

WOMEN'S WORK

Candidates Address Council in Three-Minute Speeches.

FAIR NOMINEES DECLINE

Dr. Withycombe Proclaims Policy in Public Library Meeting and Mr. Dimick Points to Booth's Home Tribute as Convincing.

A brisk political meeting was held at the Central Library yesterday when 21 candidates, or their representatives, made three-minute speeches under the auspices of the Council of Women Voters.

"I stand for a clean and wholesome state government," said Dr. James Withycombe, candidate for Governor on the Republican ticket. "I hope that after election we can bury our political differences in the interest of constructive legislation. With this in mind, we can make Oregon the greatest commonwealth in the Nation."

Grant B. Dimick represented R. A. Booth, candidate for United States Senator.

"I saw the certificate of regard that the people of Eugene gave Mr. Booth recently," said Mr. Dimick, "and I was convinced that he must be good to get the solid support of his neighbors. Another certificate of regard was given him by all the business houses of the city."

Importing is Opposed. "It is all right to say there is no difference in party policies, but when we see our country flooded with the products of foreign labor, it is time to make a change. We might better admit the foreign laborers to our country, for then we would at least feed them."

C. N. McArthur, Republican candidate for Representative in Congress, told briefly of his past record and outlined some of the measures he would stand for if elected.

"I will, if elected, work for a National woman suffrage amendment," he said. "I have always believed in that measure. I believe that it is only a matter of time that it will be adopted. I think that several more suffrage states will be added to the list after the coming election."

Many Speakers Heard.

Other speakers were: William Hanley, candidate for Senator on the Progressive ticket; F. M. Gill, Progressive candidate for Governor; Mrs. L. M. Hidden, candidate for the Legislature on the Progressive ticket; Dr. Cora Talbot and Mrs. Lucia Addison, candidates for the State Legislature; Mrs. Nellie Hughes, Democratic candidate for County Coroner; Miss Lida O'Bryon, candidate for District Judge; B. Ziegler, Prohibition candidate for the Legislature; W. W. Paget, Democratic-Prohibition candidate for State Treasurer; Mary L. Mallett, candidate for the Legislature; A. F. Fiegel, Democratic candidate for Representative in Congress; W. J. Smith, Socialist candidate for Governor; W. S. U'Ren, Independent candidate for Governor; Albert Straif, Socialist candidate for Congress, and Levi W. Myers, representing A. W. Lafferty, candidate for Congress. W. Humphries spoke for Senator Chamberlain.

The meeting was strictly non-partisan. The women candidates were introduced by Mrs. C. N. McArthur. Robert Berger introduced the Democratic candidates; Mrs. Aurelia Taylor the Socialist candidates and Mrs. Louise Bryant, trainer of the Independent candidates.

Dr. Viola May Coe presided and C. W. Barco acted as timekeeper.

Optimistic reports are coming in to Republican state headquarters from every section of the state. Two examples of this are from Wallowa and Jackson counties, opposite corners of the state. The Republican secretary of the county committee, writing to Secretary Baldwin of the state committee, says:

"The situation in Wallowa County is most flattering. I would not be surprised if Booth carried the county by 800 votes over Chamberlain. I can hear of no Republicans who are going to support Chamberlain, and the women, regardless of politics, will vote for Booth. Many Democrats are openly supporting him. A month ago, when a few men initiated a movement looking toward the organization of a party, I looked on the surface like a waste of time to undertake it, but in the last three weeks we have perfected a fair organization. But what is of most importance, we have lent encouragement to Republicans to come out and defend their party principles and candidates. This month we have sent Mr. Booth down the valley by auto to Grants Pass. He had two big enthusiastic meetings in Jackson County at Ashland night before last and Medford last night. His speeches are vote-getters and the Republicans are bitterly resenting the vicious campaign that is being waged against him."

From Jackson County Bert A. Anderson, chairman of the county committee there, writes in substance to the Republican state headquarters:

"For the first time in years the Republicans of Jackson County have a working organization. Republicans that laid dormant next year are waking up. A month ago, when a few men initiated a movement looking toward the organization of a party, I looked on the surface like a waste of time to undertake it, but in the last three weeks we have perfected a fair organization. But what is of most importance, we have lent encouragement to Republicans to come out and defend their party principles and candidates. This month we have sent Mr. Booth down the valley by auto to Grants Pass. He had two big enthusiastic meetings in Jackson County at Ashland night before last and Medford last night. His speeches are vote-getters and the Republicans are bitterly resenting the vicious campaign that is being waged against him."

Every Republican precinct committeeman in the county has been named by George J. Cameron, county chairman, and E. L. Amidon, county secretary, as a watcher in his or her respective precinct next Tuesday. The watchers will have the authority to challenge votes that they consider doubtful and inspect the ballots as they are counted.

It is probable that the other parties also will have watchers appointed.

Senator Chamberlain returned to Portland last Friday night and was in his office all yesterday preparatory to his two addresses last night. He met a continuous procession of Democratic workers all day and took an active part in directing his campaign.

The Senator appears to be in good condition, physically, and aside from a slight affection of his throat, says he has never felt better in his life. He declares that he is well satisfied with the result of his recent tour through Western Oregon and that he never felt "more confident" on the eve of an election.

W. S. U'Ren will address a meeting at the North Albina Public Library, Killingsworth avenue and Columbia street, on Monday evenings at 8 o'clock, and will talk on the measures in which he is especially interested. He will be

"Stinger" Getting "Stung"



I have seen much of life. I have been before the American public as an educator of dentistry by advertising for nearly a quarter of a century. During all that time I have lived in the limelight of publicity, because I have been fought by ethical dentists with a bitterness that was fanatical. If I have learned one thing during all these years, I have learned that it always pays to be on the square.



This campaign for dental reform in Oregon in behalf of Dentistry Bill 340 has been a campaign of education. I have done the best I could in a single-handed contest against a Dental Trust that has a powerful political organization in this state. But whatever I have done and whatever I have said in this cause, I have refrained from personal abuse and stooping to the political trickery of the demagogue. I would rather be defeated in an honorable, clean contest for the right than to win by dishonorable methods. I serve notice now, as I have many times before, that so long as Painless Parker lives he will never cease fighting for dental and medical freedom in this country. Win or lose on November 3, I can take up the cause again with clean hands and look every man and woman square in the eye without shame.

Whether Painless Parker has a license to practice dentistry in the State of Oregon makes no difference to the voters of this state, and it makes no difference to me. It would not add to, nor take from me one penny. I would not use a license if I had it. I have more licenses and diplomas in dentistry, medicine and drugs than I have ever used, or ever will use.

The evil of special legislation for doctors is a greater question than one man's rights. 'Tis true, I have been called a quack, a charlatan and a criminal, but that is nothing new to me. I am case-hardened against anything that any member of the Dental or Medical Trust can say against me. So long as it cannot be truthfully said against Painless Parker that he ever did a fellow human being an intentional injury, or took from any, one cent to which he was not entitled, I am content to be judged by that record.

My past has been raked over by detectives of the Dental Trust in every city from New York to San Francisco where I have ever been, to find something that could be used against me in this campaign. If I had ever made a false step anywhere, it would have been blazened to the voters of Oregon through the press in box-car type a foot long.

I have been told that the Dental Trust would spring a scandal about me just before election day to defeat this bill. There are no skeletons in my closet. Prominent men in Portland have told me during this campaign that somebody might kill me. Life is just as dear to me as to any other mortal, but if dental and medical freedom cannot be attained by the people of America without some sacrifice, then why not me?

The Dental Trust has told all over the State of Oregon that I am worth a million dollars and don't need a license to practice dentistry. Does that prove that dental reform is not needed? It is true the American public has been generous and kind to me with liberal patronage. Had money been my only ambition in life, I could have retired from practice with a competency years ago, but I want to see the profession of dentistry

More Victims of the Trust

"I sent my daughter," writes a Portland man, "to Dr. —, a Trust dentist, who has spent most of his time lately talking against the Dentistry Bill. Paid him 35 hard bones for six fillings. One came out two weeks later on a chew of gum. I sent the girl back. He treated the tooth for two weeks to kill the nerve, which, I should think, ought to have been done in the first place before filling a cavity nearly as big as the tooth. Then he put on a crown and sent me a bill for \$3.00 more. When I protested, he said the "regular" charge was \$12.00, but he would knock off \$4.00 for the bum job. Tours for a clean-out of the Dental Trust."

A prominent citizen of a town not far from Portland says he is going to vote for the Dentistry Bill. He says he sent his fifteen-year-old daughter to Dr. —, to have her teeth examined, and he told her there were 22 cavities that should be filled. He made an appointment for the child, but the father took her to another dentist and had filled the only two cavities that could be found. "What I want to know," says the letter, "is whether Dr. — would have bored 20 extra holes in his child's teeth and filled them in order to make the number of fillings 22?"

I can't answer. The first dentist was either incompetent or dishonest. Perhaps section 1 of article 23, Code of Dental Ethics of the Oregon State Dental Association, which has been printed several times during this campaign, will give you some light on the subject.

Another man says of the same dentist: His little daughter had three fillings put in her teeth recently by a dentist in another town. She went to this dentist and had three more fillings put in, and he wanted to charge her for all six, claiming he did the work on all six.

A merchant in a nearby town writes me: His wife went to a certain member of the Trust in Portland and paid \$25 for work which the dentist said was necessary and he would guarantee. The work was so unskillfully done that the woman suffered continuously and finally returned to see what could be done about it. The dentist would give no satisfactory relief. Her son called to see the dentist and was told that if his mother would pay \$10 more the work would be remedied. "I sincerely hope," he writes, "the people of Oregon will make this a personal matter and go out and help pass the dental bill."

A man in Eugene tells me his married daughter went to a Trust dentist, who said the necessary filling and cleaning of her teeth would figure up \$11.00. After the work was done he called and asked for the bill, and was presented with a demand for \$29.00. He said he feared a dentist who would raise a bill 270% might raise a check, so he gave him the cash to settle the matter.

"A Portland Trust dentist charged me \$6.50 for two cement fillings that should have cost one-third as much. He has been out campaigning against the dentistry bill, and when I heard him talk about the bill, I was present with a group of the public and not special legislation for dentists, I told him to kiss his old law good-bye. My family are all for the dentistry bill and wish you success."

elevated. I want to see it taken out of the hands of men who have degraded it by commercial trust methods into a petty larceny business. In every state where a dental monopoly has wound its tentacles about the profession, repressory laws have been enacted that have dwarfed dentists morally and professionally, and robbed the people of health and money by keeping them in ignorance.

On November 3, many dentists who have been intimidated by the Trust, and who are unwilling members of the Trust, will go into the election booth and there, alone with God and themselves, vote for Dentistry Bill 340. I know this because some of them have come to me privately and pledged me their word that they have been forced to contribute financially to the Dental Trust slush fund to defeat this measure, while secretly in their hearts they hoped it would win.

I have had only four months in which to bring to light the true conditions in this state, and if the people knew no more than even how the Trust passed the last amendment of the dental laws at the last session of the Legislature, there would be a wave of indignation from the Columbia River to the Cali-

I Have Established These Facts:

1. That there is a vicious Dental Trust in Oregon.
2. That every member of the State Dental Examining Board is a member of the Trust.
3. That the State Board is always a willing tool of the Trust and endowed by law with arbitrary powers that cannot be curbed by the courts.
4. That the Trust has robbed the people by maintaining high prices for poor service.
5. That the Trust has flooded the state with victims of poor dentistry to the jeopardy of the public health.
6. That the Trust jobbed the Oregon State Legislature into giving it arbitrary power to say how many and what kind of dentists should be permitted to practice in this state.
7. That the Trust, while too cunning to come out openly and sign anything in opposition to Dentistry Bill 340, has the help of the Medical Trust, a kindred evil, and such organizations as the non-partisan league, which has always opposed every reform in Oregon for the benefit of the people.
8. That the present dental law, conceived in shame by the Trust, admits students, professional derelicts and "has-beens" to practice, while competent dentists who are graduates from reputable American dental colleges are excluded from coming to Oregon.
9. That the present dental law is responsible for the low standards of dental efficiency in Oregon because a college training is not required to produce a full-fledged Trust dentist.
10. That the principal evil of the present Trust law is the State Dental Examining Board, and graduates of reputable dental colleges should be admitted to practice in this state without passing before this board of dental censors.
11. That the exorbitant prices and poor work of the Trust on the people's teeth call for the immediate passage of proposed Dentistry Bill 340 in the interest of dental reform and the public health.

fornia line. I know that measure was drafted by the attorney of the Dental Trust in Portland.

And such things are done in the name of public health! There is not a man or woman in Oregon that will read the Dental Law and then say that such a law would be passed by the voters of this state. It was passed in the "good old days" before the people had the initiative and referendum. The principle of a State Board of Examiners is wrong. It never has worked right, and it never will. Scandals always resulted in every state where such a law is in force. There is a scandal now in Utah and Washington. There was one a few years ago in California.

The Allies

"Perhaps I can give you some light on why the Medical Trust is helping the Dental Trust defeat Dentistry Bill 340. Two years ago an organization was formed here, called the Oregon Social Hygiene Society. Its objects are worthy and many honest laymen joined in good faith with laudable intentions. At once the Medical Trust proceeded to get control and use it for selfish purposes. The Legislature made an appropriation of \$20,000 to help the work of this society under the direction of the State Board of Health. This money is being used to advertise all over Oregon. 'Help Fight the Great Red Plague. Get it Now—Portland.' When patients call there they are sent to a little select ring of doctors for treatment. All these favored few doctors are getting a rich harvest on advertising that is being paid for by taxpayers' money. One of these doctors now refuses any general practice, confining himself entirely to office practice, 65 per cent of which comes in this way. There are cases on record where enormous fees are being charged for 'fighting the great red plague.' These doctors claim it is not 'ethical' to advertise, but is it 'ethical' to use the taxpayers' money to advertise for the private benefit of doctors?"

Dentistry Bill 340 is better protection to the public health than the present dental law, and it is all the protection any legitimate, competent dentist has a right to demand. The standards of efficiency in Oregon are no higher than in other states, and not as high as in most states. I know this from personal experience, and am better prepared to pass upon this fact than any dentist in Oregon, because I have more diplomas and more licenses in dentistry and medicine than any dentist in the state, and because my business extends from the Atlantic to the Pacific Oceans and I am in close touch with all conditions. No other dentist in Oregon has my opportunities for knowing.

Under the present law, the Dental Board can grant a license to a person who has no diploma as a graduate in dentistry. There are dentists practicing in this state, now members of the Trust—and one of them has been on the State Dental Examining Board for nearly 10 years—who never went to a dental college a day in their lives. Can such so-called dentists elevate the standards of dentistry and protect the public health? Some of these jack-leg, so-called dentists were given a license to practice when the present dental law was first passed, without an examination of any kind. They were taken in so that the Trust could make its monopoly in dentistry a lead-pipe cinch in Oregon.

The Dental Trust is telling the voters that the present law was made for the protection of the public health, and not as special legislation for dentists, but anyone can practice dentistry in this state without a license, even if he is not a dentist. If a fee is accepted that might go into the pockets of some member of the Trust, then it is a violation of law. If a man is incompetent to practice with a fee, he is certainly just as incompetent without a fee, so that does not protect the public health. This shows the Trust had the law passed to protect the fees, and not the public health. Isn't this special legislation for dentists?

Vote 340 X YES and Bust the Dental Trust PAINLESS PARKER, Dentist 6th and Washington Sts. Portland, Or.

(Paid Advertisement by E. R. Parker, Merchants' Trust Bldg.)

assisted by A. D. Cridge, who will discuss the \$1500 home tax exemption measure.

T. B. Handley, of Tillamook, candidate for State Representative in the Yamhill-Tillamook joint district, was in Portland yesterday and reported to Republican state headquarters that everything along the coast here is in fine condition for the Republicans.

A Republican rally will be held at the Central Library tomorrow evening. Among the principal speakers will be Dan J. Malarkey and Waldemar Seton.

"Medford would be the busiest city in the state if Jackson County had some of the Booth-Kelly mills there," says L. S. Beveridge, of Medford, cousin of ex-Senator Beveridge, of Indiana, who is in Portland for a few days, "and everything along the coast here is in fine condition for the Republicans."

Mr. Beveridge reports that Mr. Booth's chances are good in Jackson County. He also said that Dr. Smith was not as strong in Jackson County as the Democrats would have one think and that Hawley will carry the county big.

Walter L. Tooze, M. of Salem, in a letter to Chairman Moore, of the Republican State Central Committee, has the following to say about conditions in Marion County: "Withycombe will carry Marion County by from 3000 to 5000 votes, and at least 28 counties of Oregon. Booth

is getting stronger every day at Salem and will win. Chamberlain makes is pretty scarce. Smith for Governor is hardly spoken of in Salem."

"Senator Booth and the entire ticket is good in Marion for a head of at least 3000," writes R. O. Glover, of that city, to Republican state headquarters. "It would not be surprising if Hanley came pretty close to Chamberlain here."

F. M. Brown, of Brownsville, in writing to state headquarters, says: "Everything continues to look encouraging. Democrats are making no headway in Lin that I can locate."

Much encouragement continues to come to Thomas M. Hurlbut, Republican nominee for Sheriff. Women voters in all parts of the county are giving their personal testimony of their esteem for him and of their intention of supporting him at the polls next Tuesday. The following letter received yesterday is typical of scores of others: "346 Gladstone street, Portland, Oct. 28.—Thomas M. Hurlbut—Dear Sir: I am a Democrat, but hope you will soon be engaged in restoring dignity in the Sheriff's office of Multnomah County. I know you are a well-equipped executive as Portland's City Engineer, and made bad clerks into good ones. I am glad to have the opportunity to vote for you Sheriff of Multnomah County, and I know hundreds of other Democrats wo-

men are of the same opinion. Yours cordially, "MARGARET REESE HOGE."

"I have just completed a tour of Tillamook County," he said, "and have found a strong Republican sentiment everywhere. This is particularly true among farmers and laboring men."

"Tillamook always has been the same and I look for it to repeat its Republican majorities this year."

Elaborate preparations are being made by the Republicans for Clatsop County for the closing rally of the campaign at Astoria tomorrow night. R. A. Booth and Representative Hawley will be the principal speakers. The meeting will be held in the Astoria Theater and a big attendance is expected. Republicans will gather from Seaside, Warrenton and other Clatsop County points. A brass band will furnish the music.

"We expect to win the political world's record," writes J. T. Jeffries, manager of the celebration. "Next Tuesday the Republicans of Oregon will knock the ball over the fence into the center field bleachers beyond the reach of the Democratic fielders."

H. J. Bellers, committeeman in Precinct 144, after completing a canvass of his precinct, reports to the county committee that "everything looks fine."

MONTH'S RECORD GOOD

OCTOBER EXPORTS SHOW MARKED GAIN OVER SAME PERIOD IN 1913.

Livestock Receipts Heavier Than Year Corresponding Time Last Year and Postal Business Greater.

Notwithstanding the effects of the European war and the political campaign, Portland's business activity for the month just closed was fairly creditable.

The particularly bright spot in the month's record was the substantial gain in exports. The value of exports reached a total of \$2,175,180, compared with \$1,767,658 for October, 1913. The largest gain was in foreign wheat shipments, which aggregated 1,730,636 bushels, or 438,960 bushels in excess of wheat exports for the same month last year. Flour and lumber exports were considerably less than in October last year.

Livestock receipts made an excellent showing, with a gain of 7485 head over the run in the corresponding month last year. The increase was noted chiefly in hog and sheep receipts. There was a slight falling off in cattle

receipts. A total of 57,205 cattle, calves, hogs and sheep were received in Portland.

Wheat receipts reached a total of 2,888,090 bushels, compared with 3,205,800 bushels in October, 1913. Lack of dock facilities and the tendency of the farmers to hold for still higher prices contributed to the decrease, but as a large amount of wheat remains in the interior it is expected that there will be a steady grain movement to Portland for several weeks to come.

Postal receipts for October show a gain of approximately \$12,000 over October, 1913. The total receipts for the month were about \$116,000.

Bank clearings for the month totaled \$52,130,616.54, compared with \$48,727,569.15 in October, 1913. Building permits amounted to \$509,555, a small decrease from the building record for the same month a year ago.

One well-known man about town bet \$1000 to \$900 on McArthur against the field, and another bet \$500 to \$400 on McArthur against Fiegel, Democrat. There were several smaller bets, with McArthur as the favorite. Two well-known politicians, who concede first place to McArthur, made bets of \$50 each on Fiegel and Lafferty, respectively, for second place.

STOPS HEADACHE, PAIN, NEURALGIA

Don't Suffer! Get a Dime Package of Dr. James' Headache Powders.

You can clear your head and relieve a dull, splitting or violent throbbing headache in a moment with a Dr. James' Headache Powder. This old-time headache relief acts almost magically. Send some one to the drugstore now for a dime package and a few moments after you take a powder you will wonder what became of the headache, neuralgia and pain. Stop suffering—it's needless. Be sure you get what you ask for. Adv.

BETS MADE ON M'ARTHUR

Thousand to \$900 Wagered on Republican Against the Field.

A number of bets on the outcome of the Congressional race in the Third or Portland District were made around downtown cigar stores and hotels last night and in every instance C. N. McArthur, Republican, was the favorite.