

Daily Astorian.

JOHN T. LIGHTER, Editor.

Telephone Main 641.

TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.

DAILY.

Sent by mail, per year \$2.00. Sent by mail, per month .20. Served by carrier, per month .20.

SEMI-WEEKLY.

Sent by mail, per year in advance \$3.00. Postage free to subscribers.

All communications intended for publication should be directed to the editor. Business communications of all kinds and remittances must be addressed to "The Astorian."

The Astorian guarantees to its advertisers the largest circulation of any newspaper published on the Columbia river.

Advertising rates can be had on application to the business manager.

A GREEDY CORPORATION TURNED DOWN.

The city council has proved itself faithful to the people. The failure of the councilmen to take action in favor of the West Shore Mills Company proved conclusively that the billings-gate and baldersdash used by the enemies of the people and the taxpayers cut no figure when the matter was reduced to a straight-out business proposition.

The city council is excusable for refraining from taking positive action on the lighting matter because the responsibility rested solely upon the water commissioners. The water commission, however, has given unmistakable evidence of the fact that the people of Astoria can no longer look to it to relieve them of the burden of excessive taxation for lights. Moreover, they have convinced the people of Astoria that they are willing to allow the people of Astoria to be muled out of several hundred dollars a year in interest, on an indebtedness created to economize in a certain branch of public service which was intended to be operated in the interests of the tax-payers.

Meanwhile, Astoria goes dark. But it were better that the city remain in darkness rather than submit to the extortionate rates of a corporation which openly boasts that it has a "cinch" and will fix the rates for public lighting as it pleases.

Senator Platt's announcement that "after 1903"—then his senatorial term ends—"I shall retire from public life and from active participation in politics," has naturally caused much interest and comment among politicians throughout the country. It seems strange to regard the possibility of the retirement of the "easy boss" to private life. He has been such a prominent figure in New York state and in national politics for so many years, that it has seemed as if he would be a permanent fixture. It has been appreciated that he was no longer a young man and that his health is not of the best, yet he is only 67 or will be on July 15, and when his present term ends will be four months and eleven days less than 70. The question naturally arises as to Mr. Platt's successor. The idea seems to prevail that the mantle will fall upon B. B. Odell and Newburg. The probability, however, is that the machine constructed by Mr. Platt will not hold together entirely, and that for a while there will be several political centers and some lively political skirmishes. If the republicans hold the state of New York there promises to be a pretty contest for Mr. Platt's senatorial seat. Governor Roosevelt, unless he be drafted for the vice-presidency, will certainly aspire to it. So will B. B. Odell and Lieutenant-Governor Woodruff, unless he be nominated for McKinley's running mate, is expected to make a hard fight for election. This is a long way ahead, but politicians are accustomed to look well to the future.

Ignatius Donnelly has written a letter accepting the nomination of the middle-of-the-road populists for vice-president, in which he says: "I acknowledge the great honor done me in that nomination, and if elected—" Now, why does he stick to that "if"?"

Professor Starr of the University of Chicago has come out for the Empress Dowager of China, out owing to the fact that the powers have not yet been apprised of his stand they are still pushing on toward Peking.

Henry Waterson has come out for Bryan; but this in itself is a recommendation for the latter. Henry was for Goebel.

Minister Wu says there are Boxers at St. Louis who deserve to be looked after. Mr. Wu doesn't expect to run for anything in this country this fall.

Philadelphia is ready for the republican convention. It will have to be admitted that this is not so slow.

It is evident that Mrs. Schley is content to be known simply as the wife of a naval hero.

THE CRISIS AT CAPE TOWN.

New York Tribune.

A ministerial crisis at Cape Town may be added to the general perplexities of South Africa. We remarked at the beginning of the war that the position of Mr. Schreiner, the Cape prime minister, was a most delicate one. He is a Dutchman and a member of the Afrikaner Bond, yet he has been called upon to take the British side of the conflict and to oppose the Bond with both moral and physical force. He has thus far acquitted himself, on the whole, admirably. But now, that the results of the war are to be dealt with civilly, and the Cape parliament is about to meet—it was called for Friday of next week—his difficulties come to a crisis. Some of his colleagues openly antagonize him. The Afrikaner Bond is furious in its wrath against him. And he is by no means assured that the British party, which formerly opposed him, will now stand by him as he has stood by it. Hence he has, it is reported, offered his resignation.

The chief issues of the present crisis are those of dealing with the Cape rebels and the approval of martial law. During the war some British subjects in Cape Colony openly joined or aided the invading Boers, and in some districts martial law was proclaimed by the government and men were arrested and punished under it. Mr. Schreiner is in favor of proceeding against all such rebels, and regards the temporary proclamation of martial law as entirely valid, and would take such civil action as would be necessary for the recognition of it. To both these things the Afrikaner Bond is opposed. It demands that the Cape rebels shall not be called to account for their treason, and that the governor's proclamation of martial law shall be officially declared unwarranted and illegal and all sentences imposed under it shall be immediately annulled. It may be that Mr. Schreiner has been influenced by professional instincts as a lawyer rather than by zeal for the British crown—though there is really no good excuse for doubting his loyalty. At any rate, he is fully committed to the British policy.

What will be the alignment of parties in the assembly? At least three of the Bond members, who openly joined the Boers, and accepted office under them, will scarcely venture to take their seats. A fourth is likely also to remain away from Cape Town. These absences will reduce the Bond majority to seven or eight. Now we are told that in a caucus held this week Mr. Schreiner was deserted by most of his party, only ten supporting him. Therefore he offers to resign. Suppose he does. He will no doubt continue to enjoy the support of the ten members who stood by him in caucus. Their defection from the Bond ranks would leave the Bond in a minority. Whether the British party would accept Mr. Schreiner for its prime minister and sustain him in office, with the help of the votes of the ten followers from the Bond, is not certain. It would seem to be an uncommonly good stroke of policy for them to do so. Meantime, it is not certain that Mr. Schreiner will resign his place as minister. He may reconsider the matter, and instead resign his connection with the Bond. It is significant that he has already accepted the resignation of two of his ministerial colleagues who are intense Bondites. They are Mr. Merriman, the treasurer, who, though an Englishman, has all along been more Boerish than the Boers, and Mr. Sauer, the minister of lands and railways, who is strongly pro-Boer and at the same time, by a unique anomaly, a friend and champion of the negroes. If Mr. Schreiner should replace all his pro-Boer colleagues with loyal Britons, and should then appeal to the assembly for support in his British imperial policy, it is difficult to see how the British members could refuse to support him.

Strangers visiting in the city will find the Louvre an attractive resort wherein to spend the evening. The Amme Sisters Ladies' Orchestra is still on the bills and presents nightly a musical program of exceptional merit. Handsome pool and billiard rooms are a feature in connection with the house. Palatable lunches will be served at all hours.

THE LOUVRE.

Strangers visiting in the city will find the Louvre an attractive resort wherein to spend the evening. The Amme Sisters Ladies' Orchestra is still on the bills and presents nightly a musical program of exceptional merit. Handsome pool and billiard rooms are a feature in connection with the house. Palatable lunches will be served at all hours.

Strangers visiting in the city will find the Louvre an attractive resort wherein to spend the evening. The Amme Sisters Ladies' Orchestra is still on the bills and presents nightly a musical program of exceptional merit. Handsome pool and billiard rooms are a feature in connection with the house. Palatable lunches will be served at all hours.

GOLD DUST The Best Washing Powder. Ask Your Laundress to Try It.

Wisdom's Robertine Corrects all blemishes of the face, removes blotches, pimples, tan, sunburn, freckles and makes a beautiful complexion. It is also the best tooth wash, and excellent for deranged stomach.

Fisher's Opera House L. E. SELIG, Lessee and Manager.

WEDNESDAY, JUNE 20

RUSCO AND HOLLAND PRESENT

Gideon's Big Minstrel Carnival AND Nashville Students

2 Complete Faultless Institutions in a Mighty Union 2

45-MINSTREL STARS-45

2-BIG BANDS-2

PICKANINNY DRUM CORPS

Traveling in Its Own Train of Pullman Cars.

12 Comedians 10 Solo Singers 16 Dancers 8 Big Olio Acts

The most stupendous street parade ever given by any minstrel show takes place daily at noon.

ADMISSION—Reserved seats 75 cts; gallery, 50 cts. Seat sale opens Tuesday morning at Griffin and Reed's.

GO EAST VIA

GREAT NORTHERN RAILWAY

SHORTEST AND QUICKEST LINE TO

St. Paul, Duluth, Minneapolis, Chicago and All Points East

DAILY TRAINS; FAST TIME; SERVICE AND SCENERY UNEQUALED.

Through Palace and Tourist Sleepers Dining and Buffet Smoking Library Cars

Tickets to points East via Portland and the Great Northern Ry., on sale at O. R. & N. Ticket Office, Astoria, or Great Northern Ticket Office

268 MORRISON STREET, PORTLAND.

For rates, folders and full information regarding Eastern trip, call on or address

A. B. C. DENNISTON, City Pass. and Ticket Agent, Portland.

H.F. Prael Transfer Co DRYING AND EXPRESSING

All Goods Shipped to Our Care Will Receive Special Attention. No. 533 Duane St., Astoria, Or. W. J. COOK, Mgr. Res. Tel. 1121.

QUICKEST, SHORTEST and MOST DIRECT LINE TO THE EAST AND SOUTHEAST

UNION PACIFIC OVERLAND WORLD'S PICTORIAL LINE

TWO DAILY SOLID VESTIBULE TRAINS LESS THAN THREE DAYS

PORTLAND TO CHICAGO

Through Palace and Tourist Sleepers, Dining and Buffet Library Cars, First-class Reclining Chair—FREE. PORTLAND TO DENVER, KANSAS CITY, OMAHA and CHICAGO without change. Only one change to NEW YORK, BOSTON and other Eastern points.

Oregon Short Line Railroad.

THE DIRECT ROUTE TO Montana, Utah, Colorado and all Eastern Points.

1 1/2 Days to Salt Lake 2 1/2 Days to Denver 3 1/2 Days to Chicago 4 1/2 Days to New York.

Free reclining chairs, upholstered tourist sleeping cars, and Pullman palace sleepers, operated on all trains.

A FEW INTERESTING FACTS

When people are contemplating a trip, whether on business or pleasure, they naturally want the best service obtainable as far as speed, comfort and safety is concerned.

SOUTHERN PACIFIC EAST VIA SOUTH

The Wisconsin Central Lines.

And you will make direct connections at St. Paul for Chicago, Milwaukee and all points East.

THE PROOF IS IN SAMPLING

HUGHES & CO.

LEGAL NOTICES

NOTICE TO STREET CONTRACTORS.

Notice is hereby given, that upon the 23d day of June, 1900, at the office of the auditor and police judge of the city of Astoria, in the city hall thereof, the committee on public streets and public ways of the common council of the city of Astoria, will receive bids for the improvement of Thirtieth street, from a point 15 feet south of the north line of Duane street to the south line of Harrison avenue, as provided by ordinance No. 250 of the city of Astoria, approved the 7th day of June, 1900.

The right is reserved to reject any and all bids.

C. C. UTZINGER, J. W. COOK, R. A. GREN, Committee on Streets and Public Ways.

NOTICE FOR PUBLICATION.

Land Office at Oregon City, Oregon, June 16, 1900. Notice is hereby given that the following named settler has filed notice of his intention to make final proof in support of his claim, and that said proof will be made before the County Clerk of Clatsop County at Astoria, Oregon, on July 20, 1900, viz: JOHN ANTILLA, H. E. No. 11558, for the lots 9, 10, 15 and 16, section 17, T. 4 N., R. 8 W. He names the following witnesses to prove his continuous residence upon said land, viz: Harvey Hill, of Push, Oregon; Jakob Hillstrom, of Push, Oregon; William Row, of Push, Oregon; Albert Hill, of Push, Oregon.

LEGAL NOTICES

ADMINISTRATOR'S SALE.

Notice is hereby given that pursuant to an order made and entered in the county court of the state of Oregon for Clatsop county on the 30th day of April, 1900, the undersigned administrator of the estate of P. W. Coleman, deceased, will on Monday, the 9th day of July, 1900, at the hour of two o'clock p. m., in front of the court house door in the city of Astoria, in Clatsop county, state of Oregon, offer for sale and sell at auction to the highest bidder for cash, all of the following described real estate situated in said county, to-wit: The north half of the southeast quarter, and the west half of the southeast quarter of Section number one (1), in Township six (6), north of Range nine (9), west of the Willamette meridian.

CONTEST NOTICE.

Department of the Interior, Land Office, Oregon City, Oregon, May 7, 1900. A sufficient contest affidavit having been filed in this office by W. G. Howell, contestant, against Homestead Entry, No. 2,661, made November 14, 1891, for N. 1/2 of Section 17, Township 6 N., Range 10, by Cory O. Adams, contestee, in which it is alleged that contestant "knows the present condition of the same; also that said Cory O. Adams has wholly abandoned said tract of land and changed his residence therefrom, for more than four years since making said entry and next prior to the date of this affidavit, and that he has failed to cultivate and improve said tract of land as provided by law and that said alleged absence from the said land was not due to his employment in the United States as a private soldier, officer, seaman or marine, during the war with Spain, or during any other war in which the United States may be engaged," said parties are hereby notified to appear, respond and offer evidence touching said allegation at 10 o'clock a. m. on

ADMINISTRATOR'S SALE.

Notice is hereby given that on Monday, April 2, 1900, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the court house door, in the city of Astoria, in Clatsop county, Oregon, pursuant to an order of the county court of the state of Oregon, for Clatsop county, made and entered on February 19, 1900, I, as administrator of the estate of Hendrik Patree, deceased, sell at public auction to the highest bidder, the following described property belonging to said estate, to-wit: Lot two and the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of section thirteen, township seven north, range ten west of the Willamette meridian, in said Clatsop county, and also, a contract for sale of the timber upon said land, made by the said deceased and one Nick Petroff with one R. R. Cole, dated June 4, 1899.

ADMINISTRATOR'S SALE.

Notice is hereby given that on Monday, April 2, 1900, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the court house door, in the city of Astoria, in Clatsop county, Oregon, pursuant to an order of the county court of the state of Oregon, for Clatsop county, made and entered on February 19, 1900, I, as administrator of the estate of Hendrik Patree, deceased, sell at public auction to the highest bidder, the following described property belonging to said estate, to-wit: Lot two and the southwest quarter of the northeast quarter of section thirteen, township seven north, range ten west of the Willamette meridian, in said Clatsop county, and also, a contract for sale of the timber upon said land, made by the said deceased and one Nick Petroff with one R. R. Cole, dated June 4, 1899.

TIMBER LAND NOTICE.

United States Land Office, Oregon City, Oregon, April 18, 1900. Notice is hereby given that in compliance with the provisions of an act of congress of June 3, 1873, entitled "An act for the sale of timber lands in the states of California, Oregon, Nevada, and Washington territory," as extended to all the public land states by act of August 4, 1892, Sarah L. Bottom, of Jewell, county of Clatsop, state of Oregon, has this day filed in this office her sworn statement No. 5179, for the purchase of certain lands, section No. 15, in township No. 6 N., range No. 6 W., and will offer proof to show that the land sought is more valuable for its timber or stone than for agricultural purposes, and to establish her claim to said land before the register and receiver of this office at Oregon City, Oregon, on Saturday, the 7th day of July, 1900. She names as witnesses:

John Corcoran, of Vine Maple, Oregon; James W. Walker, of Jewell, Oregon; Isaac N. Foster, of Jewell, Oregon; Bernard Johnson, of Jewell, Oregon.

Any and all persons claiming adversely the above-described lands are requested to file their claims in this office on or before said 7th day of July, 1900.

EXECUTOR'S NOTICE.

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned has been appointed county executor of the estate of the late and deceased of Evelyn Siferde, deceased; and that said will has been duly proven and admitted to probate by said court.

All persons having claims against the estate of said deceased must present the same, duly verified, to the undersigned, at the office of Harrison Allen, attorney at law, room 7, Page building, in Astoria, Oregon, within six months from this date.

FINAL ACCOUNT.

Notice is hereby given that the undersigned, administrator of the estate of J. W. Minkner, deceased, has filed in the county court of the state of Oregon for Clatsop county, his final account as such administrator, and the same has been set for hearing Monday, the 15th day of July, 1900, at 10 o'clock a. m., at the county court room at the court house, in the City of Astoria, in Clatsop county, Oregon.

All persons interested in said estate are hereby notified to then and there appear and show cause, if any, why the said final account should not be allowed and the personal property belonging to said estate distributed, the administrator discharged and his bondmen exonerated.

S. S. GORDON, Administrator of the Estate of J. W. Minkner, Deceased. Dated this 8th day of June, 1900.

J. A. Fastabend, General Contractor and Builder

ASTORIA, ORE. Schlusser's Clothing Store.

LEGAL NOTICES

RECEIVER'S SALE.

In the Circuit Court, State of Oregon, County of Clatsop. The Security Savings & Trust Company—plaintiff—versus—Astoria Street Railway Company—defendants.

Notice is hereby given that in pursuance of a judgment, decree and order of sale duly made and entered in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit, and in and by which judgment and decree the mortgage executed to plaintiff by defendant on the 2nd day of May, 1892 described in the complaint in the above entitled suit in the above entitled court on Monday the 23rd day of May, 1900, which judgment was in favor of plaintiff and against defendant for the sum of thirty thousand, two hundred and fifty dollars and the costs and disbursements of said suit