

NO STANDING ROOM AT RALLY

Speakers are Enthusiastically Greeted by Mixed Audience—Party Aims Extolled

With a packed house, enthusiastic in their applause, the Republican candidates for county offices, together with prominent local members of the party, held the second of five rallies in the Grand Theater last evening. Issues and measures of the election now but five days away were thoroughly discussed by the speakers. Mrs. E. Kelly gave a well-worded address on the place of women in politics and was very warmly applauded. She is the first Marshfield woman to participate in a purely political gathering.

P. M. Hall-Lewis, chairman of the county central committee, spoke briefly on the object of the meeting, calling it an "old-fashioned Republican rally," and went over the records of the county candidates, many of whom were unable to be present because of business matters.

Alfred Johnson, Jr., candidate for sheriff, was introduced by Mr. Hall-Lewis as a "timber scaler and cruiser; a man fearless and with no interests to serve but those of the county." R. R. Watson, candidate for county clerk, asked the support of the party on the grounds of his many years of experience and the belief that he is competent to discharge the duties of the office.

Following Mr. Watson, G. J. Armstrong, candidate for county commissioner, was presented to the audience as "the old war-horse and watch-dog of the county treasury and yet one of the most progressive and economical, and the best road builder Coos county has ever had." Mr. Armstrong declared that through four years of service he has always carried out his honest convictions and would continue to do so if elected.

"The history of the Republican party is recorded in the history of the progress of the United States," declared Charles I. Reigard, who followed Mr. Armstrong, and who briefly pointed out the acts of the party and what they have meant for the growth of the entire Union. Through the efforts of the party, its work for the people, said Mr. Reigard, it has a right to lay claim to the support of the people. Mr. Reigard responded to some attacks made on him personally, gave reference to his past career and said that he had a much cleaner record than the men who sought to cast insinuations at him. His remarks were spirited and brought applause.

Both Messrs. Reigard and Douglas told their version of the recent street meeting of the Democrats, held as a non-partisan meeting to aid Hollister,

and scored Messrs. McLain, Rust and Motley for their claims of being closed out of the Chamber of Commerce room. Mr. Reigard said that both Motley and McLain had keys to the room and could have got in if they had desired. Mr. Douglas said that he had been informed there was a movement on to have the Chamber of Commerce endorse Hollister and he had declared that this was injecting politics into that body, contrary to the purposes of its organization, and if they did, he would withdraw from it. Mr. Douglas also scored the North Bend Harbor for attempting to make capital out of the fact that Mr. Hawley was unable to attend the funeral of President Wilson's wife, pointing out that Mr. Hawley was in Denver at the time aiding in settling the claims of widows and orphans for life insurance. On behalf of Mr. Hawley, congressional candidate from the first district, W. U. Douglas declared the records have proved Mr. Hawley has secured 36 per cent as much appropriation in six years for Coos Bay as all the former congressmen from here put together in about forty years; that he has always secured every cent that has been recommended by the engineers and that to do this he has had to buck the opposition not only of the congressmen and Senators from from the northern section of the state who have pulled for Portland, but the Congressmen from Washington, Idaho and Montana, all of whom have interests in the opening of the Columbia River.

Questions Hollister's Ability. "With 435 Congressmen in Washington," declared Mr. Douglas, "we must send a man there who will be able to appear before them, make a public address and represent our interests in the best manner possible. Who ever heard of Hollister making a public address? Who ever heard of Hollister taking a leading part in public affairs? Whom would he hire to speak for him?"

That women appreciate the new honor that has been awarded them, the franchise right, by enthusiastic registration and appearing at the polls on election day, was the main topic of the address delivered by Mrs. E. Kelly, who was greeted with much applause at the close of her remarks. "But not only must this enthusiasm be shown by the women, but by the men as well," said the speaker.

"I have known Mr. Booth for more than thirty years declared C. A. Sehbredre, "and I know him for an honorable and a capable man, one of character and good business." He brought out the idea that the election of Mr. Booth to the senate would mean a man from Oregon who did not come out of Portland. A great fault with past representatives from this state.

A word of warning against the passing of freak laws was the main point of the address delivered by Hon. I. S. Smith, who spoke briefly at the close of the meeting. The men left today for Bandon where the third meeting of the five in this vicinity will be held tonight.

ARREST GAFFNEY THE TIDELAND FOR GAMBLING AMENDMENT

Man Fined at Roseburg Supposed to be Former North Bend Young Man

The following from the Roseburg News is supposed to refer to Bill Gaffney, formerly of North Bend: Sheriff Quine and Officers Ketch and Coutour made an important arrest Saturday night when they took into custody Bill Gaffney, an ex-prizefighter, who made this city his headquarters about four years ago and Roy Colwell. The arrest took place in the Oregon Rooming House, where the men were staying.

The pair arrived in town Thursday and Gaffney became acquainted with F. E. Langenberg in a cigar store. He asked him if he wanted to make a piece of money and explained that he had made about \$45 on the train coming down from Cottage Grove. Langenberg became suspicious and declined the invitation. He met Gaffney again that evening and decided to accept the invitation to have a game. They went to Gaffney's room in the Oregon Rooming House and in a few minutes' play lost \$5, all the money he had with him at that time. He then borrowed \$10 of a friend in town and this went the same way as the first five. Saturday evening another game was arranged and Langenberg's younger brother was asked to take a hand. In the meantime Sheriff Quine had been notified and a marked \$20 bill was to be used to buy chips. After the game had progressed a short time the younger Langenberg was to make some excuse to leave the room and leave the door unlocked. As soon as he had opened the door the officers stepped into the room and placed the players under arrest. They had their hearing this morning before City Recorder Wimberly and both pleaded guilty.

Sentence was postponed until 1:30, when they were again brought before the city recorder and after considerable questioning at his hands they were both fined \$15 and five days in the city jail with the understanding that if the fine was paid the jail sentences would be suspended. Gaffney was fortunate in having the necessary cash on hand and promptly paid his fine. Colwell was without funds and will linger in the city battle for the next twelve days.

A woman traveling as the wife of Gaffney was with the pair at the time the arrest was made and displayed for the officers' inspection a marriage certificate signed by a minister in Pendleton. The officers are inclined to doubt the genuineness of the document, however, and will investigate this phase of the affairs of the couple before they are released.

"FULL BANK" "NAVIGABLE WATER" CONSTITUTIONAL AMENDMENT DESTROYS MILL AND LOGGING INDUSTRIES.

(Written by J. W. Bennett.) The proposed constitutional amendment exempts from its operation: "submerged lands upon which docks have been heretofore built under the terms of Section 5201-5202 Lord's Oregon Laws, without compensation given in the manner required by law."

A boom for holding logs, is not a dock, is not wharf, and there is not one single word in the proposed constitutional amendment which will exempt from its operation any of the booms constructed for holding logs within the state of Oregon.

Every sawmill in the state of Oregon, on navigable water, is compelled to operate a boom at its mill site for holding logs, which are ready for the saw mill, and in many places like Coos Bay booms for holding logs are constructed at enormous expense to the loggers and mill men, so as to catch the logs which come down the rivers from above the tide water during freshets in the winter time and at the mouth of Coos River alone, the Smith-Powers Logging Company have a boom which cost them \$30,000, which is used in the freshest time to catch logs which come from many miles above tide water and which would probably not get to the mill unless they could be caught by these booms, which prevent them from going to sea, over the bar.

Was it the intention of the author of this amendment to confiscate this property, and if not, why did they not provide in the proposed amendment that logging booms constructed before the amendment went into effect should be exempt as well as docks? Will they for one moment contend that by the using of the word "docks" they had in mind these logging booms all over the state, on Coos Bay, Coquille River, Umpqua, Yaquina Bay, Tillamook, Columbia River and the Willamette River, or is it not a fact that they simply had in mind the one dock in Portland, which Senator Mulkey sought to confiscate at "BANK FULL STAGE?" Why should all these mill and logging industries all over the state be confiscated just because some property owner in Portland did not construct a wharf upon his land and wharf out to the meander line, when Senator Mulkey's commissioners thought it ought to be improved and he didn't do so?

Is it not true that Mr. Zeigler, who drew the bill for those who

proposed it, simply had the one idea in mind and for that reason, is the balance of the State to suffer.

Is it not fair to state that the authors had in view this one single instance in proposing the amendment and ignored the logging and lumbering manufactures of the entire state, which are undoubtedly covered by the amendment.

If it was the intention of the authors to confine the amendment to the land between low water mark and the meander line, then why did it include the tide lands and low lands adjoining the navigable water of the state at, BANK FULL STAGE?

If it was the intention of the framers of the amendment not to virtually prohibit new manufactures in the lumber business within the state, why did it provide in Section 8-b as follows:

"The Legislative power of the state may provide for the leasing of the submerged lands of the state upon its navigable waters not needed by cities or towns for municipal docks up to harbor lines, or in case of no harbor lines, then up to navigable water for the construction and maintenance of private owned docks thereon, but such leases shall be authorized only upon payment of a fair rental value to be ascertained every five years by disinterested appraisers."

Why did they not provide in the amendment for the leasing of the submerged lands for logging booms and for mill booms where logs could be caught and stored for manufacturing purposes?

This Legislature, as seen by the bill, has only power to lease them for "private owned docks" at a fair rental value to be ascertained every five years by disinterested appraisers.

In such event, even though they leased the land for one dollar a year, they would have to pay the reasonable rental value on the improvements which the mill and logging companies made. In fact, they would have to lease their own investment every five years, just as the Smith-Logging Company would have to lease their \$30,000 boom if it could be considered a dock, and in case they did not pay as much for it as the appraisers said, there is no provision by which they would be reimbursed for the \$30,000 they had invested.

Is it reasonable to suppose that capital can be induced to invest on such proposition, and is it right for the logging companies already in existence to confiscate their booms which they have constructed without reasonable compensation being paid therefor?

Is it fair to the people of the state, when the promoters discuss the amendment to ignore its language, which is in black and white and contend that the amendment will not affect the logging or mill interests? Is it fair to contend that the amendment only reaches the beds of the navigable waters of the state between low water mark and the harbor line, when the language of the amendment in black and white covers

"THE BEDS OF THE NAVIGABLE WATERS OF THE STATE AT BANK FULL STAGE"

If it was only the intention to cover the tide lands, why not say tide lands, instead of "bank full stage," and if it meant the navigable bays of the state, why did he say "navigable waters," which includes rivers, lakes, bays and inlets.

If it did not intend to take from the Ports, which have been organized under the port law of 1909 the authority there given to the Ports to improve the bays, rivers and harbors within its limits and to construct, maintain and operate upon any of the waterfronts, wharves, ware houses and dry docks and to collect from vessels using the same, wharfage and dry dockage. Why did it not have a provision to protect these ports so that they would have something to remunerate them for the investments which they are making for the improvement of the bays, rivers and harbors within their boundaries, in the anticipation that they would be protected under the law in being able to collect wharfage and dry dockage.

The Port of Coos Bay alone has mortgaged its property to the extent of \$600,000 for the improvement of the tributaries to Coos Bay and Coos Bay itself, and anticipate an income under the provisions of the act upon which it was organized to pay interest on this investment, and if the amendment did not intend to disturb or affect these ports, why does it not say so.

The truth is as I have always contended, that the framer of the amendment, and the bill accompanying it, had the Portland waterfront lot in view, and did not consider the enormous injury it would do to the balance of the state.

Portland cannot afford to have the mill, logging and harbor industries put out of business any more than any other portion of the state, and when such an awful mistake has been made, as was

The Man Who wants to be in the hat band-wagon with a hat that's "brimful" of style, must know that now it's time for a new hat.

Ready, Mr. Man—whether your preference inclines to the carefully styled derby or carries you to the "extreme" that marks this year's latest arrival to the Soft Hat Kingdom.

Stetson Hats in All Styles—and in Shoes

If you haven't yet invested in a pair of shoes—you should certainly come here now, for never in your town were there so many really good footwear styles for men. No matter whether your tastes run to black or tan, you'll find a pair here to your liking. Let your next pair be

Stetson Shoes

"MONEY TALKS"

HUB CLOTHING & SHOE CO.

THREE STORES. Marshfield Bandon Myrtle Point

made by the authors of this bill, why are they not big enough and broad enough in the interest of the people of this great state to acknowledge the danger of enacting the amendment, and if necessary commence anew with a bill, which will be an inducement to progress and not a flagrant attempt to confiscate existing rights, which will injure or destroy the best manufacturing industries in the State of Oregon.

I venture to predict that any intelligent voter who will read the proposed amendment, no matter how vicious he may be, will not acknowledge himself guilty of voting for it. It is vicious in the extreme.

Vote 329 X No. Vote 331 X No. (Paid Advertisement, Oregon Protective Association.)

HUNTLEY STARS AT FOOTBALL.

In a game of football recently at Portland between the Multnomah Club and the Oregon Agricultural College, Floyd Huntley, of Gold Beach, and a brother of Harry Huntley of North Bend, played a star game on the college team, and to him and his team-mate, Luiz, is given the credit of O. A. C.'s 10 to 6 victory over the club men. The Sunday Oregon Journal says:

"But while Luiz is basking in new made glory, there is another sure fingered, fleet footed young college mate who deserves all the praise that can be written about him. He is Huntley, the boy who received Luiz' long pass in the second quarter of the hard fought game and, distancing half a dozen pursuers, planted the ball behind the goal line for the touchdown that outcounted Multnomah.

"Huntley played almost as brilliantly, as Luiz. He was down the field on punts to fell the receiver in his tracks and he ripped open the interference of the clubmen time and again as if it had been paper."

EATS NOTHING IN 18 DAYS.

Sick Man of Florence Has Perilous Trip to Eugene.

EUGENE, Or., Oct. 28.—George Nelson, of Florence, left on yesterday for Portland. Nelson hasn't eaten anything for eighteen days. It took two friends of his, Charley Bester and Frank Buskey, Jr., of Florence, two days to bring him to Eugene. They brought him from Florence to Mapleton on the Siuslaw in a boat and made the fourteen miles from Mapleton to Wiseville on a hand car. His weakened condition made the trip perilous for him. From Wiseville the men came to Eugene on the Willamette Pacific. Nelson went to Portland in the express car. He will be sent to the Mount Tabor Sanitarium in that city for treatment.

DUNN IN MYRTLE POINT.

W. C. Dunn, a practical tailor formerly of Marshfield, is opening a shop in the Carter building on Fourth street in the rooms formerly occupied by F. A. Lindh.—Myrtle Point Enterprise.

IMPORTANT NOTICE.

I respectfully request anyone wishing to take evening school work to write me at once stating the kind of work you wish to take.

Plumbers, mechanics, prospective pharmacists will find our science department especially well equipped.

Be free to write me or see me if you are interested in evening study of any kind. The school will do its best to serve any local need.

F. A. TIEDGEN, Superintendent of Schools, Marshfield, Oregon.

Roofing.—If you need your roof repaired see J. L. Brice, Phone 89.

FINE PROGRAM IS GIVEN AT SUMNER

Benefit Entertainment for Sunday School There Enjoyed by a Large Number

(Special to The Times.) SUMNER, Ore., Oct. 28.—A fine program was given at Sumner Hall in Sumner Saturday evening, October 24th at 8 o'clock. The proceeds are to be used for the benefit of the Sumner Sunday School. After the program a lunch of coffee and cake was served. White Knight Serenade "Alice" Overture "Clarendon" Sumner Orchestra

Dialogue Helen Farrin and Marie Colague Recitation Jessle Norton Piano Duet Hildur Selander and Mrs. G. C. Motley. Recitation Beatie Spale Dialogue The "Jiners" Jennie Catching and Robert Norton. Male Quartette Arthur, Fred, George and Ellis Selander.

Recitation ... Lavelle Clinkenbeard Recitation ... Justine Whipperman Concert Piece, "The Little Shadew" Primary Class. Violin Solo "Flower Song." Arthur Selander. Recitation Mona Colague Song "America" School Moon Winks Thoughts of Home Overture, King B Sumner Orchestra

PRIZES AT FAIR.

Marshfield and North Bend People Who Secured Awards.

Among the Marshfield and North Bend people who were awarded prizes in the Industrial Division of the Myrtle Point Fair were the following:

- | Marshfield. | |
|--|-------|
| Clara Abel, Sewing Proj VII | 42.00 |
| Doris Phillips, Cooking and Baking, Proj. V | 2.50 |
| Ruby Carlson, Sewing, Proj. VII | 1.00 |
| Ruby Carlson, Plain Apron | 1.50 |
| Leona Hoffman, Embroidery | 1.50 |
| J. R. Milton, Potatoes, Proj. I | 1.00 |
| George Bessey, Dairy Record | 1.00 |
| Beryl Noah, Canning and Preserving, Proj. III | 4.00 |
| North Bend. | |
| Florence Laird, Mending | 11.50 |
| Evelyn Clark, Handkerchief | 50 |
| Mary Kjellman, Handkerchief | 75 |
| Evelyn Clark, Darning and Mending | 1.00 |
| Ruth Halstead, Canning and Preserving, Proj. III | 2.00 |
| Florence Laird, Handkerchief | 1.00 |

COW IS PROLIFIC.

"Black Beauty Has Three Pairs Twins in Five Years.

EUGENE, Or., Oct. 28.—"Black Beauty," a cow owned by V. C. Holeman, a dairyman living at the edge of the city, at the end of Blair boulevard, yesterday gave birth to her third pair of twins in the five years Mr. Holeman has owned her. This is her ninth calf in that time and in the past 29 months she has given birth to six calves and one of her calves which is now something over a year old gave birth to twins a short time ago. Her progeny in the five years has been twelve head.

"Black Beauty" is not a pure bred cow, being a mixture of Jersey and some other stock, but she gives five gallons of milk a day and the milk tests 3.5 butter fat.

A Few Words in the Interest of Truth

Referring to various statements appearing in the press recently, the Royal Baking Powder Co. states:

That it has no interest whatever in the controversy over the use of Albumen (sometimes called the white of egg) in baking powder and has not had any part in the actions before officials that have taken place in the various states;

That it believes Albumen to be entirely unnecessary and used only for deceitful purposes;

That if Albumen was a proper ingredient of baking powder, or performed any legitimate function, it would have adopted it many years ago, as its cost is infinitesimal;

That it has no interest, direct or indirect, in the K. C. Baking Powder, the owners of which are reported to be opposed to the use of Albumen, nor in the Calumet or Crescent baking powders, in both of which Albumen is used; that the only baking powders in which it has any interest in the United States are its own well known brands, "Royal," "Dr. Price's" and "Cleveland's" all made of Cream of Tartar.

The Company believes that the question whether Albumen is a proper ingredient for baking powder is insignificant compared with the vastly more important question as to Alum, the use of which in baking powder has been so generally condemned. It is a noteworthy fact that all the baking powders containing Albumen are made of Alum.

ROYAL BAKING POWDER CO.
New York