

DIRECTORS BLAMED FOR WRECK OF BANK

Grand Jury Wrestling With Report on Oregon Trust & Savings by Experts.

SHOCKING CONDITION FOUND

Tangled Books—Reveal Juggling and Shortages—Permission to Bring Suit Against Directors Is Petitioned From Court.

The grand jury, now in session at the office of District Attorney Cameron, yesterday started an investigation of the wrecking of the Oregon Trust & Savings Bank with the intention of returning indictments against the directors of the institution if criminal negligence or criminal intent were apparent in the transactions which led up to its failure.

This investigation for the present will be confined to the question of the report of the experts, who have after many weeks of work made an analysis of the bank's books and in the announcement of their findings subject the directors of the institution to a terrible arraignment, directly attributing to them the wrecking of the bank.

This report, which represents an examination of the books of the bank during its entire career, comprises a book of 80 pages, and includes both the expose in figures of all questionable deals and the comment of John Richardson, chief expert, who had the work in charge. It is believed it will take a number of days for the jury to arrive at a clear understanding of the mass of figures comprising the report, and then witnesses, some of them the various directors themselves, if they care to appear, will be asked to testify before the inquisitorial body.

From the deductions apparent in the charges preferred in the official report of the public accountants, it is prophesied indictments are sure to follow.

The jury received the report, but before it adjourned was able to give it only a cursory perusal. It set 10 o'clock Monday morning as the next hour for convening, when the bank investigation will again be taken up.

All Deals Set Forth.

This report sets forth in detail an analysis of all deals between the bank and the order of Washington, Lafe Pence, Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company, John Barrett Company, Board of Trade Building Company, Washington Railway & Power Company, Fullman Auto Car Company, Pacific & Eastern Railroad and others, the combined losses of which caused the bank to close its doors and refuse payment to its depositors. It backs up with figures and the records of the bank's books the charges that W. H. Moore, H. A. Moore, W. Cooper Morris, E. E. Lyle and Leo Friele, who were the directors of the bank on the date it failed, must have been fully cognizant of the juggling of the depositors' money and the forging of reasons for the books to cover up the trail of the high financiers. The report shows within all bounds of reason that these directors, or some of them, deliberately used the bank's funds for their personal speculations.

All of these directors have signified their willingness to stand investigation except W. H. Moore, who has fled from the city for several weeks and who is reported to be in Canada. His brother, H. A. Moore, has not been able to learn when he intends to return.

About the same time the grand jury began its investigation yesterday it became known that on Friday Attorney A. E. Clarke, on behalf of the receiver, Thomas C. Devlin, of the Oregon Trust & Savings Bank, had informally filed a petition with Judge Gantenbein, seeking permission to bring suits against those who were directors of the bank at the time it closed and also against W. H. Copeland, L. O. Ralston and Albert T. Smith, who had been directors during some earlier periods of the bank's career, to account for the numerous shortages. Requests were also made for the return of the books, which were sent from the city for several weeks and who is reported to be in Canada. His brother, H. A. Moore, has not been able to learn when he intends to return.

Attack Made on Directorate.

Thus the men concerned in the mismanagement and in the high finance of this institution were made the center of a vigorous attack yesterday. The petition was presented to Judge Gantenbein because the bank, in the hands of a receiver appointed by Judge Gantenbein and no action can be taken without his consent. The reason for the suits against the directors at this time is that according to the order of the court made in February, the receiver has to close the affairs of the bank by February 11, 1910.

There are nearly 1000 depositors of the bank remaining whose claims have not yet been settled because the receiver has been unable to recover the cash assets of the bank, one of the principal assets being the money wrongfully used and never returned. What decision will be reached by Judge Gantenbein to these requests for suits is not yet known. One of the conditions under which the German-American Bank took charge of the bank wreck was that it should have the right and power to enforce the collection of all claims, hence it is not believed Judge Gantenbein will decide in opposition to the first order. The wording of this clause, which forms a part of the contract by which the German-American Bank stood guarantor for the claims against the wrecked bank follows:

The German-American Bank becomes purchaser of all assets of the Oregon Trust & Savings Bank, including the real estate, interest in bank stock therein, and any or all property or properties sold or conveyed by any person or persons to the said Oregon Trust & Savings Bank and to the receiver for the purpose of assisting in its liquidation or otherwise, it being intended to comprise and embrace all assets of every character and nature of the said Oregon Trust & Savings Bank or its receiver, with the same right and power to enforce the collection for the benefit of the German-American Bank of all claims in action and to the premises where the bank and its nature with the same right which the Oregon Trust & Savings Bank would have had in the event that it had not gone into the hands of a receiver.

The text of the report rendered by the firm of Lester, Herriek & Herriek follows:

Introduction.

Our instructions were to make a complete investigation as possible from the beginning of business to the close thereof, on August 25, 1909. We have as-

ted an open account in the same sum, of \$25,000. We understand that the delivery of these bonds was never made, which resulted in a loss to the bank of the same in the sum of \$25,000 and the Board of Trade Building account was overdrawn on August 20, 1907, by the amount of \$25,000, making a total loss to the bank at that time of \$50,000, which has been reduced subsequent thereto by a settlement of \$25,000, as effected by the receiver by an order of court.

Pacific & Eastern Railway Company.

Bond Transaction.

We have presented this statement in order to show the entries covering the purchase of Pacific & Eastern Railway Company bonds to the value of \$100,000.

The first apparent purchase of these bonds was made on Jan. 22, 1907, \$41,250 being taken into the bonds and warrant account as a charge, while a certificate or deposit of the Latah State Bank in the amount of \$41,250 was charged to the Latah State Bank on open account. In payment of these securities, the bank issued, on the same date, call certificates of deposit, in the sum of \$41,250, to cashiers' check for \$100,000. Subsequently, on June 7, 1907, the bonds and warrants account appearing in an amount of \$25,000, representing an additional purchase of these bonds and the Pacific & Eastern Railway Company credited on open account by the same amount, \$25,000.

The loan and discount account on August 20, 1907, shows an aggregate asset of \$12,241.71. The notes are turned over to Receiver Devlin amounting to \$24,477.20, the difference being an apparent shortage in notes of \$12,235.49 unaccounted for.

General Remarks.

In connection with the preceding detailed findings of our investigation, we have to report that we found that the accounts had been made in a most precarious manner. The books and vouchers were in the utmost confusion, they displayed slovenliness and negligence to an almost unsurpassed degree, and from the consistent omission of all necessary entries, the fact that the books were never reduced, at any time, to a balance sheet form.

Comparative Trial Balance, December 14-15, 1906.

This comparative statement is presented to show the numerous delinquent entries that were made in the accounts on December 15, 1906. It was upon this date that we found that the officials of the bank increased the bonds and warrants account by an amount of \$25,000, which had been received from the National Securities Sound Home Telephone Company bonds.

As an offset to this charge to bonds and warrants of the stock of the Home Telephone Company, there was credited to the loan and discount account an amount of \$25,000, covering an apparent note of W. H. Moore for \$25,000. There also appears a cash shortage on this date of \$25,000. On this particular date, December 15, 1906, the loan and discount account also charged with a note of E. E. Lyle in the sum of \$25,000, though said note was dated October 18, 1906, which is also the date of the stock certificate issued to Mr. Lyle. As an offset to this Lyle charge to loan and discount account, there appears a credit to the same account in the sum of \$25,000, presumably representing the withdrawal of an apparent note of W. C. Morris for \$25,000. There also appears in the accounts on this date a charge to the loan and discount account in the sum of \$25,000, the contra credit being in the sum of \$25,000. In other words, the charge to loan and discount account in the sum of \$25,000, as noted, and the contra credit to surplus account, appear to be fictitious entries and represent a deliberate falsification of the accounts.

In order to present, in an intelligent manner, the falsifications above, we present the entries in the following journal entry form:

	Dr.	Cr.
Bonds and warrants (P. S. Home Tel. Co. stock).....	50,000	
Loans and discounts (no data).....	50,000	
Loans and discounts (E. E. Lyle, note).....	25,000	
Loans and discounts (W. H. Moore, note).....	25,000	
Loans and discounts (W. C. Morris, note).....	25,000	
Loans and discounts (W. H. Moore, note).....	25,000	
Loans and discounts (W. C. Morris, note).....	25,000	
Surplus.....		25,000
Cash (shortage).....		25,000
Totals.....	\$175,000	\$175,000

Comparative Trial Balance, July 24-25, 1907.

We are presenting this comparative statement showing the increase and decrease in the accounts during these two days, for the purpose of presenting the manner in which the bonds and warrants account was increased by an amount of \$25,000, representing additional Puget Sound Home Telephone Company stock bonds, which had been received in the purchase of bonds from the National Securities Sound Home Telephone Company. This stock bonus, in the sum of \$25,000, was taken into the bonds and warrants account by a credit to the loans and discounts account in the sum of \$25,000, representing the aggregate total of certain notes due the bank by the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company. There was also a charge to the loan and discount account of \$25,000 in order to balance the entry. In order to show more clearly how this matter was accounted, we present the following journal entry, showing the transactions:

	Dr.	Cr.
Bonds and warrants (P. S. Home Tel. Co. stock).....	25,000	
Loans and discounts (Golden Eagle Dry Goods Co. notes).....	25,000	
Interest and discount (arbitrary entry).....		25,000
Totals.....	\$50,000	\$50,000

The entries in the accounts for December 15, are not only incorrect in theory, in that the stock was received by the bank as a bonus well in advance of the date of purchase, but also in the fact that the value of the bonds taken into the accounts at the date of purchase, but the entries are used to extinguish either the indebtedness of certain officials and stockholders of the bank on account of loans evidenced by a note, account, or to extinguish certain negligent loans made to the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company.

We have not expatiated at any great length upon the delinquent entries in the accounts in the statement of December 15, 1906, as we consider the statements speak for themselves.

The bonds and warrant account on August 20, 1907, shows an aggregate asset of \$24,477.20. The notes are turned over to Receiver Devlin amounting to \$1,081,995, the difference being an apparent shortage unaccounted for of \$28,517.80.

Stock certificates were issued with no notation on the stubs thereof as to the original issue and the stock books were in such condition that it was impossible to prepare a full list of stockholders at the date the bank closed its doors. From a close scrutiny of the stubs of the certificates books, we have noted the following marks thereon that there must have been a stock ledger, journal and certificate book maintained by the bank, formed by the receiver that he has no knowledge of ever having received such records and that they were either removed by the old officials of the bank or were destroyed by them. The certificate books show that the issuer of certain certificates of the capital stock of the bank was a mere name. We could find no minute book covering the meetings of the directors and stockholders.

Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company—Indebtedness.

A reference to this schedule will show the specific loans made to divers times to the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company, the said notes being given for overdrafts. The sum total of this indebtedness to the bank closed its doors was apparently \$25,000. Since that date the receiver has effected certain payments and settlements in the amount of \$2,291.16 and the apparent loss to the bank in a result of the transactions with the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company is \$22,708.84.

Order of Washington—Unpaid Loans.

This statement is presented in order to show the apparent unpaid loans in the total sum of \$42,283.32. This total includes the notes presented in the receiver's report of \$22,645, and we have deemed it advisable to add thereto certain notes aggregating \$19,638.32 which are evidenced by the loan register as having been unpaid on August 20, 1907, but which were apparently not turned over to the receiver at that time and of which he has no knowledge.

Board of Trade Building, Bond Transaction.

This statement practically speaks for itself. It is mainly presented in order to show the resultant loss of \$42,283.32 to the bank as a result of this transaction. The original entry appears in the accounts of the bank under date of June 4, 1907, in which the bond and warrant account is charged with an amount of \$20,000 apparently representing the delivery of bonds of the Board of Trade Building Company, while this company was cred-

ited an open account in the same sum, of \$25,000. We understand that the delivery of these bonds was never made, which resulted in a loss to the bank of the same in the sum of \$25,000 and the Board of Trade Building account was overdrawn on August 20, 1907, by the amount of \$25,000, making a total loss to the bank at that time of \$50,000, which has been reduced subsequent thereto by a settlement of \$25,000, as effected by the receiver by an order of court.

Pacific & Eastern Railway Company.

Bond Transaction.

We have presented this statement in order to show the entries covering the purchase of Pacific & Eastern Railway Company bonds to the value of \$100,000.

The first apparent purchase of these bonds was made on Jan. 22, 1907, \$41,250 being taken into the bonds and warrant account as a charge, while a certificate or deposit of the Latah State Bank in the amount of \$41,250 was charged to the Latah State Bank on open account. In payment of these securities, the bank issued, on the same date, call certificates of deposit, in the sum of \$41,250, to cashiers' check for \$100,000. Subsequently, on June 7, 1907, the bonds and warrants account appearing in an amount of \$25,000, representing an additional purchase of these bonds and the Pacific & Eastern Railway Company credited on open account by the same amount, \$25,000.

The loan and discount account on August 20, 1907, shows an aggregate asset of \$12,241.71. The notes are turned over to Receiver Devlin amounting to \$24,477.20, the difference being an apparent shortage in notes of \$12,235.49 unaccounted for.

General Remarks.

In connection with the preceding detailed findings of our investigation, we have to report that we found that the accounts had been made in a most precarious manner. The books and vouchers were in the utmost confusion, they displayed slovenliness and negligence to an almost unsurpassed degree, and from the consistent omission of all necessary entries, the fact that the books were never reduced, at any time, to a balance sheet form.

Comparative Trial Balance, December 14-15, 1906.

This comparative statement is presented to show the numerous delinquent entries that were made in the accounts on December 15, 1906. It was upon this date that we found that the officials of the bank increased the bonds and warrants account by an amount of \$25,000, which had been received from the National Securities Sound Home Telephone Company bonds.

As an offset to this charge to bonds and warrants of the stock of the Home Telephone Company, there was credited to the loan and discount account an amount of \$25,000, covering an apparent note of W. H. Moore for \$25,000. There also appears a cash shortage on this date of \$25,000. On this particular date, December 15, 1906, the loan and discount account also charged with a note of E. E. Lyle in the sum of \$25,000, though said note was dated October 18, 1906, which is also the date of the stock certificate issued to Mr. Lyle. As an offset to this Lyle charge to loan and discount account, there appears a credit to the same account in the sum of \$25,000, presumably representing the withdrawal of an apparent note of W. C. Morris for \$25,000. There also appears in the accounts on this date a charge to the loan and discount account in the sum of \$25,000, the contra credit being in the sum of \$25,000. In other words, the charge to loan and discount account in the sum of \$25,000, as noted, and the contra credit to surplus account, appear to be fictitious entries and represent a deliberate falsification of the accounts.

In order to present, in an intelligent manner, the falsifications above, we present the entries in the following journal entry form:

Bonds and warrants (P. S. Home Tel. Co. stock).....

Loans and discounts (no data).....

Loans and discounts (E. E. Lyle, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. H. Moore, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. C. Morris, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. H. Moore, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. C. Morris, note).....

Surplus.....

Cash (shortage).....

Totals.....

Comparative Trial Balance, July 24-25, 1907.

We are presenting this comparative statement showing the increase and decrease in the accounts during these two days, for the purpose of presenting the manner in which the bonds and warrants account was increased by an amount of \$25,000, representing additional Puget Sound Home Telephone Company stock bonds, which had been received in the purchase of bonds from the National Securities Sound Home Telephone Company. This stock bonus, in the sum of \$25,000, was taken into the bonds and warrants account by a credit to the loans and discounts account in the sum of \$25,000, representing the aggregate total of certain notes due the bank by the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company. There was also a charge to the loan and discount account of \$25,000 in order to balance the entry. In order to show more clearly how this matter was accounted, we present the following journal entry, showing the transactions:

Bonds and warrants (P. S. Home Tel. Co. stock).....

Loans and discounts (Golden Eagle Dry Goods Co. notes).....

Interest and discount (arbitrary entry).....

Totals.....

The entries in the accounts for December 15, are not only incorrect in theory, in that the stock was received by the bank as a bonus well in advance of the date of purchase, but also in the fact that the value of the bonds taken into the accounts at the date of purchase, but the entries are used to extinguish either the indebtedness of certain officials and stockholders of the bank on account of loans evidenced by a note, account, or to extinguish certain negligent loans made to the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company.

We have not expatiated at any great length upon the delinquent entries in the accounts in the statement of December 15, 1906, as we consider the statements speak for themselves.

The bonds and warrant account on August 20, 1907, shows an aggregate asset of \$24,477.20. The notes are turned over to Receiver Devlin amounting to \$1,081,995, the difference being an apparent shortage unaccounted for of \$28,517.80.

Stock certificates were issued with no notation on the stubs thereof as to the original issue and the stock books were in such condition that it was impossible to prepare a full list of stockholders at the date the bank closed its doors. From a close scrutiny of the stubs of the certificates books, we have noted the following marks thereon that there must have been a stock ledger, journal and certificate book maintained by the bank, formed by the receiver that he has no knowledge of ever having received such records and that they were either removed by the old officials of the bank or were destroyed by them. The certificate books show that the issuer of certain certificates of the capital stock of the bank was a mere name. We could find no minute book covering the meetings of the directors and stockholders.

Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company—Indebtedness.

A reference to this schedule will show the specific loans made to divers times to the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company, the said notes being given for overdrafts. The sum total of this indebtedness to the bank closed its doors was apparently \$25,000. Since that date the receiver has effected certain payments and settlements in the amount of \$2,291.16 and the apparent loss to the bank in a result of the transactions with the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company is \$22,708.84.

Order of Washington—Unpaid Loans.

This statement is presented in order to show the apparent unpaid loans in the total sum of \$42,283.32. This total includes the notes presented in the receiver's report of \$22,645, and we have deemed it advisable to add thereto certain notes aggregating \$19,638.32 which are evidenced by the loan register as having been unpaid on August 20, 1907, but which were apparently not turned over to the receiver at that time and of which he has no knowledge.

Board of Trade Building, Bond Transaction.

This statement practically speaks for itself. It is mainly presented in order to show the resultant loss of \$42,283.32 to the bank as a result of this transaction. The original entry appears in the accounts of the bank under date of June 4, 1907, in which the bond and warrant account is charged with an amount of \$20,000 apparently representing the delivery of bonds of the Board of Trade Building Company, while this company was cred-

ited an open account in the same sum, of \$25,000. We understand that the delivery of these bonds was never made, which resulted in a loss to the bank of the same in the sum of \$25,000 and the Board of Trade Building account was overdrawn on August 20, 1907, by the amount of \$25,000, making a total loss to the bank at that time of \$50,000, which has been reduced subsequent thereto by a settlement of \$25,000, as effected by the receiver by an order of court.

Pacific & Eastern Railway Company.

Bond Transaction.

We have presented this statement in order to show the entries covering the purchase of Pacific & Eastern Railway Company bonds to the value of \$100,000.

The first apparent purchase of these bonds was made on Jan. 22, 1907, \$41,250 being taken into the bonds and warrant account as a charge, while a certificate or deposit of the Latah State Bank in the amount of \$41,250 was charged to the Latah State Bank on open account. In payment of these securities, the bank issued, on the same date, call certificates of deposit, in the sum of \$41,250, to cashiers' check for \$100,000. Subsequently, on June 7, 1907, the bonds and warrants account appearing in an amount of \$25,000, representing an additional purchase of these bonds and the Pacific & Eastern Railway Company credited on open account by the same amount, \$25,000.

The loan and discount account on August 20, 1907, shows an aggregate asset of \$12,241.71. The notes are turned over to Receiver Devlin amounting to \$24,477.20, the difference being an apparent shortage in notes of \$12,235.49 unaccounted for.

General Remarks.

In connection with the preceding detailed findings of our investigation, we have to report that we found that the accounts had been made in a most precarious manner. The books and vouchers were in the utmost confusion, they displayed slovenliness and negligence to an almost unsurpassed degree, and from the consistent omission of all necessary entries, the fact that the books were never reduced, at any time, to a balance sheet form.

Comparative Trial Balance, December 14-15, 1906.

This comparative statement is presented to show the numerous delinquent entries that were made in the accounts on December 15, 1906. It was upon this date that we found that the officials of the bank increased the bonds and warrants account by an amount of \$25,000, which had been received from the National Securities Sound Home Telephone Company bonds.

As an offset to this charge to bonds and warrants of the stock of the Home Telephone Company, there was credited to the loan and discount account an amount of \$25,000, covering an apparent note of W. H. Moore for \$25,000. There also appears a cash shortage on this date of \$25,000. On this particular date, December 15, 1906, the loan and discount account also charged with a note of E. E. Lyle in the sum of \$25,000, though said note was dated October 18, 1906, which is also the date of the stock certificate issued to Mr. Lyle. As an offset to this Lyle charge to loan and discount account, there appears a credit to the same account in the sum of \$25,000, presumably representing the withdrawal of an apparent note of W. C. Morris for \$25,000. There also appears in the accounts on this date a charge to the loan and discount account in the sum of \$25,000, the contra credit being in the sum of \$25,000. In other words, the charge to loan and discount account in the sum of \$25,000, as noted, and the contra credit to surplus account, appear to be fictitious entries and represent a deliberate falsification of the accounts.

In order to present, in an intelligent manner, the falsifications above, we present the entries in the following journal entry form:

Bonds and warrants (P. S. Home Tel. Co. stock).....

Loans and discounts (no data).....

Loans and discounts (E. E. Lyle, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. H. Moore, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. C. Morris, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. H. Moore, note).....

Loans and discounts (W. C. Morris, note).....

Surplus.....

Cash (shortage).....

Totals.....

Comparative Trial Balance, July 24-25, 1907.

We are presenting this comparative statement showing the increase and decrease in the accounts during these two days, for the purpose of presenting the manner in which the bonds and warrants account was increased by an amount of \$25,000, representing additional Puget Sound Home Telephone Company stock bonds, which had been received in the purchase of bonds from the National Securities Sound Home Telephone Company. This stock bonus, in the sum of \$25,000, was taken into the bonds and warrants account by a credit to the loans and discounts account in the sum of \$25,000, representing the aggregate total of certain notes due the bank by the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company. There was also a charge to the loan and discount account of \$25,000 in order to balance the entry. In order to show more clearly how this matter was accounted, we present the following journal entry, showing the transactions:

Bonds and warrants (P. S. Home Tel. Co. stock).....

Loans and discounts (Golden Eagle Dry Goods Co. notes).....

Interest and discount (arbitrary entry).....

Totals.....

The entries in the accounts for December 15, are not only incorrect in theory, in that the stock was received by the bank as a bonus well in advance of the date of purchase, but also in the fact that the value of the bonds taken into the accounts at the date of purchase, but the entries are used to extinguish either the indebtedness of certain officials and stockholders of the bank on account of loans evidenced by a note, account, or to extinguish certain negligent loans made to the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company.

We have not expatiated at any great length upon the delinquent entries in the accounts in the statement of December 15, 1906, as we consider the statements speak for themselves.

The bonds and warrant account on August 20, 1907, shows an aggregate asset of \$24,477.20. The notes are turned over to Receiver Devlin amounting to \$1,081,995, the difference being an apparent shortage unaccounted for of \$28,517.80.

Stock certificates were issued with no notation on the stubs thereof as to the original issue and the stock books were in such condition that it was impossible to prepare a full list of stockholders at the date the bank closed its doors. From a close scrutiny of the stubs of the certificates books, we have noted the following marks thereon that there must have been a stock ledger, journal and certificate book maintained by the bank, formed by the receiver that he has no knowledge of ever having received such records and that they were either removed by the old officials of the bank or were destroyed by them. The certificate books show that the issuer of certain certificates of the capital stock of the bank was a mere name. We could find no minute book covering the meetings of the directors and stockholders.

Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company—Indebtedness.

A reference to this schedule will show the specific loans made to divers times to the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company, the said notes being given for overdrafts. The sum total of this indebtedness to the bank closed its doors was apparently \$25,000. Since that date the receiver has effected certain payments and settlements in the amount of \$2,291.16 and the apparent loss to the bank in a result of the transactions with the Golden Eagle Dry Goods Company is \$22,708.84.

Order of Washington—Unpaid Loans.

This statement is presented in order to show the apparent unpaid loans in the total sum of \$42,283.32. This total includes the notes presented in the receiver's report of \$22,645, and we have deemed it advisable to add thereto certain notes aggregating \$19,638.32 which are evidenced by the loan register as having been unpaid on August 20, 1907, but which were apparently not turned over to the receiver at that time and of which he has no knowledge.