefferson Bible, more

book is complete. It is:

"The so-called Jefferson Bible, more accurately "The Life and Morals of Jesus of Nazareth.' is now the property of the United States National museum at Washington, having been obtained by purchase in 1895. The following is a description of the volume:

"Measurements: Height, 8½ inches; width, 4 15-15 inches; thickness at back, 1½ inches; in middle, 1% inches; at edge points, inch.

at edge points, inch.

Binding: Full red leather with gilt tooling. The back divided in five panels; in second panel from top title in gold: Morals of Jesus.

The margin of the covers of all four

the right-hand page, the left-hand page has only the black line; it is followed by three other blank leaves, the first of which has the black line on both ides; then come the Sy-leaf and the cover. Between each of the leaves, with the exception of the blank ones, there

PRESIDENT THOMAS JEFFERSON

Leaf 83 has extracts on

the exception of the blank ones, there are alternately one and two narrow strips of paper bound in.

"That Jefferson had in mind the preparation of such a book, and that he actually prepared it, has been known to students of his letters and writings, and especial attention was drawn to the fact in The Life and Times of Thomas Jefferson, by Henry S. Randall, published in three volumes, New York, 1858."

John Adams and Thomas Jefferson, who were at one time bitter enemies, afterward became warm friends, and the compilation of Jefferson's bible became the subject of considerable correspondence between them.

On January 29, 1804, in a letter written at Washington to Dr. Priestley, Jefferson sald:

thing relative to his personal history and combines them, clipping out all but 2. Never trouble and character. It would be short and the plain statement of the burial. The you can do yourself.

precious. With a view to do this for result is as follows: my own satisfaction I had sent to Pail- John xix 42—"The adelphia to get two testaments Greek of the same edition and two English,

of the same edition and two English, with a design to cut the doctrines of morality and paste them on the leaves of a book in the manner you describe in framing your harmony. But I shall now get the thing done by better hands."

In a letter to Mr. Adams, August 22, 1813. Jefferson says that he had prepared a syllabus of the Christian teachings for Dr. Priestly and Dr. Rush, and that Dr. Rush's family had returned it after the death of that gentlemen, ... o Mr. Jefferson's great delight, for he found that it would involve him in a religious controversy.

religious controversy.

In Jefferson's compilation of the gospels he omitted everything of a miraculous nature and comined his clippings to the teachings of Jesus. He clipped from all the gospels, using the verses which make the clearest statement

Matthew xxvii:60-" . . of the sepulchre and departed."

Thus he followed out to the sine his general plan and omitted all that could not be explained to the satisfaction of a

erbs—quite as many as Solomon—and.
says one of his blographers, "was quite
as careless in observing them." However that may be, his own code of morals
which he thought should be observed is
found in what he called "A Catalogue
of Canons for Observation in Practical

3. Never spend your money before John xix:42-"There laid they Jesus, you have it

4. Never buy what you don't want because it is cheap; it will be dear to you.

5. Pride costs us more than hunger, thirst or cold.
6. We never repent of having eate

too little.

7. Nothing is troublesome that we do willingly.

8. How much pain have cost us the evils which have never happened!

9. Take things always by the smooth handle.

handle.

10. When angry, count 10 before you speak; if very angry, then a hundred.

Thomas Jefferson—the United States' first secretary of state, second vice-president and third president—was born in Virginia in 1743; he died in his native commonwealth, July 4, 1826, just a few hungs before John Adams passed away. Jefferson was a bold borseman, a skill-"I rejoice that you have undertaken the task of comparing the moral doctrines of Jesus with those of the ancient philosophers. I think you cannot avoid giving, as a preliminary to the comparison, a digest of his moral doctrine, extracted in his own from the evangelists and leaving out everything of a miraculous fill but the concluding verse of the work he thing relative to his personal history and combines them, clipping out a miraculous for Observation in Practical commonwealth, July 4, 1826, just a few hours before John Adams passed sway. It his has been preserved to posterity, I fell hunter, an elegant penman, a skill-from all the gompels, using the verses which make the clearest statement where the texts are practically the writings by the action of congress, is amaster in the art of letter-writing, an architect of no mean ability, and a sutrine, extracted in his own words from the evangelists and leaving out every-and combines them, clipping to the teachings of Jesus. He clipped This has been preserved to posterity, Jefferson was a bold horseman, a skill-from was a bold horseman, a skill-from was a bold horseman, and in view of the interest recently revived in Jefferson and his doings and violinist, a brilliant conversationalist, a writings by the action of congress, is amaster in the art of letter-writing, an architect of no mean ability, and a sutrine and confined his clipping to Life," which was wriften in his youth. Life," which was wriften in his youth. It have been preserved to posterity, Jefferson was a bold horseman, a fine view of the interest recently revived in Jefferson and his Jefferson most popular American to represent the new nation in the court of France, with the possible exception of Ben Franklin.

TOLSTOI, THE ENIGMA

From the Chicago Tribune. EW people since Rousseau have bevealed more about the secrets of their soul than Count Lyof Toistol, and yet few figures have remained more essentially enignatic. Like Rousseau again, Roistol speaks hot to this edunity or that, but to the world; and I think that he is listened to for precisely the same reason. It was a difficult thing for the ex-valet to win the franchise of Europe at a time when difficult thing for the ex-valet to win the franchise of Europe at a time when its intelligence was embodied in Voltaire. It is a difficult thing for Count Lyof Tolstol, the aristocrat in the mulk blouse to repeat to a generation incoulated with the theories of Darwin the old lesson of the sermon on the mount. Mere sincerity in neither case would have availed anything. Mere fanaticism in either case would have been found only tedious. Tolstol, no less than Rousseau, conquered because he had power to interest the human heart.

he had power to interest the human heart.

One would naturally imagine that under a despotism such as the Russian, a despotism which holds out unique advantages for individual energy, provided the necessary, formulas are accepted, such a form of self-development would be almost inevitable. Count Lyof Toistoi's life is an utter contradiction to this thesis. He was born with that rare gift, the power to see men and things in perspective and at first hand for one's self. As a child he seems to have been singularly clear eved with that hard, pagan vision that his enemy, Mercankovsky, attributes to him as the essence of his character. He could see his Tather just as he was, and could record the changes of inflection in his voice as he argued with the old steward, over the acubus. He noticed every little mimicing affectation about his sister's French governess. Fears afferward he was able to reproduce by a few touches the picture of the old servant, in whom his tutor used so anxiously to confide. I do not think that there is in any other writer of narrative quite the same grip of the actual that and the state of the Lutted states dressed in addition, which is now generally readily and and books these description of laters as the well-side of short as the well-side of In his voice as he argued with the old steward, over the acubus. He noticed every little mimicing affectation about his sister's French governesse. Years afterward he was able to reproduce by a few touches the picture of the old servent, in whom his tutor used so anxiously to confide. I do not think that there is in any other writer of narrative quite the same grip of the actual that Tolatol gives us. You will find it in the recollections of the nursery; it will crop up again in the defense of Sevastopol. You will meet with it in the fetic atmosphere of a mujlk's hover; and you will find it amid the hostoric traditions of palaces.

Let me take one little example of this merclless gift, this pagan hardness, which allows no film to arise between the eye and the object. You remember the eye and the blackboard for the last lesson, in which the Frence, it seems to me that in this little story there is presentation. He was to apply to the fact of battle the same ime and adjective, the prearranged method of presentation. He was to apply to the fact of battle the same impulsive scruttny that he had applied to the associations that have been completely savered. Now, in the recollections of the endormer of "War and Peace" in the little savered. Now, in the recollections of savered. Now, in the recollections of the example of the same impulsive scruttny that he had applied to the same individual. Above all the tenderness and charm of early associations that have been completely served. It seems to me that in this little story there is presentation. He was to apply to the fact of Sevastopol. So you will find the served of "Anna Karenina." In that the early of "Anna Karenina." In that

peal which lies in a national disaster, sacks." With this pagen vision of his, boot, and moves elastically. The step that of the great French novelist. It is that drank in so greedily the endless varieties of the real world, it was impossible that introspection should ever be taken to Moscow, and their tutor, old Karl Ivanovich, has to go away. That is absolutely all. Can any situation be more trite and commonplace? There had been no extraordinary devotion to their instructor on the part of the children. He is not at all a Tom Pinch, cast off after years of devoted service. There is no appeal whatever to sentimentality, and yet forever you will remember him as he sits there, the poor faded old man, saying, with a futile sweep of his arm, that he lady of the house is devoted to him, but that she has no power. No power. No power. No power. No power. No power ho power. No power ho power will remained caught him up and torn him away from a quiet anchorage. De Maupassant might have given us much the same picture, but he would have ended with a son. Tolstol, its pagan artist, makes no comment.

All through his after life he prewould have ended with a sob. Tolstol, the pagan artist, makes no comment.

All through his after life he preserved this directness of vision. His life itself was a romance because he could see clearly. The university days, his entry into society, the inevitable relapses into dissipation—these things he puts before us as though no one had written on much topics before. Perhaps, indeed no one had, for these things are written with something other than ink. But there was another side of Tolstol. The man who could see clearly soon ceased to take everything for granted. He began to question; above all, he began to question heart.

With these two things, the power to face reality with unflinching eyes and

he turned hungrily to art, to literature, to philosophy, to science. He dissipated his 'immense energies, but his question remained eternally upanswered. In Pierre and Levin, the two central figures of the great works, "War and Peace" and "Anna Karenina." we find Tolstoi searching with steadfast eyes for the answer to the enigma of life. One must recollect always that it was no hermit who was brooding over this ancient question, but a men who had the entree into the most exclusive society, a man who had been steeped to satiety in the best or the worst of what life had to offer. Both these embodiments of Count Tolstoi, or at least of Count Tolstoi's gospel of life. Pierre and Levin, practically give up the search for new wisdom and fall back upon the old simplicity. Each of them is content to learn from the humble peasant who, because he has never been bewildered by a futile search, can see the eternal varieties with undimmed eyes. It is said that there are two Tolstois.—Tolstoi the artist and Tolstoi the religious fanatic. I do not think that any Tolstoi the artist and Tolstoi the religious fanatic. I do not think that any candid reader of "Resurrection," for example, would draw any such academic line of demarcation. No, no; there has been only one Tolstoi, and it is after all the Tolstoi who watched old Karl Ivanovitch so closely years and years ago.

In his particularly interesting book. The Downfall of Russia." Hugo Ganz, the well known Vienna correspondent, tells us of a recent visit to Tolstoi. He approached this enigmatic figure who has renounced so many ideals, and has inarrowed his life down to such Spartan simplicity, with a fear of approaching distilusion. Would the man ring true beneath the phraseology of the propagandist? Was Tolstoi a vast make-bellef, who evaded analysis mersiy by adroitness? This is the picture that he draws of the old man of 75 as he is today: In his particularly interesting book, the well known Vienna correspondent, tells us of a recent visit to Tolstoi. He approached this enigmatic figure who has renounced so many ideals, and has narrowed his life down to such Spartan simplicity, with a fear of approaching dislitusion. Would the man ring true beneath the phraseology of the propagneth of th

Reace" in the little narrow—wholly unslavoute, and is well imposent reply.

The applicant got the post, though be Karenina," in that dere have a military erectness. A narchular see for the life of him what the story, 'The Cost row foot is hidden in the high Russian foreman was laughing at.

Tolstoi produces an illusion similar to that drank in so greedily the endless and carriage are youthful. An trony of that of the great French novelist. It is varieties of the real world, it was im- fate will have it that the bitterest foe

in the peasant's dress is in every movement the grand seigneur."

There was no disillusion. The master
of Yasnaya Polyana was indeed the reality whose genius had arrested the
attention of a Europe languidly skeptical as to nearly all other voices. "The
man whose hand I had just grasped."

Writes Mr. Gang, "embodies the moral
consciousness of our century."

Nobody need ask what Toistot stands
for when one glances at the various
forces that interpret modern thought.
He has discarded as vain and illusive
shadows everything except the whisper
of the inner consciousness which says.

"This is right and that is wrong." He
who all his life has been able to appreciate so well the pagan gladness of life
has abandoned forever the pagan gospel
of beauty. He who sheerbed, as perhaps no other novelist has absorbed, the
passion and romance of war has survived to maintain the duty of nonresistance. He who for years has been
a diligent student of philosophy and
science has accepted humbly the mujik's
blouse as the symbol of his faith. Alone
he survives, unequivocal, upsentimental,
dofing the thing that he believes to be he blouse as the symbol of his faith. Alone he survives, unequivocal, unsentimental, at doing the thing that he believes to be right, and contemptuously indifferent to the terrors of the machine that has done so much to bring his country to final ruin. They know where to find me, he said once in superb deflance of the authorities who have been powerless to suppress this one voice. Tyranny dares much in that land of lymense silence, but tyranny is itself abashed before the isolated nobility of Count Lyof Tolstel.

COULDE'T SEE WEY BOSS LAUGEED