

Women's Fancy Vests, Applique Yokes
REAL 75c VALUES
 For this sale we have secured a very attractive line of women's fine silk and French lisle Undervests, made sleeveless style and finished with applique-trimmed yokes in a number of pleasing styles. Fine, high-grade, perfect-fitting vests that were made to sell regularly at 75c, sp'cl. **50c**

The Most in Value, The Best in Quality

Roberts Bros.
 THIRD AND MORRISON

Mail Orders Receive Our Prompt Attention

Swiss Messaline at 59c Yard
BEST 75c GRADE
 A very special offering of 19-inch Swiss Messaline Silks, beautiful, rich fabrics, guaranteed all pure silk, shown in over 60 shades, including cream and black. Other stores are advertising these silks at special value at 75c a yard, **59c** our price at this sale only.

SECOND WEEK OF OUR ANNUAL JUNE SALE

Men's Underwear 79c
BEST \$1.00 VALUE



A sale of men's fine cashmere Shirts and Drawers, made of very lightweight material in natural gray; the shirts are made with fine ribbed cuffs and self-faced front, drawers have suspender straps and good pearl buttons. The very best \$1.00 value, priced for this sale at **79c**

Men's \$1.00 Sateen Shirts at 49c

A special offering of men's sateen Shirts, made of extra good quality sateen, with soft turndown collar that buttons down, all seams felled. These shirts are cut and made to fit; they come in plain gray only. Best \$1.00 value. Being slightly soiled, they will sell at exactly half price, tomorrow only **49c**

Men's Half Hose at 17 Cents
BEST 25c VALUE

A sale of men's fine fancy Half Hose, made of excellent quality cotton, fine ribbed top; they are made full fashioned and come in colors blue, gray or tan, with fancy stripes; absolutely fast colors. The very best 25c value, specially priced for tomorrow at **17c**

Men's Golf Shirts at 69 Cents
VALUES TO \$1.00

A showing of men's Golf Shirts, made with attached cuffs, in plain or full pleated bosom; also with fine silk bosom, in blue, gray and tan, with assorted colors in stripes; they come in all sizes, and are good values to \$1.00, special for this sale tomorrow at **69c**

Thousands of Yards of Fine Embroideries
AT WONDERFULLY LOW PRICES

A great factory purchase sale of thousands of yards of beautiful new Embroideries, consisting of a full showing of Flouncings, Corset Cover, Shirtwaist, Allover Embroideries and Edgings, in the most desired new patterns. We promise for this sale greater values than ever before. These items and prices tell the whole story:

EMBROIDERIES AT 12 1/2c

A nice assortment of pretty Embroidery, with insertion to match, embroidered on best grade swiss and nainsook; they come full 3 to 10 inches wide, and are all choice patterns and designs. Regular 25c and 30c grade.

EMBROIDERIES AT 23c YARD

A special offering of pretty Embroideries, 18-inch Flouncing and Corset Cover Embroidery, also 4 to 10-inch Bands and Insertions. Regular 45c to 75c values.

EMBROIDERIES AT 40c

An extra special offering of pretty Embroideries, full 50 inches wide, with embroidered edges, full 18 inches deep. These Embroideries are slightly soiled and mused from handling, but are very choice. Your choice while they last, Monday and Tuesday, **40c**.

Every Offer An Out-of-the-Ordinary Value

Rose Festival Week of June 7th to 12th, 1909
SEE PORTLAND, "The Rose City," in her Festival week. The wonderful roses of Oregon and the art of man will combine to make this city the most beautiful and attractive place in all the world for those who visit us during our Carnival days.

Welcome, Visitors! Thrice Welcome!!!

Come in any day and we will show how considerate we can be of you, and will show you how we have popularized this store. You will find that we always carry the goods we advertise and at the prices mentioned. We know what painstaking care is required to build up and maintain an enviable standing. We know that our friends from out of town will visit us this week, and we extend a cordial invitation to all at home or abroad to visit us, for we have many things that will interest you.

Suit Excellence \$18.50
\$27.50 Values at \$18.50



See this magnificent line of Suits. They are such phenomenal values for the money that you will find them simply irresistible. Every Suit new within the last thirty days. Made of fine quality serge, in new tones of brown, blue, tan, gray, cream and other colors. Several unusual smart styles; strictly tailored effects. Coats are medium and three-quarter length, with collars and cuffs of same fabric; silk cotelle cord or satin, to harmonize; lined with finest quality satin; Gored skirts, plain or with buttons. Can you match them **\$18.50** elsewhere for less than \$27.50?

Wash Suits, \$4.50 Value at \$2.95

Wash Suits and Dresses of all descriptions; Tailored Suits, Princess Dresses and Jumper Suits of various materials. A fair example you will find in a Jumper Suit of finest quality French percale in the natural linen color, with blue, brown and green figures; daintily finished with trimmings to match. One of the prettiest Jumper Suits we have yet shown; \$4.50 value at **\$2.95**

Waists at \$1.25

This nominal sum will buy an elegant Waist—a Waist of any description you may desire. Made of dimity, rep, lawn and gingham, plain colors, stripes and crossbars; any style, from plain tailored effects to the lingerie Waist of fine lawn with elaborately embroidered fronts and finished with lace, insertion, etc. \$1.50 to **\$1.25** values

Rose City Cr'm Serge

We have secured the exclusive agency for the celebrated **Rose City Cream Serge**, warranted to be the finest serge in the American market. They are made of the finest yarns, thoroughly scoured, absolutely free from specks and imperfections so common in ordinary serges. Rose City Serge comes in a splendid weight, suitable for fine tailored suits and separate garments, has a perfect finish, and cannot be equaled anywhere in quality, is 46 inches wide, and is **\$1.00** specially priced for this sale at.

Black Peau de Cygne

A sale of yard-wide black Peau de Cygne Silks, made in France from fine selected yarn; comes in medium weight, in a perfect black, with a beautiful lustrous finish. It's a silk that will give satisfaction in every respect. Regular \$1.75 grade, specially priced for this sale at **\$1.25**
 A comparison in quality and price with other silk offerings is solicited.

Extraordinary Bargains in Shoes and Oxfords



\$1.50 Oxfords at \$1.19

This lot consists of women's Oxfords in tan, black and tan; little gent's Shoes, made solid all through; misses' Barefoot Sandals, cut from one piece, in tan and oxblood. All are \$1.50 value, and all **\$1.19** are on sale at.

Women's Oxfords, Colonial and Pumps in all styles and leathers, **\$2.50** \$3.50 kinds.

\$2.00 Oxfords at \$1.49

Tomorrow you have choice of children's, misses', little gents' and misses' new Spring-style Oxfords in tan, black and unfinished calfskin; they come in all sizes and widths. Regular \$2.00 value, on sale at **\$1.49**
 Women's Oxfords in new styles, in vicid kid, in black and tan, \$2.50 value, at **\$1.99**
 Children's Shoes in all sizes up to 2, also Barefoot Sandals, \$1.50 value, at **99c**

Fancy Collars
at Special Prices

A sale of fancy embroidered stiff Collars, shown in new styles and patterns, at specially reduced price.

20c kinds priced at **15c**

25c kinds priced at **18c**

35c kinds priced at **23c**

25c Dutch Collars, Tabs and Stocks on sale at **15c**



Taffeta Ribbons
Suitable for Hair Bows and Millinery Purposes, at Special Prices

At this sale you have choice of three qualities, shown in all the new plain shades and black and white. Ribbons that are bright, fresh and new, and fully worth regular prices.

Regular 25c plain Taffeta Ribbons on sale at **15c**
 Regular 35c plain Taffeta Ribbons on sale at **25c**
 Regular 40c plain Taffeta Ribbons on sale at **29c**

McFATRIDGE TO GO

Ballinger Adheres to Order for His Transfer.

HIS SALARY NOT REDUCED

Must Not Return to Umatilla, but Given Grand Ronde Agency With Same Pay—His Wife Excluded From Service.

OREGONIAN NEWS BUREAU, Washington, June 5.—Major E. McFatrige will not be permitted to return as Indian agent to Umatilla, but has accepted transfer to the Grand Ronde Indian agency, Oregon, succeeding Andrew Kershaw, who has resigned as agent. Secretary Ballinger, after reviewing both sides of the McFatrige case, decided this morning that Major McFatrige should be punished for his insubordination and decided to adhere to the punishment originally recommended by Commissioner Valentine.

In recognition of Major McFatrige's demonstrated ability, it was decided not to reduce his salary, but to pay him \$1000 at Grand Ronde, just what he received at Umatilla. His wife, however, cannot re-enter the service. Mr. Kershaw's salary at Grand Ronde was \$1200.

MRS. TAFT PLEADS FOR MAN

Persuades President to Commute Death Sentence of Alaskan.

OREGONIAN NEWS BUREAU, Washington, June 5.—Deputy Wickersham, of Alaska, today secured from President Taft commutation of sentence for a man named Perovitch, convicted at Fairbanks before Wickersham when he was Judge, on the charge of murder. The death penalty was changed to life sentence. The President was inclined not to interfere with the sentence imposed by Judge Wickersham, and only changed his view when appealed to by Mrs. Taft. Delegate Wickersham is preparing to introduce in the House a bill authorizing the Territorial Legislature in Alaska to be chosen like Legislatures in Arizona and New Mexico.

ESTATE FOR SALT LAKE CITY

Fortune of Sheldon Jackson to Go to Municipality on Death of Heirs.

OREGONIAN NEWS BUREAU, Washington, June 5.—The late Sheldon Jackson, of Alaska reindeer fame, in a will filed today leaves an estate of \$60,000 to his immediate family, but stipulates that on their death it shall go to the City of Salt Lake, where he was a missionary in early days.

son, of Alaska reindeer fame, in a will filed today leaves an estate of \$60,000 to his immediate family, but stipulates that on their death it shall go to the City of Salt Lake, where he was a missionary in early days.

Two Editions Issued Daily.

HOQUIAM, Wash., June 5.—(Special.)—Albert Johnson, formerly of Tacoma and Seattle, who purchased the Washingtonian from O. M. Moore, is issuing the Evening Washingtonian, in addition to the morning edition. It was erroneously stated that Mr. Johnson would publish only the evening edition.

PAYS TRIBUTE TO MAGOON

President Taft Recommends Cuban Work to Congress.

WASHINGTON, June 5.—President Taft today transmitted to Congress with a special message, the report of Charles E. Magoon, who served as Provisional Governor of Cuba during the last American occupation. The President takes occasion to warmly congratulate Governor Magoon and gives high praise to Major-General Thomas H. Barry, who was in command of the United States forces. The message says:

"I think it only proper to take this opportunity to say that the administration by Governor Magoon of the government of Cuba in 1908 involved many very difficult questions and required, on his part, the exercise of ability and tact of the highest order. It gives me much pleasure to note the credit due to Governor Magoon for his distinguished service.

"The army of Cuba pacification under Major-General Barry was of the utmost assistance in the preservation of the peace of the island and the maintenance of law and order without the slightest friction with the inhabitants, although the army was widely distributed through the six provinces and came into close contact with the people.

"I am glad to express the hope that the new government will grow in strength and self-sustaining capacity under the provision of the Cuba constitution."

SMITH SHUNS PUBLIC LIFE

Ex-Governor-General of Philippines Wants to Retire and Rest.

SEATTLE, Wash., June 5.—(Special.)—Governor-General Smith, of the Philippine Islands, who tendered his resignation to the President Taft, arrived here today on the steamer Albatross. He has been prominently mentioned as a prospective candidate for Mayor of San Francisco. "I would not consider being a candidate," said he, "and have left public life because I wanted to get out of the public office further, and think my services in the past should be sufficient."

COUNT HAS RECORD

"Beltino di Moise Padua" Arrested Twice in New York.

IN MARRIAGE TANGLE ALSO

"Italian Nobleman" Whom Miss Mabel Garwood Would Wed Shows Up Poorly When Under Investigation.

PARIS, June 5.—The heroine of a proposed marriage which the machinery of the State Department at Washington was put into motion to prevent yesterday is Miss Mabel Garwood, a white-haired woman, who gives New York as her home. She is now at the Continental Hotel here. The prospective bridegroom is Count Bettino di Moise Padua, whom Miss Garwood met in Egypt. The engagement was announced April 18. The intervention of the State Department was obtained through the Rev. John R. Quinn, of the Church of the Ascension, Washington, D. C., at the instance of Miss Garwood's sister, Miss Frances A. Garwood, of New York.

The State Department transmitted to Ambassador Reid, at London, and later to Ambassador White here, the results of its investigation into the record of the Count's life in New York, and of his activities in Italy, which were obtained by Baron Mayer des Planches, Italian Ambassador to the United States. According to this information, the Count is not an Italian nobleman, but an Austrian who practiced medicine for several years in New York with his brother. On the death of this brother, it was said, he contracted a union with his sister-in-law, but claimed four years ago he effected a settlement with her and that she returned to Austria. It was alleged that in the Count's residence in New York he was twice tried, once for selling medicine illegally, and once for malpractice, but was acquitted.

The wedding was set for yesterday, and a license had been obtained. According to Miss Garwood, the marriage was not broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had not been annulled. Miss Garwood says that the Count was antagonized at this broken off on account of the intervention of her sister, but by the Count himself when he received a letter from a brother in Austria who had learned of the prospective union, saying that his sister-in-law claimed that the bond between herself and the Count had