

THE EUGENE CITY GUARD.

ESTABLISHED FOR THE DISSEMINATION OF DEMOCRATIC PRINCIPLES, AND TO EARN AN HONEST LIVING BY THE SWEAT OF OUR BROW

VOL 22

EUGENE, OR., SATURDAY, MAY 10, 1890.

NO. 40.

The Eugene City Guard.
(PUBLISHED EVERY SATURDAY.)
I. L. CAMPBELL,
Publisher and Proprietor.
OFFICE—On the East side of Willamette
street, between Seventh and Eighth Streets.
TERMS OF SUBSCRIPTION.
For annum..... \$2 50
Six Months..... 1 25
Three months..... .75

OUR ONLY
RATES OF ADVERTISING.
Advertisements inserted as follows:
One square, ten lines or less one insertion \$3;
each subsequent insertion \$1. Cash required
in advance.
Time advertisers will be charged at the
following rates:
One square three months..... \$6 00
One square six months..... 8 00
One square one year..... 12 00
Transient notices in local column, 20 cents
per line for each insertion.
Advertising bills will be rendered quarterly.
All job work must be PAID FOR ON DELIVERY.

CEO. B. DORRIS,
Attorney and Counsellor-
at-Law,
WILL PRACTICE IN THE COURTS
of the Second Judicial District and in
the Supreme Court of this State.
Special attention given to collections and
matters in probate

L. BILYEU,
Attorney and Counsellor at Law,
EUGENE CITY, OREGON.

PRACTICES IN ALL THE COURTS OF
this State. Will give special attention
to collections and probate matters.
Office—Over Hendrick & Eakin's bank.

A. C. WOODCOCK,
Attorney-at-Law,
EUGENE CITY, OREGON

OFFICE—Rooms 7 & 8 McClaren Building.
Special attention given to Collections
and Probate business.

GEORGE A. DORRIS,
Attorney-at-Law,
EUGENE CITY, OREGON

Office—In Register Block.
J. J. WALTON, Jr.,
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW
EUGENE CITY, OREGON.

WILL PRACTICE IN ALL THE
Courts of the State.
Special attention given to real estate, col-
lecting, and probate matters.
Collecting all kinds of claims against the
United States Government.
Office in Walton's brick—rooms 7 and 8.

Seymour W. Condon,
ATTORNEY-AT-LAW,
—DUNN'S BUILDING.—
Eugene, - - Oregon.

GEORGE M. MILLER,
Attorney and Counsellor-at-Law, and
Real Estate Agent.
EUGENE CITY, OREGON.
Office—Lane Co. Bank Building.

E. R. SKIPWORTH,
Attorney-at-Law,
EUGENE CITY, OREGON.
OFFICE—Upstairs in Register Block, first
door to the left.
Will do a general law practice in all the
Courts of the State. All business promptly
attended to.

A. E. GALLAGHER,
Attorney-at-Law.
EUGENE CITY OREGON.
Special attention given to Probate business
and Abstracts of Title.
OFFICE—Over Lane County Bank.

T. W. HARRIS, M. D.
Physician and Surgeon.
OFFICE
Wilkin's Drug Store.
Residence on Fifth street, where Dr Shelton
formerly resided.

DR. PAINE,
Physician & Surgeon,
EUGENE, OREGON.
Special attention paid to Surgery and
Chronic diseases.

Dr. W. T. McMurtry,
Physician, Surgeon and Gynecologist.
(26 Years Experience.)
OFFICE—Over Brownsville Store, Willamette
street.
EUGENE, OREGON.

DR. J. C. GRAY,
DENTIST.
OFFICE OVER GRANGE STORE. ALL
work warranted.
Laughing gas administered for painless ex-
traction of teeth.

ELGIN Manufacturing
W. HOLLOWAY,
Jeweler.
—BUSINESS ESTABLISHED 18 YEARS.—

Diamonds, Watches, Clocks, Jewel-
ry & Musical Instruments.
The Most Select Stock South of Portland
Special attention given to Repairing and
Engraving by two first-class workmen All
work warranted.

BROWNSVILLE
Clothing Store.

J. W. CHERRY is still carrying
a full line of these celebrated goods,
also a full line of

HATS, CAPS AND GENTS FUR-
NISHING GOODS.

Sold at the Lowest Prices. Re-
member the stand, Walton's Block.

BE SURE!

You don't buy a Dollar's worth of DRY
GOODS until you have seen J. D. MAT-
LOCK'S stock of

SPRING AND SUMMER GOODS.

DRESS GOODS, PARASOLS, SILKS, VELVETS, NOTIONS,
RIBBONS, LINENS, HANKERCHIEFS, LACES, HOSIERY,
WHITE GOODS, GLOVES, WOOLENS, FLANNELS, BLANKETS,
GINGHAMS, SATENS, POINTS, DOMESTIC COTTONS,
CLOTHING, HATS, CAPS,
BOOTS AND SHOES, ETC.

J. R. REAM,
UNDERTAKER. A bran new First-Class Hearse
One of the Finest in the State.

A FINE LINE OF Coffins Ca skets
Robes
AND ALL KINDS OF Undertaking Goods
Constantly on hand.
ALSO DEALER IN Furniture, Mat-
tresses, Bed-
ding,
Which are offered at the
Lowest Rates.

Store corner Willamette and 7th Streets

A. GOLDSMITH,
The Best Known Grocer in Eugene!

Has a LARGER stock of Fine Groceries, China, Glass, Crock-
ery, Wooden and Willow Ware than ever before.
Pays Highest CASH price for Country Produce, Furs, Skins,
Hides, Wool, Tallow, &c.
Orders filled promptly, with the greatest care.

I DON'T BOAST PRICES.
All Goods sold as Cheap as anyone in Eugene.
Call and be courteously treated.

A. GOLDSMITH.
Day & Henderson,
—THE LEADING—
FURNITURE &
UNDERTAKING
House in Eugene. Corner 7th and Wil. Sts

DEHILLER'S
HYDRASTINE
RESTORATIVE
PERFECT NUTRITION
HEALTH!
The only remedy known which will
Stimulate the Nutritive Processes of the
Human System.

By this natural and simple means it quickly
and permanently cures ALL Forms of
Dyspepsia, Constipation, Mental and
Nervous Exhaustion, General Debility,
Brain Pain, or any exhausted or weak-
ened condition of the system, from what-
ever cause, Skin Eruptions, Boils, Run-
ning Sores, Scrofula, and all Diseases of
the Blood, Stomach, Liver and Kidneys.
\$1.00. SIX BOTTLES FOR \$5.00.
Dr. Hiller's 64 page book, descriptive of Hy-
drastine Restorative and its other remedies,
sent free by mail.
HILLER DRUG CO., San Francisco, Cal.
FOR SALE BY
E. R. LUCKEY & CO.

HOFFMAN HOUSE
Eugene, Oregon.

Townsend & Harrison, Props.
MILLER BROS.,
—DEALERS IN—
Field, Vegetable and
Flower Seeds,
APIARY AND POULTRY SUPPLIES,
Garden Tools, Fertilizers, Trees, Etc.
209 Second St., bet. Salmon & Taylor
Portland.
Send for Catalogue.

DR. JOSEPH P. GILL,
CAN BE FOUND AT HIS OFFICE or re-
sidence on Eighth street, opposite Presby-
terian Church.

GEORGE F. CRAW,
POSTOFFICE
Cigar store,
Eugene City, Oregon.

University Bookstore
McClarens Building,
(Opposite F. M. Wilkin's Drug Store.)
—Has an extensive Stock of—
STANDARD, MISCELLANEOUS,
COLLEGE AND SCHOOL BOOKS,
Mercantile, Fancy and School Station-
ery, Blank Books, Cutlery, Etc.
Orders for Books and Subscriptions
to Newspapers and Periodicals promptly at-
tended to.

R. B. COCHRAN & SON,
Real Estate Agents,
Eugene City, Oregon.

B. F. DORRIS,
INSURANCE AND REAL ESTATE
AGENT.
I HAVE SOME VERY DESIRABLE
Farms, Improved and Unimproved Town
property for sale, on easy terms.
Property Rented and Rents Collected.
The Insurance Companies I represent are
among the oldest and most reliable, and in
the prompt and equitable adjustment of their
claims STRAIGHT TO THE POINT.
A share of your patronage is solicited.
Office up-stairs, Register Block.
B. F. DORRIS.

F. W. A. CRAIN,
Watchmaker and Jeweler.

Junction City, Oregon
Special attention given to Repairing
WATCHES, CLOCKS and JEWELRY.

GOVERNOR PENNOYER



The above cut is an excellent likeness of the present Gov-
ernor of Oregon, SYLVESTER PENNOYER. He is to-day the
central figure in Oregon politics, and his popularity extends to
all classes. His State administration has been clean and with-
out taint or scandal. He deserves re-election at the hands of
his fellow citizens.

ADVICE TO MOTHERS.
Mrs. WINSLOW'S SOOTHING SYRUP, for chil-
dren teething, is the prescription of one of the
best female nurses and physicians in the
United States, and has been used for forty
years with never-failing success by millions
of mothers for their children. During the
process of teething its value is incalculable. It
relieves the child from pain, cures dysentery
and diarrhoea, griping in the bowels, and wind
colic. By giving health to the child it rests
the mother. Price 25c a bottle.

What is it?
That produces that beautifully soft com-
plexion and leaves no traces of its applica-
tion or injurious effects? The answer, My
dear Mother, is Chamberlain's Colic, Cholera
and Diarrhoea Remedy. It is, and is
pronounced by ladies of taste and refine-
ment to be the most delightful toilet article
ever produced. Warranted harmless and
matchless. F. M. Wilkin, agent, Eugene
City.

Change is one of the irresistible laws of
nature, and fortunately the change is almost
invariably for the better. As an instance of
this, St. Patrick Pills are fast taking the
place of the old harsh and violent cathar-
tics, because they are milder and produce
a pleasanter effect, besides they are much
more beneficial in removing morbid matter
from the system and preventing ague and
other malarial diseases. As a cathartic
and liver pill they are almost perfect. For
sale by Osburn & Co.

Take Notice.
That A. Goldsmith has the largest, finest
and best stock of Queensware, Crockery and
Glassware ever brought to Eugene. Give
him a call and he will prove it to you.

On a recent visit to Iowa, Mr. K. Dalton,
of Dury, Russell county, Kansas, called at
the laboratory of Chamberlain & Co., Des
Moines, to show them his six year old boy,
whose life had been saved by Chamberlain's
Cough Remedy, it having cured him of a
severe attack of croup. Mr. Dalton is cer-
tain that it saved his boy's life and is enthu-
siastic in his praise of the Remedy. He
says it has an excellent reputation in his
vicinity; that farmers come fifteen miles to
his store for it. For sale by Osburn & Co.

Don't Believe It
When told that F. M. Wilkin, the druggist,
is not selling "Wisdom's Robotine" for the
completion, the most elegant and only really
harmless preparation of its kind in the
world, and giving a beautiful picture card
with every bottle.

Children Cry for Pitcher's Castoria.
When Baby was sick, we gave her Castoria.
When she was a Child, she cried for Castoria.
When she became Miss, she clung to Castoria.
When she had Children, she gave them Castoria.

SYRUP OF FIGS
Combines the juice of the Blue Figs of
California, so laxative and nutritious,
with the medicinal virtues of plants
known to be most beneficial to the
human system, forming the ONLY PER-
FECT REMEDY to act gently yet
promptly on the
KIDNEYS, LIVER AND BOWELS
—AND TO—
Cleanse the System Effectually,
—SO THAT—
PURE BLOOD,
REFRESHING SLEEP,
HEALTH AND STRENGTH
Naturally follow. Every one is using it
and all are delighted with it. Ask your
druggist for SYRUP OF FIGS. Manu-
factured only by the
CALIFORNIA FIG SYRUP CO.,
SAN FRANCISCO, CAL.
LOUISVILLE, KY. NEW YORK, N. Y.

Wright's Red Cross Cough Cure guarantees
to give satisfaction. Will cure any kind of
cough or cold. Sold by all druggists.

Look Out for Squalls.

Under the above head the Oregonian of a
recent date applies caustic to the Republi-
can party. The editor reads the signs of the
times aright when he says "The Republican
party is on the high road to the devil." We
reproduce the Oregonian article which is as
follows:

The Republican party has no reason to be
proud of its legislative achievements in this
session of congress. For the first time in
fifteen years it has had control of the de-
partments of the government. It has possessed
power to legislate, and incurred complete
responsibility for legislative results. Its
use of its powers has been a disappointment
to its best friends, and the weight of respon-
sibility is likely to be a grievous burden for
it in the future. Judged by its perfor-
mance so far, the Republican party is distinct-
ly less fit for the functions of government
and legislation than it was when it lost con-
trol of the house in 1874. This is more dis-
appointing because the Republican party is
traditionally the party of intelligence, con-
servatism, economical wisdom, financial hon-
esty, legislative capacity and sound prin-
ciples of government. It is the Democrat,
the party of organized ignorance, who have
been traditionally susceptible to the influ-
ences of popular crazes and demagogic clam-
or; who have run after strange financial gods
at open mouth of Demos with promises of
cheap money, silver and paper, who have
patented to race prejudice and equated
with popular forces of national disaster.
The Republicans have been the recognized
custodians of the public conscience and the
national honor and credit. They have for-
gotten their traditions and are playing false
to their trust.

By long odds the most important public
questions of the present session are fiscal
questions. The most vital legislation which
the party in power has had to deal with
was that touching the finance and currency.
Tariff reduction was imperatively demanded,
and the conditions of the country made
modifications of the silver policy of the coun-
try urgent. The Republican party attacked
both problems. It is not premature to say
it has failed with both. Its tariff bill is a
disappointment. The best honest and in-
telligent Republican can say for it is that it
is better than the Mills bill. It mistakes
to bring about the necessary reduction of
the revenue by clumsy and indirect meth-
ods, which diminish the possible benefit to
the consumer. It shows a too tender care
for the interest of manufacturing monopoli-
st, and it offers the rural consumer a petty
tariff on butter and eggs instead of a reduc-
tion on the manufactured goods he has to
buy. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer and benefit the producer of the in-
gredients and the conditions of the coun-
try. It takes the tax off tobacco, but it
does not materially increase the free list.
It adds to the cost of canned goods by in-
creasing the duty on tin plate, and stops
the repeal of the sugar duty just where
it would be most beneficial to the con-
sumer