

# CASTORIA

for Infants and Children.

"Castoria is so well adapted to children that I recommend it as superior to any prescription known to me." H. A. Archer, M. D., 111 So. Oxford St., Brooklyn, N. Y.

"The use of 'Castoria' is so universal and its merits so well known that it seems a work of supererogation to endorse it. Few are the intelligent families who do not keep Castoria within easy reach." CARLOS MARTIN, D. D., New York City.

"For several years I have recommended your 'Castoria,' and shall always continue to do so as it has invariably produced beneficial results." EDWIN F. PARKER, M. D., 125th Street and 7th Ave., New York City.

THE CASTORIA COMPANY, 77 MURRAY STREET, NEW YORK CITY.

J. C. CHURCH, President. H. D. PAINE, Vice President. F. W. OSBURN, Cashier.

## THE Eugene Loan and Savings BANK

Of Eugene, Oregon.

DIRECTORS—J. C. Church, J. B. Harris, W. E. Brown, H. D. Paine, F. W. Osburn.

Paid Up Capital, \$50,000.

A General Banking Business Transacted.

Interest allowed on time deposits. Collection entrusted to our care will receive prompt attention.

## Mexican Mustang Liniment

for Burns, Caked & Inflamed Udders, Piles, Rheumatic Pains, Bruises and Strains, Running Sores, Inflammations, Stiff joints, Harness & Saddle Sores, Sciatica, Lumbago, Scalds, Blisters, Insect Bites, All Cattle Ailments, All Horse Ailments, All Sheep Ailments.

Penetrates Muscle. Membrane and Tissue Quickly to the Very Seat of Pain and Ousts it in a Jiffy. Rub in Vigorously.

Mustang Liniment conquers Pain, Makes Man or Beast well again.

## THE GREAT HUDYAN

Constitution, Blisters, Inflammations, Stiff joints, Sciatica, Lumbago, Scalds, Blisters, Insect Bites, All Cattle Ailments, All Horse Ailments, All Sheep Ailments.

Penetrates Muscle. Membrane and Tissue Quickly to the Very Seat of Pain and Ousts it in a Jiffy. Rub in Vigorously.

Mustang Liniment conquers Pain, Makes Man or Beast well again.

### TUESDAY, SEPTEMBER 10.

Eggs 12 cents per dozen.

Ben Lureh, of Lemati, was in town today.

A few days rain would end up the hop crop.

Several immigrants arrived in Eugene today.

G. W. Rinehart, of Condon, is in town today.

J. W. Shumate, of Walthville, was in town today.

The "annery dryer expects to start up Thursday.

The reserve in the U. S. treasury is now \$97,000,000.

A considerable amount of wood arriving in Eugene.

Wm. Frazer, of Portland, horsebuyer, is in town again.

Prof. Luella Carson arrived on this afternoon's train.

Attorney Norton did business in Portland yesterday.

Attorney N. E. Markley went to Seaside this morning.

Henry Hoffman and wife drove to Junction this forenoon.

Geo. M. Hyland was a passenger to Roseburg this afternoon.

A Wheeler, of Springfield, did business in Albany yesterday.

John Kelley, of Springfield, did business in Eugene today.

W. W. Haines returned from a trip down the valley this afternoon.

Wm. Neils is having quite an amount of his hops hauled to town.

Van Armitage, of Portland, was an arrival by this afternoon's train.

Hon. H. H. Gilroy and family came down from Creswell this forenoon.

Prof. J. D. Lecher came up from Corvallis on the local train this afternoon.

A Japanese by the name of W. S. Ban intends making silk at Portland.

P. C. Withers and wife, of Summer Lake, arrived from Portland this afternoon.

J. S. Jiles has moved to the Johnson house, corner Willamette and Fifth streets.

Attorney W. W. Cardwell, of Roseburg, passed through Eugene this morning.

J. C. Wallace, J. M. Sherwood and E. W. Hutchings, of Lemati, were in Eugene today.

Reporter Edwards is attending the Edwards family reunion across the river today.

Gridley, Kansas, a town of 400 people, was nearly obliterated by a wind storm yesterday.

Mrs. M. F. Wyatt went to Albany to visit a few days with Mrs. Frank Miller this morning.

Salem Journal: Miss Kate Patterson of Eugene is a guest at the residence of C. P. Bishop.

I. N. Green, of Walthville, went to Portland and Puget Sound to remain a couple of weeks, this morning.

H. Wadsworth, Jr., of Sacramento, Cal., representing the C. P. refrigerator fruit cars, is again in Eugene.

Mrs. Geo. M. Miller was in Eugene over last night returning to the Cogswell ranch on the McKenzie today.

Peter Bausch is seriously ill at his residence on Seventh street. His numerous friends hope he may yet recover.

Salem Statesman: Secretary Kincaid had to go to Alaska for a rest. Those Western normal fellows made him tired.

English crop is far from what was anticipated early in the season, and the yield will be light, say 200,000 of our bales.

Wm. Lauer, of Philadelphia, who has been visiting his uncle, Charles Lauer, in this city, left for his home this morning.

Dr. Dean Clark is in the city. He will lecture here under the American Protective Association, the date to be hereafter fixed.

Today's Salem Statesman: Prof. McElroy and family are busy these days breaking up housekeeping here, and will go to Eugene this week.

The annual encampment of the Grand Army men is in session at Louisville, Kentucky. Confederate veterans have been invited to participate in the camp fires.

Mrs. W. S. Conser and daughter, Miss Gertrude, who has been visiting with Aunt Conser, left for Albany to visit on this morning's local train.

E. H. Palmer, of Portland, went to Roseburg this afternoon. He will return here in a few days and go to McKenzie Bridge for a few days' hunting and fishing.

Will Gernes, of Harrisburg, was in Eugene today making arrangements for himself and sisters to attend the U. O. of O. this winter. He rented the Shumate house.

Mrs. J. S. Crane and daughters left for New York this morning. They have been visiting the family of I. N. Greene, in Camp Creek precinct, for a couple of months.

Mrs. Rebecca Graves, relief of Wesley Graves, for many years hotel keeper in Salem, departed this life on Friday, August 30th, at the home of her daughter Mrs. C. C. Bunnell in Lewisville, Idaho.

Capt. T. W. Symons, corps of U. S. engineers, has been transferred to Buffalo, N. Y. Major Ernest H. Ruffin, of New York, will succeed him. Lane county will not weep over the change, and especially the Florence people.

Albany Democrat: "The Salem Journal says there was a bill of \$676.50 for making an inventory of the old towels and other property of the asylum. No wonder they have to get along without lady physicians."

Dr. Alfred N. Couture and Mrs. Ella R. Baker were married in bloomers at Oakland last week, and the Examiner gives their pictures. Mr. Baker was a former resident of Salem and a member of the Presbyterian church of that city.

In Clackamas county some agents offer to take the crops as they are off the growers' hands, and after the expenses and a 1c a pound profit shall have been received, the balance if any, shall be turned over to the growers.

Cherry valley, N. Y. Gazette:—Price of old hops unchanged; 7c best offer, but holders think they can do better when price of new crop is established. Picking progresses, and the estimate of two-thirds of last year will not be far off the way.

Pendleton E. O. Miss Watkins is expected to return this evening and in two days will leave for her home in Eugene. Miss Watkins has spent the summer in the mountains at Meacham.

E. P. Thorp, the editor and publisher of that live paper, the Echo-Leader, gave us a pleasant call this morning. He intends planting out a ten acre orchard this fall and also going into breeding fine hogs.

E. M. Corbus, of Junction precinct,

is in Eugene. He will remove here next week and become a citizen of our beautiful city once more. He has just returned from Southern Oregon where he spent the summer.

Salem Statesman: Prof. Thos. Condon, of the state university, arrived in the city yesterday, and will visit with his daughter, the wife of Chief Justice Bean. Two other daughters accompanied him, and will also visit their sister.

The other day we chronicled the death of one of J. St. Clair's children, who lives six miles west of Eugene, from that dread disease, diphtheria. Dr. Brown informs us that now four more of his children are down with a malignant type of the same disease.

Monday's Portland Telegram: Price Withers, a citizen of Harney county, was arrested several days ago, by the United States authorities on a charge of selling liquor without a license. Today he appeared before Judge Beltinger and waived the reading of the information. He asked 30 days in which to answer, which was granted by the court.

Copertown, N. Y. Farmer, of August 20, has this: "There is nothing doing in the local hop market. Our exchanges report sales and contracts at from 7 to 9 cents. During the latter part of last week, we heard some rumors that the growing crop was not keeping well. Quite extensive inquiry shows that it is not at all general. On the whole, the growing crop is standing well, and the prospects are fair for a fine quality. Growers generally report a yield somewhat one-half of last year, but it is too early to make any reliable estimates. Probably 60 to 65 per cent. of the '94 crop will be nearest to the actual figures.

is in Eugene. He will remove here next week and become a citizen of our beautiful city once more. He has just returned from Southern Oregon where he spent the summer.

Salem Statesman: Prof. Thos. Condon, of the state university, arrived in the city yesterday, and will visit with his daughter, the wife of Chief Justice Bean. Two other daughters accompanied him, and will also visit their sister.

The other day we chronicled the death of one of J. St. Clair's children, who lives six miles west of Eugene, from that dread disease, diphtheria. Dr. Brown informs us that now four more of his children are down with a malignant type of the same disease.

Monday's Portland Telegram: Price Withers, a citizen of Harney county, was arrested several days ago, by the United States authorities on a charge of selling liquor without a license. Today he appeared before Judge Beltinger and waived the reading of the information. He asked 30 days in which to answer, which was granted by the court.

Copertown, N. Y. Farmer, of August 20, has this: "There is nothing doing in the local hop market. Our exchanges report sales and contracts at from 7 to 9 cents. During the latter part of last week, we heard some rumors that the growing crop was not keeping well. Quite extensive inquiry shows that it is not at all general. On the whole, the growing crop is standing well, and the prospects are fair for a fine quality. Growers generally report a yield somewhat one-half of last year, but it is too early to make any reliable estimates. Probably 60 to 65 per cent. of the '94 crop will be nearest to the actual figures.

## BI-METALLIC

Double Knee, Double Seat and extra Buttons will out wear any boy's suit made:

\$2.00, \$3.00, \$3.50 and \$4.00.

The Stanley Combination Suits, Two Pair Pants, Cap and Coat: \$2.50, \$3.00, \$3.50, \$4.25, \$4.50, \$4.60.

"Kast Iron" Combination Suits, \$3.00, \$3.50, \$4.00, \$4.50.

Many other suits for boys: \$1.00 \$1.25, \$1.50, \$1.75.

Men's Suits: \$7.50, \$10.00, \$12.00, \$15.00, \$18.00, \$20.00.

Men's Suits: \$3.00, \$4.00, \$5.00, \$6.00, \$8.00, \$10.00.

P. FRANK & SONS.

## Junction City : Milling Company.

MANUFACTURERS OF THE

# "WHITE ROSE" FLOUR.

GUARANTEED BEST QUALITY.

The most popular flour in the market. Sold by all leading grocers.

## MONEY TO LOAN

On Improved Farms FOR A TERM OF YEARS.

SHERWOOD BURR, EUGENE, OREGON.

## ASH GROVE POULTRY YARD.

Breeding pens secured to none in the state.

White and Banded Plymouth Rocks, Buff and Brown Leghorns and Silver Spangled Hamburgs.

Eggs \$1.50 per 15; two settings \$2.50.

I also have a few choice English Bantams for sale. Satisfaction guaranteed. Address: A. M. W. W. Culture, Oregon.

## SUMMONS.

In the Circuit Court of the State of Oregon for the county of Lane.

I. F. Blanton, Plaintiff, vs. Kate Linebaugh, Thomas Fritts and Sarah J. Fritts, his wife, Defendants.

Suit in equity to foreclose a mortgage on real property.

To Kate Linebaugh the above named defendant, do hereby summon you to appear in said court on or before Monday the 23rd day of October, 1895, at the hour of ten o'clock of the forenoon of said day to answer the complaint in the order for the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the sum of four hundred dollars in U. S. gold coin with interest thereon at ten per cent per annum since to-wit, October 21, 1894, and for attorney fees, and for the foreclosing of said mortgage, and to show cause why the plaintiff should not be granted the recovery of the