

#### Mexican War News.

Our readers will perceive that we have drawn largely from California and Sandwich Island papers, on the subject of Mexican war news. Every thing that tends to the wealth, power, or glory of the United States, is naturally received with avidity by citizens of the United States, here. We are sorry that the facts collected are so unconnected but they are such as we have been able to obtain.

No American heart here, can fail to leap with joy when he contemplates the respect and admiration won by the arms of his country in her late war with Mexico.

No crimes, no needless devastations have marked the course of the United States army there; and no fortifications, fortified passes, or armies, have been able to impede its course. The United States at this time contains more than two millions of soldiers, mostly intellectual, reading, and reflecting men, knowing that their success in life depends upon their virtuous and manly bearing, and feeling that upon them in part, rests the responsibility of transmitting unimpaired the liberties which they have inherited, and which they so much love. Who would discard the companionship of such a brotherhood? and who wonders that the United States army in Mexico was increased more than six hundred men from British soldiers stationed in Canada?

#### A word on Intemperance.

The great mass of the human family have long since regarded intemperance as an insidious and dangerous evil. Reader, you regard it as such. You believe that the conduct of all men should be such as will best advance the highest good of all. Of what permanent happiness, or benefit has intemperance been to the human family? Of what incalculable injury and misery has it been to the human family? Is intemperance conducive of wealth? It is the sure road to poverty—

even great wealth possessed by an intemperate man, is held by a frail tenure.

Does it lead to the husbanding of time? It tends to the waste of time, and the expenditure of money earned while at work. Is it beneficial to the body? It is unquestionably true that, the use of spirituous liquors gives momentary strength and endurance to man; but it cannot be true that a stimulus, which if continued, will result in delirium tremens, or premature death, is beneficial to the body! Does it illuminate or strengthen the mind? A

and it does not; but it blinds the brain, and deadens the intellect; yet continues that stimulant, and it so benumbs and devours the mind, that it leaves the once intellectual giant the plaything of vice, and the sport of fools! Does the moderate use of intoxicating drinks make a man a better member of society? Does it better fit him for the discharge of his duties as a citizen of a moral and enlightened community? It is undeniably true that some good men have been moderate drinkers, and lived to a good old age; yet it is equally true, that many, very many men, who in their hearts have abhorred drunkenness, by the continued use of intoxicating drinks, have created a taste and love for them, which impelled them on downward and downward, until their condition, once to them more abhorrent than grim death, was none other than that of the pitied and loathsome drunkard!

#### Rains and Graves in Oregon.

We have been but a few months in Oregon, but we have been here a sufficient length of time to know that the winters in Oregon, are not accompanied with "incessant rains;" and we have also seen verified the truth of a statement, frequently made, but not generally credited in the northern states, viz.: that the grasses in Oregon, remain green during the winter season.

These grasses are extremely nutritious, and covering as they do, a large portion of the entire territory, from, and including the valleys, up to the region of perpetual snow on the mountains, they are truly a source of invaluable wealth.

Probably there is no country upon the face of the earth, that, by nature, affords such ready facilities for grazing, as that portion of Oregon lying between the Cascades and Blue Mountains, known as the middle country.

The present war is a war of necessity, and from necessity it must be carried on—advancements from citizens. Infidelity may so enrobe herself in the habiliments of Christianity, that eternity alone can penetrate them; but providentially, worldly affairs are so arranged that, unreal patriotism may be penetrated in time!

In a crisis like the present there is no safe way house—a man is either for his country or against it, and will be remembered either with honor or contempt.

Mr. THORNTON.—Upon arriving in Oregon, this gentleman was made a man of despatches from the United States authorities there, to the home government at Washington. He will probably arrive at Washington at an early

tal,—it is so; yet in any of the grazing districts of Oregon, not already overstocked, they constitute a capital, which, with a little attention, in twenty years, from their natural increase, would make their possessor a wealthy man.

Wool can be transported from here to England, as cheap as from several of the states, and we trust that the time is not far distant when the valleys, the plains and the mountains of Oregon will resound with the bleating of sheep.

#### Oregon Iron Ore—Where is it?

There is no one thing of more pecuniary importance to the people of Oregon, than the discovery of iron ore. It is important not only to the present population of the territory, but it is vastly and peculiarly so to its future growth and wealth.

There are many business, wealthy men, who would not come here to cultivate the soil, if they were satisfied that they could obtain thereby, as a free gift, a league of the best land under the canopy of Heaven; but satisfy them that they could find here an abundance of iron ore, stone coal, and convenient water power, and these men would hasten to make Oregon the country of their adoption.

Oregon has her mountains of marble, her granite, and her stone coal—all of nature's best; she has her lime stone, and clays, and it is believed, an abundance of the baser metals.

If the people of Oregon would make suitable exertions for the discovery of iron ore, we believe that those exertions would be speedily repaid. Iron has become almost as necessary for the convenience of man as food, water, or clothing. Some fortunes have been made from gold mines;—Let the earth be unloosened and an abundance of rich iron ore discovered, and there will have been done more for Oregon's future wealth and greatness, than the discovery of a gold mine of the wealth of Potosi.

**Uncle Sam.**  
Governor Abernethy has kindly favored us with a letter received by him, from Capt. J. S. Folsom, of the Qr. Mr.'s department U. S. Army, for California. The letter from which the following is an extract, shows that Oregon has not been entirely forgotten at Washington. The truth is, the people of Oregon have conducted themselves so well, that Uncle Sam has felt his services wholly unnecessary for their increased prosperity and happiness.

**Santa Anna ordered General Valenzuela not to risk a general action, but fall back upon the main body.** This Gen. Valenzuela refused to do, and contrary to orders, engaged General Scott who defeated him with great loss. The American army rapidly following up their advantage, came upon the main body of the Mexican army and dispersed them. Gen. Scott proceeded on and took up a position close to the city of Mexico, but did not enter it. Gen. Santa Anna sent him a flag of truce, proposing a suspension of hostilities. This, after some little negotiation, was agreed upon. The truce was to be for forty days, during these neither army was to change its position, nor reinforce itself, and before recommencing hostilities, forty hours previous notice was to be given on both sides.

**The truce having been made, General Scott sent into Mexico 50 or 60 carts for vegetables and other provisions of which his army were in want. The Mexican population received them hostilely, but Santa Anna sent in some troops to quell the row, and the American carts returned to camp.**

It appears that for a few days after wards there was some correspondence between the two generals in chief, in regard to the truce they had agreed upon. On the faith of that truce, Gen. Scott allowed his army to rest, clean their guns and other accoutrements. While thus off his guard, Santa Anna made a night attack on the American camp, with his whole force. The Americans were driven back five or six leagues, suffering the loss of 3000 Mexicans, prisoners that they had taken from Gen. Valenzuela, of about 1500 men killed and wounded, and of some artillery. But at a distance of about 8 or 10 leagues from Mexico, Gen. Scott rallied his troops and again presented a strong front, causing Gen. Santa Anna to desist from any further attack.

**The Americans were very indignant at this alleged treachery of Santa Anna, which is said to have offended even some of his own generals.** It appears that on this side of the Continent the American land and naval forces are about to capture Acapulco, San Blas and Mazatlan.

**The Natalia left Mazatlan on the 27th September, but touched at San Jose, and having met the U. S. Frigate Congress, the captain took all his Mexican newspapers containing details of that news.—California Star.**

**LATE MEXICAN INTELLIGENCE—CAPTURE OF MEXICO CITY.**  
Recent advices from La Paz, have reached Monterey. Dates to the 14th, 15th of November. These state the progress of the war, received through the Mexican newspapers. Gen. Scott, at the head of 9000 men, had advanced upon the Capitol, and taken possession after a very

severe and sanguinary struggle; Santa Anna, whose strength is not given, suffered a disastrous defeat. The American army sustained a heavy loss, it being computed exceeding in killed and wounded 1,100 officers and men. On the Mexican side the loss is not given, supposed to be extremely great. Among the slain, the names of Col. J. S. McIntosh, Lt. Col. Martin Scott, Capt. M. E. Merri, Lt. K. Smith, and several subalterns of the fifth infantry appear. The Americans suffered severely. Among the fallen are Lt. Burke, Drinn, Ayres, Parry, and many other names not recollectable. Santa Anna, with the Mexican Congress, are reported to have fled to Acapulco.

Guyman had been captured by the U. S. S. Congress and Port Royal, and resistance encountered. Col. Shubael left San Jose on the 14th, for the capture of Mazatlan. From them, indications of taking possession of Acapulco.

**HOLIDAY—Figuratively speaking, this term is applied to principles and sentiments, as well as to men.**

Men have a holiday when they lay aside labor, and devote a day to pleasure. Liberty may be said to have a holiday when she triumphs over tyranny. Truth may be said to have a holiday when she triumphs over error. Example—

"Truth crushed to earth, will rise again.  
The exalted years of God are hers:  
But error wounded writhes in pain,  
And dies amid her worshippers."

Here, unquestionably, the holiday of truth is when she escapes the thralldom of error—the holiday of error when truth is "crushed"—not while it (error) "writhes in pain."

**From our Extra of the 14th inst.**

#### LATE AND IMPORTANT NEWS.

**Treachery of Santa Anna—Temporary rout of the American troops—their rally-battle—and defeat of the Mexicans. Subsequent capture of the City of Mexico. Col. Gilligan's victory.**

We have late intelligence from Mexico, via California brought by Capt. Kilborn, of the brig Henry. The substance of this intelligence we hasten to lay before our readers.

The stars and stripes of the United States float over all Mexico!

The lone star and stripes of Oregon have received additional lustre from the battle of the 30th ult.

In August last, General Scott, with the American army, numbering about 10,000 men, advanced from Puebla upon the capital. A few leagues from Mexico, he was opposed by Gen. Santa Anna at the head of 30,000 men. The two armies took up positions, about two leagues distant from each other. The vanguard of the Mexican army under the command of

General Valenzuela, attacked the Americans.

Santa Anna ordered General Valenzuela not to risk a general action, but fall back upon the main body. This Gen. Valenzuela refused to do, and contrary to orders, engaged General Scott who defeated him with great loss.

The American army rapidly following up their advantage, came upon the main body of the Mexican army and dispersed them. Gen. Scott proceeded on and took up a position close to the city of Mexico, but did not enter it.

Gen. Santa Anna sent him a flag of truce, proposing a suspension of hostilities. This, after some little negotiation, was agreed upon.

The truce was to be for forty days, during these neither army was to change its position, nor reinforce itself, and before recommencing hostilities, forty hours previous notice was to be given on both sides.

About 12 o'clock that night, the Americans presented the following:

Cou. G. Scott, we present to the commanding up the river some time ago, we discovered a considerable party Indians who had proven themselves to be our enemies. Santa Anna, who was engaged at the time, sent a force to meet us, who were repelled, but lost many Mexicans in the engagement.

At 12 o'clock, we presented the following:

Col. G. Scott, we present to the commanding up the river some time ago, we discovered a considerable party Indians who had proven themselves to be our enemies. Santa Anna, who was engaged at the time, sent a force to meet us, who were repelled, but lost many Mexicans in the engagement.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we did, and were wounded. I oppose no claim for the care to keep up a respectful distance.

They drew no blood, but we