

### GOODWIN SPENT NIGHT IN PRISON

#### Capitalist Accused of Deserting His Wife the Butt of Tramps' Jokes.

#### VERY SERIOUS CHARGE PLACED AGAINST HIM

#### Probable Case Will Be Dismissed as He Has Agreed to Provide for Family.

Though every effort has been made by interested friends to keep the fact from publicity, in which they were aided by the authorities so far as possible, it is learned that Edward H. Goodwin, the son of one of the most wealthy and aristocratic families in New York, spent Monday night in the city prison in a cell with common hobo and petit larceny thieves.

Goodwin is the son of Edward Goodwin, who until his death some time ago was the senior member of the big paper firm of Perkins & Goodwin. He was unfortunate enough last Monday to meet the wife whom he is said to have deserted three years ago, and she forced him to accompany her to her lawyer's apartments.

Acting Detective Hellyer was summoned, and Goodwin was escorted to police headquarters, where a charge of sustaining unduly intimate relations with another woman was lodged against him. It was discovered that for three or four days he had occupied a room at the Portland hotel with this woman.

For some reason Goodwin did not secure bail Monday afternoon and spent the night in jail. In the same cell, No. 11, were two vagabonds and a thief. They got a clew to Goodwin's identity, and during the night they kept the man in a mental condition bordering on insanity.

"Say, Beau Brummel," queried a "rag" leering in disgusting fashion at Goodwin, "what's your number in New York's Four Hundred?"

"They tell me the ghost of Ward McAllister has appeared and given Spirituallia a new tip on collars," remarked the thief.

"That don't make no difference; President Roosevelt wears 'em low out, don't he, Goody?" asked another inmate.

"It must be awful nice to have a lot of money and be able to sleep in this place, with so different species of insects as companions," suggested an occupant of another cell, gazing tantalizingly through the bars at Goodwin.

The aggressive badinage was kept up until Goodwin covered his head with a blanket. Now and then one of the inmates would stammer against him as if by accident. It is said the capitalist did not enjoy his residence.

He endured this torture until yesterday afternoon, when Justice Reid, his counsel, reached the city prison and deposited \$1,000 bail, in the shape of 40 gold coins. Police Clerk Glass took the money and made out the papers giving Goodwin his liberty.

"Thank God!" he breathed, as he slipped out into the serene air after securing his belongings at the desk.

By request of counsel Judge Hogue postponed his case until Wednesday next. It is said Goodwin has agreed to make proper provision for his wife. The charge against him will probably be dismissed.

### HERMANN A WITNESS

(Continued from Page One.)  
stances under which the paper was filed, "I think I recall the circumstances," replied Judge Galloway. "The woman, Mrs. Porter, came into the land office with another woman and two men, just as the office was about to close for the day. The reason I remember the case is because she was very anxious to have the proofs taken that evening. I wanted to put it off until morning, but she had come in before the hour of closing, so I could not refuse. I think I asked one of the gentlemen with her to fill out the proofs."

"What sort of a looking woman was she?" asked Mr. Hall.

Describes Mrs. Watson.  
"She was a woman of medium size and rather quick movements. She was dressed in dark-brown or black. She said she had just come from her claim and wanted to have the proofs taken as she could go to Portland that evening."

"How did she compare with this woman here?" asked the district attorney. "A thrill ran through the courtroom and all eyes turned to Emma Watson as Mr. Hall pointed her out to the witness. Judge Pipes sprang to his feet.

"I object, your honor, to the question." "Very well," said Mr. Hall. "I will put it differently. Can you identify the woman who appeared before you under the name of Emma Porter as this woman, Emma Watson?"

"I can't swear that this woman is the same as Emma Porter," replied Judge Galloway, "but she has somewhat the appearance of that lady."

Mrs. Watson sat unmoved while this testimony was given. Her fixed gaze and slightly heightened color were the

only symptoms of any unusual interest on her part.

"Can you remember the appearance of the other woman who signed the name of Emma Witt to the affidavit?" asked Mr. Hall.

"No, except that one of the women was slender and taller than the other. I remember Mrs. Porter because of her conversation and her hurried and fussy manner. The other one said nothing."

The witness remembered nothing of the appearance of Frank H. Walsganot, who with Emma Witt appeared as an attending witness. The remainder of Judge Galloway's testimony was chiefly occupied with the identification of various documents which had been filed at the Oregon City land office.

H. F. Coleman, chief clerk in the registry division of the general land office at Washington, was next placed upon the stand. His testimony was directed to establishing the fact that the fraudulent homestead applications filed at Oregon City were duly transmitted to Washington for the purpose of securing patents.

There was already a file of objections from the defense, but the witness was finally allowed to state that the papers had been received and filed in the Washington office. Mr. Honey informed the court that the government would offer additional evidence on the same point.

Inspector George Meard.  
The flagrant interest of the spectators was aroused anew when Colonel A. R. Greene, the special agent of the interior department who gathered most of the evidence upon which the prosecution is based, took the stand. His testimony, however, was very brief. Even at that, it did not escape the torrent of objections, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

Mr. Hall then offered in evidence certified copies of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

When Mr. Hall offered in evidence a certified copy of the patent issued to Emma Porter, upon the homestead application alleged to have been falsely manufactured by Emma Watson, Judge Pipes again took the center of the stage. He made a strenuous objection to the admission of the document, insisting that such evidence was directly at variance with the theory of the prosecution.

He declared that the indictment was ambiguous in its terms and defective, and that on no theory could the patent be introduced by the government.

Mr. Honey, who up to the present time has taken a prominent part in the courtroom proceedings, made a vigorous reply, presenting a strong argument in support of the government's right to introduce the evidence in dispute, countering the objections of the defense, and insisting that the indictment was drawn. Mr. Honey's argument made a strong impression which was visibly reflected in the downcast looks of some of the defendants, countering the testimony of the alambrosia, which had been falling all the morning from the lips of the defendant's attorneys.

### "RINERS DISHONEST"

(Continued from Page One.)

To have been dishonest in not performing the contract in accordance with the specifications, as shown by the report of the experts and the testimony of the witnesses.

"Fifth, we recommend that under the direction of a competent engineer, the Tanner creek sewer be rebuilt in accordance with the specifications, the cost of the same to be deducted from the amount which would be due Mr. Riner in case he had performed his contract according to the specifications.

"We are unable to obtain any evidence of collusion between any official of the city and the contractor; but, by referring to the testimony of Mr. Maurice Reinsteil, there were insinuations of some city official or officials being implicated, and as we are not empowered to compel this witness to make more specific his insinuations, we recommend that the grand jury now in session subpoena Mr. Reinsteil and Mr. Walter Thomas, whose testimony we took on November 22, and investigate fully this phase of the subject. R. M. Riner and E. W. Riner should also be included in such subpoena by the grand jury.

"In our conclusions we desire to state for the benefit of the public that the council has nothing to do with the letting of contracts for street improvements, and that any action of the council, or the acceptance of such work when completed.

"Respectfully submitted,  
"ZIMMERMAN,  
"H. R. ALBERK,  
"B. D. SIGLER,  
"Committee."

The story of Walter Thomas told before the committee yesterday was credited by many of the opinion that he has been produced simply as a scheme to shift the blame from the contractors upon others. A. R. Mendenhall, attorney for the contractor, who has been seen from the truth, and also that he knows far more than he disclosed last night.

"We first learned of this man through a friend whose name I cannot disclose," said he. "Our friend told him that Thomas knew a great deal about the sewer. Through Mr. Rust we were able to get Mr. Thomas to come and testify.

"Mr. Thomas did not realize what kind of a proposition he was running against when he consented to come before the committee. He became a little frightened, and would not tell all he knew. He is said to have positively recognized the man who gave him the money, and he is also said to know the man who saw him receive the \$50 in the sewer. Thomas knows where to produce that man, and we are out seeking to find him."

Attorney Mendenhall says he never saw Thomas before he came into the room last night, and E. W. Riner asserts that he has never seen him from the time he completed the work on the sewer until he came into the committee room.

"We propose to get at the bottom of this affair," continued Mr. Mendenhall. "This man really did receive the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

Mr. Reinsteil stated that he believes Thomas is telling the truth when he says some one bribed him.

"It is all a concocted story," said he today. "I never bribed anybody in connection with the sewer, and who says so is telling a falsehood. The truth is, the money, which I fully believe, we are going to prove it. Why would a man desire to come before such a crowd of men as Thomas did last night and testify that he had never seen the man who had not? You could get no man to do such a thing unless he is telling the truth."

### HER TEETH HER FORTUNE

And before being fortunate and beautiful she has excellent health and splendid digestion. Her social position was the best. Men sought her society and she was beloved and adored by all her lady friends—perhaps envied by some. Perfect teeth properly cared for brought all this about, but the perfect teeth were made perfect by our expert dental work. You can have the same done for you. Don't say it is because you are afraid of being hurt, for dentistry has been reduced to such a fine science that there is no use for you to suffer during dental operations. If your teeth are not what you would like to have them, just step in when you are busy. They have our teeth with them, will cost you nothing if you do not want anything to be done. Remember, I have the largest and best equipped dental office on the Pacific coast and I will receive you who will make you feel at home at once.

CONSULTATION FREE. FEE REASONABLE.

Office Hours—9 a. m. to 6 p. m. Evenings—7:30 to 9:30 o'clock. Sundays—9 a. m. to 1 p. m.

### Dr. B. E. Wright's Dental Office

948 1/2 WASHINGTON ST., COR. THE Phone Main 5115. Branch office Stearns Bldg., Salem, Or.

sewer had been done according to plans and specifications, excepting at one set of timbers, where only one row of bricks had been put in. He stated that lots of cement were used.

"We were told," said he, "that the more cement used, the more money the bosses would get, and I always had them use lots of cement."

"There were some leading questions as to who the reports upon the sewer were, and testify, but no satisfactory answer was forthcoming, and Councilman Zimmerman stated that they had been hunting for this man for several days. They had not seen him through his coming to the Lucky Boy Mining company's office and applying for a job. He had told Councilman Sharkey that he knew a lot about the sewer.

R. E. Greenleaf and J. H. Cunningham, two of the experts who inspected the sewer, were placed on the stand to testify concerning the length of the sewer. There was a discrepancy between the reports of the experts and that of the city engineer. It was explained by the experts that they were correct and that the city engineer was the one in error. Mr. Elliott stated that the sewer was 1,117 feet long when the length of the old portion of the sewer repaired was given by the city engineer as 418 feet, whereas the examiners state there were 699 feet.

The difference is that the engineer reports 32 feet of new sewer constructed more than there were and allows pay for new sewer while the experts say that the contractor should only receive pay for the repairs. The difference will amount to about \$500 in favor of the contractor.

It is persistently rumored today that the sewer jury will look into the sewer scandal and that they are preparing to take such action immediately. One prominent city official stated today that he thought they would begin as soon as the council committee makes its report.

While many feel that the council committee has done good work in assisting to unearth the scandal, they are of the opinion that some action should be taken against the men who are closely involved in the fraud perpetrated against the property owners.

### WANT A STANDARD GOVERNMENT SCALE

A trustees' meeting of the Portland chamber of commerce today adopted a committee report favoring action by the Oregon congressional delegation to have a standard government scale of 10 tons established at the export to facilitate the weighing and distributing of imported merchandise and lessen port charges for entering ships.

Endorsement was given the Fraternal Building presenter, Agnes, relating to funds desired by the Oregon delegation for expenses in their efforts to secure the 1905 convention for Portland, was referred to the Commercial club. Arrangements for the annual meeting of the chamber, January 11, will be made by S. M. Mears, Henry Hahn, George Taylor, General C. F. Beebe and R. Livingston.

### CLEVER PUPILS WIN PRIZES FOR ESSAYS

The Olds, Wortman & King prizes of \$25 offered to Portland school pupils for the best essays on the Panama canal were won today by the committee, Mrs. Maria C. Jackson, Mrs. L. W. Sitten and Mrs. Ellen G. Barber. The winners were: First prize, Elmer Young, high school; second prize, Clarence Herbert Sprague, Olin school; third prize, Alma Figgins, 249 Cherry street; fourth prize, Geraldine Kirk, St. Mary's academy.

### RATIONAL TREATMENT OF Stomach Diseases

Discard Injurious Drugs USE **Glycozone** A Harmless Powerful Germicide

Endorsed by Leading Physicians. Send twenty-five cents to pay postage on Free Trial Bottle. Sold by leading druggists. NOT GENUINE WITHOUT MY SIGNATURE.

Prof. Charles H. ... 628 PRINCE ST., NEW YORK. Write for Free Booklet on Rational Treatment of Stomach Diseases.

### HORSE AND DRAY FALL INTO THE WILLAMETTE

#### Queer Accident That Almost Cost the Life of a Valuable Animal.

Hanging by the neck from the top of a piling at the foot of Oak street this morning a horse belonging to the Walsman-Morris company, draymen, had a narrow escape from death. It was hitched to a dray and after having been out for a few moments the entire outfit fell into the river, a distance of about 30 feet.

By means of a rope a man was quickly lowered from the dock to the point where the animal was struggling in the water. He lost no time in cutting the harness away and freeing the horse, which swam up the river to the foot of Stark street, where he succeeded in pulling himself out on the shore. Vigorously shaking himself and taking a last look at the turbulent stream from which he had escaped, the beast leisurely walked up the incline to Front street. He was bleeding about the neck where he came in contact with the piling, but was not seriously hurt.

The driver left the animal at the edge of the Oak street dock, and while he was gone an expressman backed his wagon against the horse and the dray and pushed them overboard.

### SUB-STATION NO. THREE MAY BE DISCONTINUED

Sub-postal station No. 3, now located in the Woodard, Clarke & Co.'s stores, may be discontinued December 1. It will be closed unless the postal department at Washington offers some special inducement to another firm to operate the station.

This sub-station is a contract one, and was placed where it is located some 13 months ago. Owing to the fact that the owners of the store now need the room occupied by the postoffice, Postmaster Minto this morning stated that No. 3 would close a week from tomorrow.

"As that sub-station is such a big affair no store seems to want it," said Mr. Minto this morning. "I have reported the matter to Washington and will hear from the postmaster general's department."

### J. CAMPBELL HEARS MUCH OF US AT BAY CITY

J. Campbell, connected with the freight department of the Santa Fe railway at San Francisco, is in the city. Mr. Campbell was formerly a resident of Portland and was connected with the Oregon Railroad & Navigation company. He is a brother of Ben Campbell of the Harriman lines at Chicago.

"Portland is being much talked of in San Francisco," said Mr. Campbell. "The Lewis and Clark fair is receiving favorable mention in the newspapers and a great many people I have met tell me they are coming to see the fair. It is a year since I was in Portland and during that time the changes are wonderful to see."

Mr. Campbell is visiting here for a few days only and will return to the Bay City early next week.

### WEINHARD ESTATE'S THANKSGIVING GIFTS

Among the beneficiaries of the Weinhard estate in the line of Thanksgiving gifts is the Good Samaritan hospital. This institution yesterday received a check for \$5,000, remnant of which the managers gratefully wish to acknowledge.

It is admitted also that the St. Vincent's hospital received a check for a substantial amount, though the managers do not care to have the exact figures published.

### Continuous Performances.

The Star and Arcade will give continuous vaudeville performances tomorrow from 2 until 10:30, the same as Sundays. The bills at each of these houses are up to the well-known standard of the management.

### E. J. KELLOGG SURE FOR DIVORCE.

Sheriff Word this morning served summons on Ethel Kellogg notifying her that her husband, E. J. Kellogg, had brought suit for a divorce on the grounds of cruel and inhuman treatment. The Kelloggs were married in Portland, April 7, 1902, and Kellogg claims that within six months his wife began treating him in a cruel and inhuman manner, calling him vile names and refusing to cook meals for him, threatening at one time, so he alleges, to split his head open with an ax.

### Albums

For Souvenir Postal Cards For Stamp Photos For All Sizes of Photographs

And in New and Beautiful Bindings. SEE OUR DISPLAY

### "Ansco" Cameras Are the Best

Baby Ansco—No. 1.....\$1.00 No. 2.....\$2.00 Folding Pocket Ansco \$5.00

Ansco booklet for the asking. We do Printing and Developing right. No Machine Work.

### Perpetuated Palms Triplicate Mirrors

Never fade or look old. Always fresh and beautiful. Every one pressed and delivered without extra charge.

Compare these prices with the greenhouse plants, which need constant care. Our Palms are all potted. PRICES—Two feet high.....\$0.00 Three feet high.....\$0.75 Forty-five inches high.....\$0.00 Free Palms from \$45 up to \$12

In Polished Oak, Mahogany and Rosewood, heavy beveled French plate Louis XV patterns \$1.85 \$3.75 \$5 \$7.50

### BRING IN YOUR Prescriptions

We'll fill them carefully and at a moderate price.

### Woodard, Clarke & Company

Canadian Money taken at full