

The Democrat.

Editor and Proprietor.

A HUGE EFFORT.

The Baker City *Times*, republican, says: Providence seems to be on the side of the American farmer this year. Abundant crops are the rule, and the price of grain is high. The fact that the cereal crops are almost failures in Russia and India, which have been referred to as Europe's granaries, makes it certain that the American farmer will be able to get big prices for his products. The farmer is to be congratulated for they have had a hard time for several years, but the turn has now come, and thanks to the McKinley tariff law and the big crops, the farmer will receive the share of the country's prosperity. Republican legislation did not make the big crops, but, by enhancing the price of farm products, it enabled the farmer to get the full benefit of those big crops.

What a huge effort is here made to induce people to believe that the price of wheat is to be attributed to the good effects of the McKinley Bill. "Thanks to the McKinley tariff law," indeed. Will the *Blade* or any other blind follower of the scheme of protectionism tell us how it is that the McKinley tariff law can affect the price of wheat? Such stuff as the above is given out by republican papers all over the country to allay the growing discontent springing up among the farmers of this party. That all there is of it.

A MAN OF SENSE.

Thomas J. Barrett, an Englishman, the proprietor of the famous Pears' soap says: "American advertising, said Mr. Barrett, costs thirteen per cent, more than English advertising, and by your high cost you keep millions of dollars worth of patronage out of your papers which you would otherwise get. Unless a foreign manufacturer is making an article of superior excellence that it will in itself command patronage, it hardly pays him to cater for American trade. This is not because the American newspapers are not good mediums, but because your people are not endeavorers to take the bulk of the manufacturer's profits. I can make a high quality of soap more cheaply than you can in America, because my expenses for material are lower, and I can get labor for lower wages, because my laborers, in their turn, can buy clothes, food, soap and house rent at lower prices than yours can. If your laborers make higher wages they do not, as a class, live in any greater comfort, and your protectionists seem to forget that while they are keeping out of the country a large amount of foreign manufactures, they are also keeping out a proportionate amount of foreign money, which would otherwise be spent in advertising and pushing those goods."

A recent dispatch from Sprague, Wash. says: It has been rumored for several weeks that the Farmers' Alliance of this county proposed to present a candidate for governor of the state of Washington at the next general election, and that the candidate would be a man brought to bear upon other alliances throughout the state a Lincoln county man would be selected for gubernatorial nomination. The man mentioned for such candidate is the Hon. J. B. Irvine, at one time a member of the legislature of Oregon. Mr. Irvine is a well-to-do farmer and is a prominent figure in the affairs of the county. He is above the average orators, and above all an honest man. But, then, the republican and democratic parties will probably have something to say to who shall be governor of this new state.

Mr. Irvine is a brother of the Hon. A. L. Irvine of this city and well known in his country, having resided here for many years. A Georgia farmer has started a new industry in growing beavers for his skins. Since the prospective failure of the seal fisheries, beaver skins are the next best substitute for seals. He owns two acres up and down a creek which is a natural home for the beavers. In summer they scatter each one for himself, but in winter they come together in their numbers, beside the streams where they are dammed the water. He grows corn to feed them, and finds that they eat it as readily as hogs. Most of the time they forage for themselves, but feeling much more tame and easily caught. The beaver breeds rapidly, two to six in a litter, and often two litters in a year. The skin of the beaver is now worth \$100 each, and next season he expects to furnish between 200 and 300 skins from surplus males, leaving the young and breeding females to increase the flock. The flesh of the beaver, properly cooked, is an excellent food.

Secretary Foster is of opinion McKinley may be elected if he makes such a campaign as he did last year for congress. He says he has 75,000 votes. Nothing like brag. Making such a canvass as last year would imply an \$80,000 contribution from the twenty-one congressional districts. This would amount to \$4,000,000 for the state. It will hardly be raised, and besides Ohio has no Australian secret ballot, a guard against corruption that did not prevail in 1890.

Mrs. Campbell, the wife of the Ohio governor, is a tall and handsome brunette. She carries herself in a stately manner, and is always calmly dressed. Over her dark eyes she wears spectacles, which rather add to her beauty than detract from it. People say that her tact will have as much to do as her husband's tactical skill in managing the Ohio campaign successfully.

Congressional Lodge will preside over the republican state convention in Boston Sept. 10th. The Bay state republicans have the full bravado of their Bourbonism. They are doing good service in making Massachusetts democratic.

It is a matter of small importance to the great masses of the people who hold the offices, but it is a matter of grave importance what the policy of the government shall be. This accounts for the great weakening of protectionism.

The Lafayette Courier says: There is quite a little "howling" between Judge R. P. Baker and Gov. H. B. Burnett, Esq., both of Salem, for the republican nomination for circuit judge of this district. Judge R. P. Baker is said to want the office earnestly, and his friends claim that the old judge is too old. It will be interesting and I think profitable to see what comes of it.

The bluest and major McKinley can play in Ohio to do some hard fighting. Workingmen whose wages have been increased by his aid and exhibit him through out the state. He would indeed be a curiosity, and prove a drawing attraction.

Day by day members of the farmers alliance are deserting the dangerous doctrine of the anti-treasury scheme. This shows that there are many thoughtful earnest men in that movement.

Ex-Senator Farwell of Illinois says if Harrison should be nominated by the republicans the demo-rats will be sure to elect. He is for Blaine.

Blaine thinks that the republican party must "get nearer to the wall." It seems to be getting under the sod with all reasonable speed.

DEMOCRATS IN THE ALLIANCE.

Democrats in the farmers' alliance have an excellent opportunity to do educational work that is much needed, and if those who need the education are sometimes refractory there is the more reason for patience and perseverance. Democrats will be best by refusing politicians from the alliance helps of both parties, and that it will have an assured variety of wild or corrupt schemes thrust out of it. But this need not make any difference. None of these schemes can stand under discussion, and it will not be long before the political tricksters will be exposed. Democrats who joined the alliance under a conviction that it was for the purpose of securing the freedom of political action have only to stay in and support the constitution. It would be worse than useless to draw out and form a new organization in any locality where the attempt is made through the alliance to dictate their political action. If they are democrats they are not likely to be tossed about by every wind of doctrine or political doctrine. The great advantage of democratic principles is that it enables the plain people who are not political economists to see what is just and wise in government. Every democrat is thus fitted to do missionary work, and democrats in the farmers' alliance have a notable opportunity for it. The alliance in the West is very largely composed of men who have been republicans who are not dissatisfied with that party, and, being so, are in a fair way to realize a great increase in political usefulness. But naturally they cannot escape immediately from all their republican prejudices. From these they can be weaned only by education and patience. If, as a result of such prejudice or ignorance, the attempt is made to use the alliance to compel democrats to support republican candidates, the alliance is not only doing a great deal of good by the agitation it is effecting, and whether or not democrats are members of it they have no reason to cease this agitation as long as it is mainly directed against republican policies. What the alliance needs from democrats is assistance and direction, not obstruction or opposition.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—The Star-Tribune (Kansas City) says: A letter from Harrison Kelly, ex-congressman from this district, in which he renounces the republican party and declares that in the future he will be found fighting with the farmers' alliance. Kelly says he has turned to the new party, the people's party, as the best medium through which to accomplish the reform designed by the people.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

Through the Farmers' Alliance. (KANSAS CITY, Aug. 4.)—A sequel to a romance in real life is about to be given from the circuit court. Mrs. Mary Goodenough filed papers instituting suit against Evin Day for damages to amount of \$100,000, and the plaintiff alleges that the defendant seduced her. The plaintiff alleges that she is a widow, and that she has been seduced by the defendant, who is a married man. The case is being tried at the circuit court.

TELEGRAPHIC NEWS.

WASHINGTON, Aug. 4.—A statement has been prepared at the treasury department in regard to the amounts of money in circulation on the first of July, 1890, 1891, 1892, 1893, 1894, and 1895, from which it appears that the amount of money in circulation has been steadily increasing since the first of July, 1890. The amount of money in circulation in 1890 was \$1,000,000,000, and in 1891 it was \$1,100,000,000, in 1892 it was \$1,200,000,000, in 1893 it was \$1,300,000,000, and in 1894 it was \$1,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1895 was \$1,500,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1896 was \$1,600,000,000, and in 1897 it was \$1,700,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1898 was \$1,800,000,000, and in 1899 it was \$1,900,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1900 was \$2,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1901 was \$2,100,000,000, and in 1902 it was \$2,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1903 was \$2,300,000,000, and in 1904 it was \$2,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1905 was \$2,500,000,000, and in 1906 it was \$2,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1907 was \$2,700,000,000, and in 1908 it was \$2,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1909 was \$2,900,000,000, and in 1910 it was \$3,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1911 was \$3,100,000,000, and in 1912 it was \$3,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1913 was \$3,300,000,000, and in 1914 it was \$3,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1915 was \$3,500,000,000, and in 1916 it was \$3,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1917 was \$3,700,000,000, and in 1918 it was \$3,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1919 was \$3,900,000,000, and in 1920 it was \$4,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1921 was \$4,100,000,000, and in 1922 it was \$4,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1923 was \$4,300,000,000, and in 1924 it was \$4,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1925 was \$4,500,000,000, and in 1926 it was \$4,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1927 was \$4,700,000,000, and in 1928 it was \$4,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1929 was \$4,900,000,000, and in 1930 it was \$5,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1931 was \$5,100,000,000, and in 1932 it was \$5,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1933 was \$5,300,000,000, and in 1934 it was \$5,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1935 was \$5,500,000,000, and in 1936 it was \$5,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1937 was \$5,700,000,000, and in 1938 it was \$5,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1939 was \$5,900,000,000, and in 1940 it was \$6,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1941 was \$6,100,000,000, and in 1942 it was \$6,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1943 was \$6,300,000,000, and in 1944 it was \$6,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1945 was \$6,500,000,000, and in 1946 it was \$6,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1947 was \$6,700,000,000, and in 1948 it was \$6,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1949 was \$6,900,000,000, and in 1950 it was \$7,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1951 was \$7,100,000,000, and in 1952 it was \$7,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1953 was \$7,300,000,000, and in 1954 it was \$7,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1955 was \$7,500,000,000, and in 1956 it was \$7,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1957 was \$7,700,000,000, and in 1958 it was \$7,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1959 was \$7,900,000,000, and in 1960 it was \$8,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1961 was \$8,100,000,000, and in 1962 it was \$8,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1963 was \$8,300,000,000, and in 1964 it was \$8,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1965 was \$8,500,000,000, and in 1966 it was \$8,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1967 was \$8,700,000,000, and in 1968 it was \$8,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1969 was \$8,900,000,000, and in 1970 it was \$9,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1971 was \$9,100,000,000, and in 1972 it was \$9,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1973 was \$9,300,000,000, and in 1974 it was \$9,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1975 was \$9,500,000,000, and in 1976 it was \$9,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1977 was \$9,700,000,000, and in 1978 it was \$9,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1979 was \$9,900,000,000, and in 1980 it was \$10,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1981 was \$10,100,000,000, and in 1982 it was \$10,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1983 was \$10,300,000,000, and in 1984 it was \$10,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1985 was \$10,500,000,000, and in 1986 it was \$10,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1987 was \$10,700,000,000, and in 1988 it was \$10,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1989 was \$10,900,000,000, and in 1990 it was \$11,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1991 was \$11,100,000,000, and in 1992 it was \$11,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1993 was \$11,300,000,000, and in 1994 it was \$11,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1995 was \$11,500,000,000, and in 1996 it was \$11,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1997 was \$11,700,000,000, and in 1998 it was \$11,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 1999 was \$11,900,000,000, and in 2000 it was \$12,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2001 was \$12,100,000,000, and in 2002 it was \$12,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2003 was \$12,300,000,000, and in 2004 it was \$12,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2005 was \$12,500,000,000, and in 2006 it was \$12,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2007 was \$12,700,000,000, and in 2008 it was \$12,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2009 was \$12,900,000,000, and in 2010 it was \$13,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2011 was \$13,100,000,000, and in 2012 it was \$13,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2013 was \$13,300,000,000, and in 2014 it was \$13,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2015 was \$13,500,000,000, and in 2016 it was \$13,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2017 was \$13,700,000,000, and in 2018 it was \$13,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2019 was \$13,900,000,000, and in 2020 it was \$14,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2021 was \$14,100,000,000, and in 2022 it was \$14,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2023 was \$14,300,000,000, and in 2024 it was \$14,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2025 was \$14,500,000,000, and in 2026 it was \$14,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2027 was \$14,700,000,000, and in 2028 it was \$14,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2029 was \$14,900,000,000, and in 2030 it was \$15,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2031 was \$15,100,000,000, and in 2032 it was \$15,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2033 was \$15,300,000,000, and in 2034 it was \$15,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2035 was \$15,500,000,000, and in 2036 it was \$15,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2037 was \$15,700,000,000, and in 2038 it was \$15,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2039 was \$15,900,000,000, and in 2040 it was \$16,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2041 was \$16,100,000,000, and in 2042 it was \$16,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2043 was \$16,300,000,000, and in 2044 it was \$16,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2045 was \$16,500,000,000, and in 2046 it was \$16,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2047 was \$16,700,000,000, and in 2048 it was \$16,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2049 was \$16,900,000,000, and in 2050 it was \$17,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2051 was \$17,100,000,000, and in 2052 it was \$17,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2053 was \$17,300,000,000, and in 2054 it was \$17,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2055 was \$17,500,000,000, and in 2056 it was \$17,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2057 was \$17,700,000,000, and in 2058 it was \$17,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2059 was \$17,900,000,000, and in 2060 it was \$18,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2061 was \$18,100,000,000, and in 2062 it was \$18,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2063 was \$18,300,000,000, and in 2064 it was \$18,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2065 was \$18,500,000,000, and in 2066 it was \$18,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2067 was \$18,700,000,000, and in 2068 it was \$18,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2069 was \$18,900,000,000, and in 2070 it was \$19,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2071 was \$19,100,000,000, and in 2072 it was \$19,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2073 was \$19,300,000,000, and in 2074 it was \$19,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2075 was \$19,500,000,000, and in 2076 it was \$19,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2077 was \$19,700,000,000, and in 2078 it was \$19,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2079 was \$19,900,000,000, and in 2080 it was \$20,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2081 was \$20,100,000,000, and in 2082 it was \$20,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2083 was \$20,300,000,000, and in 2084 it was \$20,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2085 was \$20,500,000,000, and in 2086 it was \$20,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2087 was \$20,700,000,000, and in 2088 it was \$20,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2089 was \$20,900,000,000, and in 2090 it was \$21,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2091 was \$21,100,000,000, and in 2092 it was \$21,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2093 was \$21,300,000,000, and in 2094 it was \$21,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2095 was \$21,500,000,000, and in 2096 it was \$21,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2097 was \$21,700,000,000, and in 2098 it was \$21,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2099 was \$21,900,000,000, and in 2100 it was \$22,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2101 was \$22,100,000,000, and in 2102 it was \$22,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2103 was \$22,300,000,000, and in 2104 it was \$22,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2105 was \$22,500,000,000, and in 2106 it was \$22,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2107 was \$22,700,000,000, and in 2108 it was \$22,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2109 was \$22,900,000,000, and in 2110 it was \$23,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2111 was \$23,100,000,000, and in 2112 it was \$23,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2113 was \$23,300,000,000, and in 2114 it was \$23,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2115 was \$23,500,000,000, and in 2116 it was \$23,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2117 was \$23,700,000,000, and in 2118 it was \$23,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2119 was \$23,900,000,000, and in 2120 it was \$24,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2121 was \$24,100,000,000, and in 2122 it was \$24,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2123 was \$24,300,000,000, and in 2124 it was \$24,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2125 was \$24,500,000,000, and in 2126 it was \$24,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2127 was \$24,700,000,000, and in 2128 it was \$24,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2129 was \$24,900,000,000, and in 2130 it was \$25,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2131 was \$25,100,000,000, and in 2132 it was \$25,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2133 was \$25,300,000,000, and in 2134 it was \$25,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2135 was \$25,500,000,000, and in 2136 it was \$25,600,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2137 was \$25,700,000,000, and in 2138 it was \$25,800,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2139 was \$25,900,000,000, and in 2140 it was \$26,000,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2141 was \$26,100,000,000, and in 2142 it was \$26,200,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2143 was \$26,300,000,000, and in 2144 it was \$26,400,000,000. The amount of money in circulation in 2145 was \$26,500,000,000, and in 2146 it was \$26,600,00