

DOES THIS MEAN WAR FOR RUSSIA

Report Comes that Czar's Government Has Ordered Guns and Ammunition for Use at Port Arthur--Looked at with a Serious Light.

BIRMINGHAM, July 8.—The Post today states that Russia has ordered 50 heavy guns and 25 creosote guns from Krupp for Port Arthur, also ten million rounds of small ammunition.

in progress at Port Arthur. It is generally believed that they are considering war questions in connection with the present Russian policy.

THE DALLES IS READY FOR WORK

Mayor Gunning Has Appointed Committees for the Year and Municipal Government Moves Smoothly.

James P. Gilmore, a Pioneer of Oregon, Died on July 4, While Visiting Relatives in Washington.

THE DALLES, July 8.—At a meeting of the city council held last night, Mayor Gunning, recently elected, read his annual message, which was full of suggestions for the conducting of the affairs of the city for the ensuing year.

THE DALLES, July 8.—News has reached here of the death of James P. Gilmore, who succeeded to an attack of heart trouble July 4, at the home of his brother, in southern Washington.

Mr. Gilmore was visiting his daughter, Mrs. G. F. Martin, in this city, and on Friday went across the river, on the Washington side, to visit his brother, who lives about two miles out. At that time he appeared to be in perfect health.

James P. Gilmore was born in Clay County, Missouri, April 18, 1829, and was married to Nancy A. Barnes, December 21, 1851. He emigrated to Oregon in 1852, settling in Umpqua Valley, near where Roseburg now stands, and resided there 26 years.

THE DALLES, July 8.—This afternoon the first count of the votes cast for queen of the Woodmen's carnival showed as follows:

Bessie Snipes, 69. Myrtle Mitchell, 37. Virginia Hilgen, 33. Bernice Schelling, 19. Bessie Eddon, 10.

The most delightful trip across the continent is via the Denver & Rio Grande, the scenic line of the Pacific. Apply at 124 Third St., Portland, for rates.

Ladies' and Gents' Bathing Suits for Rent. Reasonable Family Rates. Fine View of the Ocean. Excellent Cuisine.

THE NEWTON NEWTON STATION. New Improvements—New Management. RATES \$2.00 PER DAY. J. O. Wickham, Proprietor. Mrs. W. H. Kemsit, Hostess.

Centrally Located with Beautiful Surroundings. Fine Surf Bathing. The Hackney Cottage SEAVIEW, WASH.

McGuire's Hotel SEASIDE, OREGON. Open All the Year 'Round—Rates Reasonable. This Popular Hotel is new and clean and beautifully located on the Banks of the Necanicum, within five minutes' walk of CLATSOP BEACH.

The New Grimes Hotel E. M. Grimes, Proprietor. Free Bus to and From Hotel. Rates Furnished on Application. CLATSOP BEACH SEASIDE, OREGON

S. J. HUBBARD REAL ESTATE DEALER. Cottages for rent and sale. A good cottage to exchange for improved lots in suburbs of Portland.

INTERSTATE TOURNAMENT HUNTSVILLE, Ala., July 8.—An interstate shooting tournament opened here today under the auspices of the Huntsville Gun Club and will continue three days.

NOT CAPABLE OF HIGH EDUCATION

Alutes are a Degraded Race, Says Miss Ann Mann, for Two Years a Government Teacher at Unalaska.

Strong Indications of Gross Fraud in the Tax Settlement With Edwin Shannon, Which the County Now Assails.

Entry on Alias Writ Was Apparently Deliberately Falsified in Order to Make the Tax Appear to Be Valid.

Subsequently the Alteration was Erased in Order to Conceal Forgery from the Expert Examining the Books.

The suit of Multnomah County vs. Edwin Shannon, instituted today, marks another step toward the recovery of money lost to the county under the administration of the County Board of which Judge Calk was the presiding member, and it is especially important because it may bring to light the authors of a criminal alteration in the tax assessment records.

The facts upon which the suit is based are these: The defendant, Edwin Shannon, the owner and holder of a mortgage upon Block 6, East Portland, upon which taxes for the year 1892, amounting to \$351, became delinquent. In July, 1901, Shannon filed a petition with the County Court, asserting that the assessment was irregular and void for the reason that on an alias writ, issued March 16, 1899, the property was described as Block 60, instead of Block 6, although correctly described on the original roll.

Some allowance should be made in regard to the dullness of the children," said Miss Mann. "In the first place, their parents before them, in many instances, could not read nor write; their own language was a dialect, and there is no law compelling them to attend school. If you try to be too strict they will refuse to attend, and if the teacher is too lax in discipline nothing can be accomplished. The main thing is to get the children into your classrooms."

Unalaska is the largest of the Alutian group, being about 140 miles in length, and about 10 miles wide. It is a long, narrow island, and is a part of the Alutian group.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

Who Falsified the Record? The circumstances noted by the expert seem to indicate: First—That the record of the assessment, including the alias writ, was originally correct in every particular.

WOODARD, CLARKE & CO.

SELECTED OLD SATSUMA Just Received from Japan

CHINESE CARVINGS In Orange Wood

JAPANESE PORCELAINS Of Novel Designs and Colors

THINKS HE HAS BEEN BUNKOED BY STRAIN

Another Victim of the Cotton-Made Misfit Store that Is Operated on Queer Lines and Misrepresents Values.

Because he told Paul Strain that if he didn't give him his money back he would tell the Journal and also notify the police that he had been bunked out of \$10 in the Strain place, P. Thornton, who resides near the North Pacific Mill, is that much richer in his pocket-book.

Thornton, in company with his wife, went into Strain's place on Washington street Thursday to purchase a suit of clothes. He found just one set of garments in the place that suited him and after a great deal of dickering the suit was finally knocked down to him for \$10. The garments were then tried on as a final test as to their fit and they were pronounced satisfactory by the purchaser.

"You will have to leave them with us for a day or so that we can send them to the tailor's to be pressed," a salesman is reported to have said. "I will have them delivered to your residence as soon as they are finished."

The next day a bundle of clothes were received at the Thornton home, and Mr. Thornton began at once to examine the contents, and he said that he had read some articles in The Journal about the dealings of the Strain outfit and he wasn't going to be bunked if he could help it.

The bundle was examined at due length, but it was found that the clothes delivered were not the same ones that were purchased—the delivered garments being several sizes too small for Mr. Thornton.

"I won't believe that the suits were intentionally substituted," said the now suspicious Thornton, "so I guess I will go around to the Strain people and tell them about it before I make a roar."

The bundle was consequently taken back to the same salesman that it was bought from and, according to Mr. Thornton, the same suit he had purchased was still lying in the pile of "unclaimed misfits."

"A mistake," a salesman is purported to have said when the situation was explained to him. The suit Mr. Thornton had really purchased was then taken from the pile and accordingly was again sent to the tailor's to be pressed in shape.

"When the bundle came back to me the second time at my home," said Mr. Thornton in The Journal office yesterday, "I examined it at once and found that instead of being the one I had picked out the bundle contained a coat, pants and vest, all three being of different designs and styles."

"I hastened back to the store and told them of the second incident and said that if they did not give me my money back I would notify the police and also tell The Journal. When he heard the name of The Journal mentioned, I was at once taken in tow by the clerk and conducted to the rear of the store where I again told them what I would do, if the money was not forthcoming. The money was returned to me, but it was all through fear of The Journal. Thornton is well known in this city, having been a resident of Portland for over 20 years.

ent, or fox that had died from natural causes. I found the carcass of a big five-pronged buck in the woods once, but a rattlesnake, also dead, had its fangs buried in one of the deer's nostrils. There had evidently been a fight to the death between the reptile and the bear.

"Its jaws were open and its glassy eyes were pushed far out of its head. I held a post-mortem examination of the dead bear and found the lamb's head lodged in its throat. How or why the bear ever permitted it to get there I am unable to explain."

"I have many times found other dead animals in the woods, but never one that did not show unquestionable evidence of having died from violence of some kind. Every woodsman will tell you the same. What becomes of the dead animals that die natural death?"

OVERNIGHT ENTRIES Journal's List of Horses and Weights for Tomorrow's Races.

SEATTLE, July 8.—The overnight entries for Thursday's races at The Meadows track are as follows:

St. Louis A. B. C. BEERS The Highest Priced but the Best Quality. Order from Fleckenstein Mayer Company