

A RELAPSE.

It is a comparatively easy matter for a skillful equal rights physician to cure a rabid man's rights fever, but it is exceedingly difficult to guard the convalescent patient against a relapse. Our friend the Bulletin, who gladdened the hearts of his suffrage physicians for a brief period by presenting unmistakable evidence of convalescence, has had an over-dose of *sece*, which has had a serious effect upon his apprehensive temperament. Though well pleased with Miss Anthony as a lady and a lecturer, he thinks her "arguments are mischievous and revolutionary in a social way." Therefore he is "opposed to the whole theory of Miss Anthony's system as he understands it."

Now, friend Bulletin, look here. If it is true that there are so many unjust husbands in this world that nothing short of social anarchy will follow the equalization of power—which yourself will agree is simple justice—the longer these unjust men are permitted to tyrannize over intelligent and enlightened women, the more terrible will be the revolution when the dread awakening comes. Who is it that is going to step out of the house when woman gets her political rights? Not the woman, surely, for she can then claim all she asks. If she can, then herself will have wrought the social ruin rather than acquiesce in measures of justice. We hope our brother editor will not do this wicked thing. We don't believe he'll have any temptation to do it.

We most emphatically raise our voice in protest against this slander of our brethren. The majority of men, as well as women, are, and we believe ever will be, good and noble. We regret that Miss Anthony forgot to praise her brethren a little more in her last Portland lecture. She says that many of the truest, best, purest and noblest specimens of humanity she has ever known were men, and she believes with herself that there are enough of these to help the noble women of the country to hold society level. Therefore her faith in humanity will not permit her to believe that her "doctrines, followed to logical conclusions, will bring about division in homes, anarchy in families and chaos in society."

Again we ask, Who is it that will step out of the house when women vote? We sincerely hope that our apprehensive brother will shortly recover from his over-dose of *sece*, and philosophically resign himself to the inevitable.

MISS ANTHONY'S MOVEMENTS.

Besides her three lectures before the citizens of Portland, Miss Anthony spoke on Tuesday evening last at East Portland, Wednesday evening at Oregon City, and Thursday evening at Salem. She will probably speak at other points on this and to-morrow evenings. Next week, accompanied by Mrs. A. J. Duniway, she starts for Walla Walla, to attend the Washington Territory Agricultural Fair. She will then return and visit the Linn county and Oregon State Fairs, after which she proposes to make a thorough canvass of Oregon and Washington Territory. Miss Anthony is a stirring and vigorous worker, a profound and logical speaker, has a truly wonderful influence over her audiences, and produces conviction wherever she goes. She has been accustomed for years to thus speak night after night to large audiences for months at a time—a fact to which we respectfully call the attention of those who contend that woman is not fitted to "speak in public." Miss Anthony has awakened the dormant feeling of duty and true womanhood in many a woman's heart in Portland, and scores of ladies in our community who never before gave the question a moment's consideration are now eager for the ballot.

THE POWER OF THE BALLOT.

Miss Anthony's lecture on Wednesday evening of last week, which we promised our readers to interview in this issue of the New Northwest, was principally devoted to the consideration of the power of the ballot.

The lecturer showed very forcibly the reason why the strikes of working women never amounted to anything. She said that the women of the Eastern cities concentrated their forces for a strike and expended large sums of money in the attempt to raise their wages to living prices. But the press with one accord pronounced against them, and their attempt was a failure.

One of the leaders in the movement said to her: "Miss Anthony, we offered a certain newspaper ten thousand dollars to advocate our cause, and the offer was declined. The strikes of men are never disregarded when their numbers are large. How is it that women can never successfully compete with men?" Miss Anthony replied that the altry sum of ten thousand dollars was as nothing when weighed in the balance against five hundred votes. She convinced this woman that in order to protect her wages, her person and property, she must have the ballot.

The lecturer brought forward amusing reminiscences of the transitory period from the negroes' emancipation to the time of their enfranchisement. She said that negroes' heads were as early, their heels as long and skulls as thick as they were before, but politicians no longer harped these things upon the stump, and the reason was because the negroes, now having votes, are respected by politicians, and consequently receive protection in their rights.

She said that if the Irish and German element were denied the right to vote, they would be as badly abused by men in power as the negroes once had been. The ballot is a two-edged sword, cut-

ting both ways, affecting correspondingly all classes of people. Woman, armed with the ballot, would be respected because of the power she would thereby possess.

She proved to the satisfaction of her many gentlemanly hearers that men do not, in a general way, protect women, and related an incident in her travels in which she saved a young and unsophisticated girl from the clutches of a posse of ribald young fellows, before the face and eyes of a number of gentlemanly appearing men, who had looked on and watched their nefarious designs without once raising their arms or voices to protect her. Therefore men could not be depended upon to protect women.

The speaker has a peculiarly happy manner of using the right words in the right place. She never hesitates in her language, and is evidently as brim full of argument at the close of her lectures as in their beginning.

WOMEN ALREADY VOTERS.

Miss Anthony's second lecture in Portland was a masterly argument, proving indisputably that by a fair and liberal interpretation of the Fourteenth and Fifteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States, the women of this country have the right to vote, or in other words that women are already voters. She quoted from many eminent statesmen, politicians and jurists to sustain her position, and we think many who listened to her logical, womanly words went to their homes with new ideas as to the rights of women in our Government. As our city contemporaries have given generally fair representations of her argument upon this question, we refer our readers for their accounts, which will be found elsewhere.

Miss Anthony closed with a few words of advice which we hope will be heeded by every woman in our city in the coming elections. She advised them to offer their votes, and if they should be rejected, carry the case to the higher courts, till it reached the supreme judicial tribunal at Washington, where, in her opinion, there was no reasonable doubt but that the claims of woman would be recognized. Ladies of Portland, will you heed those words?

MISS ANTHONY'S THIRD LECTURE.

The audience that assembled at Oro Fino Hall to hear Miss Anthony's answers to questions and objections brought against woman suffrage, was large and intelligent. About one half of her hearers were ladies, and among them were noted members of the most prominent leaders of society and fashion in the city.

The first objection stated and considered was the title accusation that the BIBLE IS AGAINST IT.

The same objection has been urged against every reform of any magnitude which has ever agitated the public mind. When Gatto asserted that the world moved, Bible adherents, ignorant but zealous, pronounced his conclusions blasphemous, and cast him into prison. But the world moved, and the people learned that that sort of Bible doctrine would not do. Time was when "servants, obey your masters," was the most important Bible creed. This creed is now out of date; the people had advanced beyond it. The time is speedily coming when such scriptures as "wives submit," etc., etc., which are now quoted by thousands of men who daily neglect the weightier matters of the law, will be cast aside as obsolete.

The same objection has been urged against every reform of any magnitude which has ever agitated the public mind. When Gatto asserted that the world moved, Bible adherents, ignorant but zealous, pronounced his conclusions blasphemous, and cast him into prison. But the world moved, and the people learned that that sort of Bible doctrine would not do. Time was when "servants, obey your masters," was the most important Bible creed. This creed is now out of date; the people had advanced beyond it. The time is speedily coming when such scriptures as "wives submit," etc., etc., which are now quoted by thousands of men who daily neglect the weightier matters of the law, will be cast aside as obsolete.

WHO WILL TAKE CARE OF THE BABIES?

Who takes care of the babies of fashionable women now? Do they not trust their children to irresponsible hirelings, while they flirt and dissipate at fashionable watering places, at balls, parties and receptions? When woman learns her highest duty and obeys its behests, she will know that she must not trust her baby to the tender mercies of ignorant hirelings, and will consider it her chief glory to take care of her children herself. Again, there are thousands and tens of thousands of women, wives of drunken, incapable, or vicious and nightmarish men, who are compelled by force of circumstances to earn their own livelihood. The babies of such are, alas, too often left without the care of mothers, who go out into the world to earn a bare subsistence. Let woman have opportunity to obtain office, places of profit and trust, where labor is light and pay is liberal, and she will then be able to provide well for her children.

GOOD WOMEN WILL NOT VOTE.

That's a mistake, gentlemen. Women have not been interested in politics. They always have their minds made up before election as to their choice of candidate. Try them and see if they will not vote. Honesty Greely wants 60,000 cooks. So did the speaker. Experience had taught her that the world had need of cooks. But men are better qualified for that occupation than women. They have monopolized the business; and, as women as a class do not like to cook, they must learn to do something else.

IF WOMEN VOTE THEY MUST FIGHT.

That's nonsense! Half of the men who vote never smell gunpowder. But woman has never been known to shirk the burdens of war. They have been known to disguise themselves in many instances and fight bravely by the side of men; and—shame upon the Government—when their sex was discovered they were deprived of back pay. Mothers

never vote to levy war, but when the necessity for war is forced upon them they are ever foremost in the line of duty.

WILL MAKE FAMILY DISCORD.

The speaker has seen two dogs fighting over a bone, both discontented, both eager for the victory. Let somebody throw them another bone and the strife ceases. Let the man whose wife is quarrelsome give her a political bone of her own to pick.

FREE LOVE.

Men bring this charge. Women do not. The large majority of women are virtuous and true as steel. The majority of men are loose in their morals. They should be ashamed of this silly bogbear of legalized free love.

WOMAN DOES NOT WANT TO VOTE.

Then why do men put the words "white males" into their Constitutions? If they have corn fields they fence them to keep animals out; if there was no danger that they would enter there would be no need of the fence. There are many questions upon which women want to vote. They will vote against the social evil, against intemperance, and for morality and decency. Try them, and see if they do not want to vote upon these questions. (Here she put the question to the ladies as to whether they did or did not want to vote. Many voices answered aye, but no dissenting sound was heard.)

WOMAN SUFFRAGISTS MAKE THE FIGHT UPON ONE IDEA.

So did our Revolutionary fathers. The one idea that "taxation without representation is tyranny" was the basis of their struggle. Upon this one idea they conquered their independence. Upon the one idea of the perpetuation of our national existence was the basis of the struggle, and under that idea the Union was preserved.

At the close of the argument, which lasted two hours and a half, Miss Anthony made an urgent appeal to the ladies to send up their signatures to Washington for a Declaratory Act, and forward their dollar for the "History of the Suffrage Movement." She closed with an earnest appeal to the women to rally to the support of the NEW NORTHWEST, an appeal which was especially appreciated by the editor of this paper, and one which has in a number of instances been satisfactorily and substantially responded to. We look for hosts of other such responses.

DEMOCRATIC REINFORCEMENTS.

A lady sends us the following: FROM NEW NORTHWEST: As I was coming home from Miss Anthony's lecture the other evening, a couple of men were walking behind me who became so interested over the new dispensation that they spoke very loudly. Said one: "I tell you it won't do to let the women vote. Miss Anthony says there are twenty thousand prostitutes in the city of New York. How would they vote?" "With the great Democratic party, of course," returned the other.

I questioned my pace, but couldn't help hearing some very profane words before I could get out of the sound of their voices. Now, friend Mrs. Duniway, don't you fear that this bad feminine element can be controlled by the members of the Tammany Ring?

WOMAN SUFFRAGE.

It isn't expected that the Tammany Ring will exist long after the hundred thousand virtuous women of New York have an opportunity to vote. Neither will the twenty thousand harlots be allowed to run at large. The woman suffragists will arrest them and place them, and the men who support them, in asylums for the morally insane.

WHAT WE THINK.

The NEW NORTHWEST, in the last issue, after copying an article which formerly appeared in the Republican, submits to us the following interrogatory: "We respectfully ask our brother if he thinks it right, or even expedient, to longer politically legislate to circumvent woman's opportunities?" Frankly, we avow: "all there is of his 'reply,' which extends through a column of exploded nonsense, and shows that our brother is as 'lady in the dark' as the old lady who lived in the heart of a deep forest, in a dirt cabin without windows; so we do not think it worth while to make any comment. When our brother gets the mist of prejudice cleared from his journalistic vision he will want to deny that he has ever uttered such a sentiment."

PORTLAND.

Mrs. Money returned at noon, to-day, on the mail steamer Rescue, from a two days' visit to Portland. She is pleased with, and reports everything as lively at the metropolis of Oregon. Had the pleasure of hearing Miss Susan B. Anthony's lecture, on Wednesday evening, which failed to make her a suffrage convert. We may have further details of her trip next week. The Kalama Review has reached the 28th number of its first volume, and the above is the first item of other than merely local interest which we have ever thought it worth while to copy. We are sorry that Mrs. Money is so blind to her own best interests as to raise her puny pen against the suffrage movement. She is as foolish as Dame Partington, who tried to sweep back the waves of the Atlantic with a mop.

THE PORTLAND PRESS AND MISS ANTHONY.

Our brethren of the daily press have been exceedingly fair and courteous in their reports of Miss Anthony's lectures, saying so many excellent things about them that we cheerfully give place in our columns for their numerous comments. It is intensely gratifying to our feelings to be able to chronicle the fact that the woman movement has advanced beyond the period of constant ridicule, and that thoughtful, earnest and excellent men are gravely discussing the fact of woman's enfranchisement.

"BROTHER IKE" AGAIN.

The amaranth which he at present effects is peculiar to his condition of chronic hydrocephalus.—New Herald.

Pretty good. The printer's e that crowded out the proper letter with which to begin the word affects, effected a ludicrous blunder. Was it the printer who attributed the dirty double distich you flung at us last week to Pope?

We are glad our verdant friend has discovered that his scurrilous flings at the NEW NORTHWEST amount to nothing but "wasted time." Other and wiser men knew it all along, and laughed to see him use such a spoony of himself.

THE "STATESMAN'S" LAST DODGE.

Mr. Clarke, of the Salem Statesman, says he published and applauded J. B. Frost without having read her up. Well, well; we hope somebody will accept and be satisfied with his apology, but we can't. If a woman were to commit as lame an act of journalism, our friend would never forgive her. Mr. Clarke has given place to a long and sensible reply to Mrs. Frost from the pen of Rose Greenleaf, which he fortifies himself against by saying beforehand that he hasn't read it. Oh, what a dodge!

HEARD FROM.

We have found Prof. Chaney. And Prof. Chaney is indignant. His rebuke, which cuts us up, will be found in this morning's paper. May we trust that some "true logician and sound debater," as our friend in Abraham, and who has no ridicule into him, will show his "philanthropy" by meeting this Prof. Chaney and defending the character of our respected forefather, Abraham?—Oregonian.

We were not before aware that the local editor of the Oregonian was one of the "peculiar people."

THE LECTURE.

Our "Local" says he has "Nothing to Wear," and therefore did not go to hear Miss Anthony lecture exclusively to ladies this afternoon.—Bulletin, Sept. 9th.

The ladies of Portland propose to take up a collection to get some clothes for that fellow.

Miss Anthony.

The second lecture of this lady at the Oro Fino Theater last night was attended by a very large and intelligent gathering—much more so than the majority of Portland audiences. In appearance she went in strong force—more being in attendance than has been usual at public assemblies in this city. The lectures were introduced by Mrs. Duniway of the New Northwest. In appearance Miss Anthony is tall, bony and ungraceful, and before commencing to speak her face is expressionless; yet when warmed up with her subject her countenance becomes gloriously illumined with intelligence. As a speaker she has the happy faculty of presenting her subject in a clear, forcible and convincing manner. She makes no pretension to high oratorical powers, and, as a consequence, "well-rounded periods" play but little part in her speeches. Her style is forcible and argumentative. She contents herself with facts—presenting them in the plainest and most unadorned way, these, unaided by sophistry and the blinding influence of oratory.

Miss Anthony opened her lecture by contending that, under and by virtue of the provisions of the corrected Amendment, women are entitled to the elective franchise. To carry her out in this proposition she read several opinions of eminent jurists upon this point—showing conclusively that under the Amendment that women are entitled to the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men, and accordingly were entitled to exercise the elective franchise. She contended that all State laws that made a distinction on account of sex, under the corrected Amendment, were null and void. The Fifteenth Amendment was next taken up and discussed. She contended that, as women must belong to some political party, and as the rights and privileges of citizens, and that therefore they possessed the right to vote. She quoted Judge Tancy's celebrated Dred Scott decision to prove that, women being citizens, they were entitled to the same rights as men