...n Spectator.

...

Rogue River Massacre.—Dr. Bell had not been left for Fort Orford on the Pacific, in pursuance of the business stated in that vicinity and above the mouth of Rogue River. This band of Indians is small, and is separate and distinct from any of the tribes generally denominated Rogue River Indians. It was the intention of the Superintendent at first to have shipped all the goods for intended for presents to the Indians, at that point; but learning that no practicable road can be made from there to the interior—and that there is no communication between the Rogue River and where the Rogue river was abandoned; the usually traveled route in that country will be adopted immediately on the return, and the goods now are to be packed overland.

We regret that the time for quoting the portion of some of the paragraph writers, who have been lamented by "bogus lores" in the Rogue River country, is over on hand. There has been much said about the disbursement of the Indians; much unmeaning declamation, the views of four months past, upon the others, and their utter disregard of the treaty lately entered into. We have received information direct from there a few days since. Mr. Courtney Walker, a reliable Democrat, favorably known to many of our readers, as a gentleman and scholar, reports all quietness in that quarter, and the best feeling (as we have frequently stated before) prevails among the Indians for the whites, and he says, too, that the treaty has been faithfully observed by the Indians ever since it was made.

Mr. Walker pronounced the statements made by the editor and his impartial correspondent, "Ewald," of the Statesman, a tissue of falsehoods from beginning to end. We want no better authority to convict the editor of the Statesman of the most dark hearted villainy, in endeavoring to stir up strife, to promote discord, among the people, and lessen thereby respect for the government and its officers, and render ineffectual the labors of men who were striving to stop the further effusion of blood. There have been occurrences in our country's history, that such a course on the part of an editor would have been considered treason. And is it just as much treason now as the secession and nullification movements are in South Carolina.

We take from the Oregonian the following extracts; which has written by a resident of the Umpqua, and who was present one of the Council at the time the treaty was made, and is conversant with all the facts relating thereto:

"I have seen with the 'intelligent Umpqua Friend' of the editor of the Statesman in, who refers to him as follows:—'The hostile warriors refused to meet him. They are the Cluley Creek and Rogue Mountain Indians. The tribe with which he treated, are here, and as I understand ever have been, friendly.' He must be grossly misinformed, or he wilfully misrepresents. The Indians who were present at the Treaty, were the same who fought the battle where Capt. Stewart was killed—the same who killed the 'Rose' much Pilot—the same who fought every battle with the troops—the same who murdered Sheppard at the foot of the Rogue Mountain,—who raped who murdered the Bride's party—the same who murdered Moores, Sloan and Nichols, and the party of whom the prisoners were captured, and to whom delivered. They were in fact, the only Indians (save the Umpqua band, who were not more at all, and who could not have refused,) with whom the California line, with whom they have had any difficulty.

"The Statesman also says that the general opinion concerning the Indians in the Southern part of the Territory, may be gathered from the Oregonian. If by the Oregonian, the Statesman means the Democratic party, it is true; but if it means the whole people, it is false. The Democratic party, as a party, are the friends of the Indians, and have been from the first settlement of the country. The Whig party, as a party, are their enemies, and have been from the first settlement of the country. This is a fact which cannot be denied, and which the Statesman dare not deny. The Democratic party, as a party, have always been in favor of treating the Indians with justice and humanity, and have always opposed the wholesale slaughter of them; while the Whig party, as a party, have always been in favor of exterminating them, and have always opposed the humane policy of the Democratic party. This is a fact which cannot be denied, and which the Statesman dare not deny. The Democratic party, as a party, have always been in favor of treating the Indians with justice and humanity, and have always opposed the wholesale slaughter of them; while the Whig party, as a party, have always been in favor of exterminating them, and have always opposed the humane policy of the Democratic party. This is a fact which cannot be denied, and which the Statesman dare not deny.

...

...

...