

DANCE ABOLITION HELD UNJUSTIFIED

Charges Not Substantiated,
Assert Directors.

NEW REPORT SUGGESTED

School Board to Consider Final
Statement of Ministers Tonight
and Take Action.

That the Methodist ministerial committee, and organizations allied with it in the fight against school dances, have failed to substantiate its charges against the school board, is a conclusion of the school board, which was published yesterday and is to be formally presented to the school board at its special session tonight, was in effect a refutation of the claim that the school board had been practicing at school dances, and a refusal to cite specific instances in support of this statement.

"The opponents of the school dances have proved nothing, to my way of thinking," said Director Clark. "They made certain definite charges, verbally, at the outset. These we asked them to put in writing so they might be answered, but they then submitted a general statement. The board then asked that specific instances of immorality and delinquency, as originally alleged, be put in evidence by Thursday, January 26."

Charges Held Not Proved.
"All I know about what they did on that feature was what I read in the Oregonian. It seems that they issued an 'open letter,' reiterating their general allegations, but submitting no concrete facts. I noted that the board was trying to dodge the issue by seeking definite cases; it is sheer nonsense for them to state, for how else may the members of the board arrive at a decision? To my mind, the charges made by the ministers and others have not been proved and I must say that, personally, I cannot at this time see any reason for the board to alter its policy toward these dances."

Asked as to the statement in the "open letter" concerning moral delinquency in Tillamook, which Mr. Clark has publicly stated was more than in Portland, Mr. Clark said he stands by what he said. The ministers, in their letter, quoted the chairman of the Tillamook board, as denying what Mr. Clark said.

"All I have to say is that my statement stands," said Mr. Clark. "They are no dancing in the Tillamook schools and as to their delinquency problem, I refer anyone to the school records. They are their own business."

Prof Found Lacking.
Director Newell, chairman of the board, said that the ministers had failed to show cause why the board should not permit dances in its school buildings. Opponents of such dances failed in their criticism, he contended, when they refused to support their charges by actual proof.

"The public should be informed," continued Director Newell, "that grade pupils as a rule do not attend dances, and the grade pupils in the community dances, and when grade pupils attend they come with their parents."

"Further, I maintain that the charge that seven-eighths of high school dances and many of those in the Tillamook community are 'properly chaperoned' is absolutely false."

That the law permits dancing in the public schools and that the objectors have failed to produce evidence in raising an order of discontinuance was the comment of Director Martin.

The statement of the ministers, in which they closed their case, he held, to be unworthy of the board's attention.

Director Woodward, the target of special fire from Dr. Clarence True Wilson, because of statements previously made by the director in an Oregonian interview, again declared that he had been correctly quoted, but that his critics had taken a single statement and isolated it from the context, thus distorting its meaning.

Abolition Held Unjustified.
"The use of a few lines was manifestly unfair because the context clearly showed my attitude and the attitude of every reasonable person who has the best interests of our schools at heart," said Director Woodward. "There is no doubt but that individual, sporadic cases wherein our children in public school dances have been open to criticism. The board may be asked of every form of organized recreation."

In no case that I know of have these departments been of such frequency or nature as to justify the abolition of the dance as a means toward enlarging the social life of the community in a proper way.

Only remedy available, he directed toward an appeal for closer co-operation and supervision on the part of individual parents, who he did not show a proper degree of interest.

"As for the statement of the objectors, issued as a final word, it is merely a reflection of those general accusations which are of no value to the school board. Had these gentlemen come before the board, quietly and enlisted our assistance in correcting irregularities we would have been glad to give it. We will be glad to co-operate with them and we are anxious to meet any constructive criticism with constructive action."

New Report Suggested.
Director Shull characterized the ministerial statement as "throwing up the sponge," adding that he believed the board should make a dispassionate statement of its attitude toward the school dances.

"I would suggest," he said, "that the superintendent furnish a new report on how dances should be conducted, after having conferred with the various principals. We must have a stricter, more definite programme, if we have been so far from the mark as we are and it takes steps to prevent its repetition. No one could be more earnest in this desire than the members of the board."

At the special session tonight the board will consider the final statement of the ministerial association and take such official action as it deems best.

Conference to Be Discussed.
Professor Frank S. Beisel of the Pacific theological seminary of the Lutheran church at Seattle will appear before the Portland Lutheran ministerial association next Monday afternoon at 2 o'clock in St. James church, Park and Jefferson streets, in the interest of the proposed Lutheran Bible conference. It is proposed to conduct a Bible conference for at least two weeks, with daily afternoon and evening sessions, at some central point, probably in St. James church, and the attempt will be made to bring about attendance from all of the Lutheran churches of Oregon and portions of Washington.

CHIEF OF THE SOUTHERN JURISDICTION SCOTTISH RITE MASONRY VISITS PORTLAND.



CAPTAIN JOHN H. COWLES OF LOUISVILLE, KY.

MASONIC CHIEF ARRIVES

SOVEREIGN GRAND COMMANDER VISITS OREGON.

Large Gathering of Scottish Rite Members Hear Address of Head of Supreme Council.

Masonry has never grown in all its years of history as it has since 1917, according to Captain John H. Cowles, sovereign grand commander of the supreme council southern jurisdiction of Scottish Rite Masons, who is in Portland and addressed a large gathering of the members of his fraternity at the cathedral, Fourteenth and Morrison streets.

"In 1917 there were 14,000 members of the Scottish Rite in the southern jurisdiction," declared Captain Cowles. "Today we have more than 250,000 members in the southern jurisdiction, an equal number in the northern jurisdiction. In the United States today there are 250,000 men who have been through the blue lodge, and the fraternity is growing by leaps and bounds."

Upon his arrival in Portland yesterday morning, Captain Cowles was taken up the Columbia highway as the guest of Philip S. Macleoin, sovereign grand commander of the Scottish Rite bodies of Oregon.

"Oregon is a wonderful state and its scenery is unequalled in the United States," said Captain Cowles. "I am in mind that I am a Kentuckian, and exceedingly proud of the fact."

Captain Cowles addressed a capacity crowd at the cathedral last night. Prior to his address the 25th degree in the Scottish Rite was exemplified.

The Scottish Rite leader will leave Portland this afternoon for Seattle.

The southern jurisdiction of Scottish Rite Masonry consists of all states west of the Mississippi river and those east of the Mississippi below the Mason-Dixon line.

\$112,696 SOUGHT IN SUIT
Aberdeen Residents Seek Damages From Banker.

MONTESANO, Wash., Jan. 25.—(Special.)—Mr. and Mrs. A. P. Stockwell of Aberdeen have filed a suit for damages in superior court against Hayes & Hayes, bankers, for \$112,696.51.

The complaint recites that in April, 1919, Hayes & Hayes secured an indebtedness judgment against the Stockwells for slightly over \$100,000.

With \$97,303.49 of this judgment unpaid, sale was ordered by the superior court of large holdings of stock owned by the defendants in that action.

Hayes & Hayes bought the stock at the sheriff's sale, May 2, 1919, for \$60,000 and that sum was applied on the judgment.

It is now asserted by Mr. and Mrs. Stockwell that the sale was illegal in that due notice was not given as provided by law. Most of the stock was in the Finch Investment company and in Harbor lumber company.

Mr. Stockwell has a damage suit pending against W. J. Patterson of Aberdeen seeking to recover \$100,000.

STUDENT STAFF CHANGES
University of Oregon Newspaper Announces Promotions.

UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, Eugene, Jan. 25.—(Special.)—Several changes and promotions have been made in the Emerald, student newspaper, staff, John Anderson of Ashland will be a daily news editor, and Howard Geoffrey of Eugene will take the place of night editor.

Three special writers have been added to the staff. Ernest Haycox of Portland, who is known as the "Campus Critic" and "B. J. H.," John Dierdorf of Hillsboro and Mary Lou Burton of Eugene are the feature writers. Alfred Erickson of Clatskanie will be news service editor.

Catherine Spall of Portland and Mildred Burke of San Diego, Cal., will be the feature writers. The staff also includes reporters added to the staff are Don Woodward of Portland, Herbert Root of Monmouth and Geraldine Root of Portland.

CLUB IS INCORPORATED
McMinnville Women Organize Civic Body and File Charter.

SALEM, Ore., Jan. 25.—(Special.)—The Women's Civic Improvement club of McMinnville has been incorporated by Lydia A. Gilbert, Charlotte R. Hendrie and Nabel P. Garrison, according to articles filed in the state corporation department here today. The capital stock is \$1000. Headquarters will be at McMinnville.

The Hesse-Ersted Iron Works, with headquarters in Portland, has been incorporated by Fred Hesse, A. J. Ersted and Arthur M. Mears. The capital stock is \$150,000.

The Woodburn Fruit Growers' association has been incorporated by E. J. Forsythe and others. The membership fee has been fixed at \$2.

The Pacific Securities company, with headquarters in Portland, has been incorporated by Maurice W. Seitz, John M. Joyce and J. E. Hammack.

Deaf was caused by pneumonia. The Jones-Vaughan Oil company, organized under the laws of Delaware, has filed application to operate in Oregon. The capital stock is \$250,000 and Oregon headquarters will be in Portland. E. Vaughan has been named attorney in fact.

The Oregon & Ocean corporation, with headquarters in Portland, has increased its capital stock from \$2000 to \$5000.

PACKERS ACCUSED OF ILLEGAL ACTS

Armour and Fowler Concerns
Charged With Conspiracy.

PRICE - FIXING ALLEGED

Attempt to Control Hog Market
in Violation of Federal
Law Is Set Forth.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 25.—Armour & Co. and the Fowler Packing company were charged with numerous violations of the packers and stockyards act of 1921, in a formal complaint which the Kansas City federal exchange announced it had filed today with the secretary of agriculture. This was said to be the complaint formally filed under the new packer control legislation.

The complaint charges that the defendants include "price-fixing in its worst form" and "tending toward an absolute monopoly in the purchase and slaughter of hogs."

Shipping Privileges Curtailed.
The two companies, it is alleged, "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

PACKERS ACCUSED OF ILLEGAL ACTS

Armour and Fowler Concerns
Charged With Conspiracy.

PRICE - FIXING ALLEGED

Attempt to Control Hog Market
in Violation of Federal
Law Is Set Forth.

WASHINGTON, Jan. 25.—Armour & Co. and the Fowler Packing company were charged with numerous violations of the packers and stockyards act of 1921, in a formal complaint which the Kansas City federal exchange announced it had filed today with the secretary of agriculture. This was said to be the complaint formally filed under the new packer control legislation.

The complaint charges that the defendants include "price-fixing in its worst form" and "tending toward an absolute monopoly in the purchase and slaughter of hogs."

Shipping Privileges Curtailed.
The two companies, it is alleged, "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers that such shippers shall have the exclusive right and privilege of shipping hogs from specified loading stations when territories to the Fowler Packing company of Kansas City and the defendants deny to all other shippers the same right and privilege."

The complaint also charges that the defendants "have conspired and agreed with certain shippers