

# TAXPAYERS' LEAGUE AGAINST PORT BILL

### Analysis of All Measures Made in Report.

## RISE IN LEVY OPPOSED

### Wealth of Statistical Information Compiled in Support of Anti-Arguments.

The Swan Island project or port consolidation bill has been put under the ban of the Taxpayers' league along with other measures they have selected as likely to increase taxation without being absolutely wise or necessary. The organization met Friday in the Chamber of Commerce rooms and adopted resolutions recommending that the committee setting forth their stand on most of the measures that will be on the ballot November 2.

Their statement is mainly interesting on account of the wealth of statistical information that they have amassed and offer voters in support of their claims. They advise voting against the single-tax amendment, bill fixing the legal rate of interest, additional municipal judge, proposed 3-mill tax measure, and they are in favor of the five-year lighting contract measure, the raising measure for the acts of the civil service board and the charter measure that will provide for progress payments for street and sewer work. On the zoning measure they do not make any recommendation. Their report is as follows:

#### Explanation is Given.

The Taxpayers' league submits herewith its explanation of certain measures to be submitted to the voters at the election to be held on Tuesday, November 2, 1920, and its recommendations thereon. From a study of certain statistics respecting the increase in taxation during the past year, it should be kept in mind that to a large extent the increase in taxation has resulted from the intermittent voting of taxes without the voters being fully advised as to the effect thereon. From the statistics neatly arranged to consider with care the various submitted amendments, increased tax, another office or increase in the number of offices, and other proposals, it is necessary to vote against it.

#### Multnomah County.

	1919	1920	Pct. Inc.
Population	10,500	11,200	6.7
Assessment	\$301,825,640	\$356,019,000	18.22
Assessment in mills all purposes in county	21.21	30.92	47.97
Total tax	6,401,427	12,967,732	87.58
Trans. & all purposes	28.29	43.32	53.83

\*Levies based upon 1919 valuation. Since 1910 changes in the law removing certain classes from the assessment roll and exempting other classes of property have tended to limit the increase in the assessed value of the city as well as county assessment.

#### STATISTICS CITY OF PORTLAND.

	1919	1920	Pct. Inc.
Population	107,214	128,288	18.65
Total Assessment	\$274,296,033.00	\$512,671,785.00	24.65
City Levy, in mills	1,648,306.00	4,377,406.00	141.33
City Levy, in dollars	6,938,823.00	11,596,323.00	67.27
Total millage in city, all purposes	1.225	2.221	56.16
City employees January 1	1,225	1,913	56.16

\*Levies based upon 1919 valuation. \*1919 includes dock commission tax, 1.2 mill, providing \$210,206.14. Increase over January 1, 1919.

Through loss of receipts from liquor licenses and from the sale of the city received in 1919, \$435,320.45 less from source other than taxes than in 1918, since 1919, \$1,000,000. The city's share, however, Berkeley and a small piece of land, where the city's share is the company's plant stands, have been annexed to the city.

#### Tax Levy for 1921 Estimated.

The estimated tax levy on the property in the city of Portland for 1921 will be from 42 to 43 mills, which will produce from \$12,200,000 to \$14,100,000. Of this amount \$12.25 per cent, or \$10,000,000, will be paid by real estate and public service corporations, leaving \$2,100,000 to \$2,000,000 to be raised from all other sources and all other property. How does the home owner like the prospect? Are you beginning to grasp now why new buildings had new houses are not being built, why the worker is interested in good and economical government as much as in the activities of the city person in the community?

#### Retrenchment is Urged.

It is not time that government, as well as the individual, should retrench, economize and encourage efficiency? Is it not worth while to consider to what extent home owning, the activities of the city can have for stability and character, is discouraged by excessive taxation?

#### Bonded Indebtedness.

Bonded debt in county, which includes city: 1919, \$13,300,439.95; 1920, \$34,249,315.72; per cent, 124.56. Per capita debt: 1919, \$67.44; 1920, \$124.21; per cent, 84.15. Total levy in county for principal and interest: 1919, \$1,000,000; 1920, \$1,402,370.00; per cent, 32.26. The foregoing gross bonded debt includes \$1,000,000 for the Astoria bridge and \$6,792,000 for Portland water bonds, which are self-liquidating. The balance is from the bridge, the other by receipts for water. There are sinking funds to the amount of \$3,000,000, not included in the gross bonded debt shown, making the net bonded debt \$14,747.92.

#### Summary of Bonds.

Not bonded debt \$30,714,747.92 Bonds authorized by the legislature 4,402,100.00 Reserve bonding power for bonds authorized by the legislature 17,883,736.00 Total \$52,900,583.94. November 2, 1920, \$18,285,123.00. It is not at least the prudent thing to take our bearings, see where we are, catch our breath, so to speak, before finally committing ourselves to activities that will require many millions more in bonds than are now authorized project proceeds?

#### Big Taxation is Cited.

It is not sufficient to arouse one's just indignation that, if the port consolidation measure is passed, millions of dollars of bonds and taxation may be imposed upon us and made a part of our property, not only against our consent and will, but by voters outside our community. It is the taxes we do not pay and will not be liable to pay any portion of the bonds or any part of the tax. Is not this subverting the principle of home rule and in spirit enforcing a policy of taxation without representation? To say the least, we still have—our should have—the right of self-government, both as to life and property. We feel sure that if the people of the state understand our feelings and the fundamental reasons for our opposition to the so-called "port consolidation measure," they would vote against it.

beyond question is really necessary for the public welfare.

JOSEPH N. TRAIL, HENRY E. REED, A. GIBBY, HENRI LABBE, H. GLASBY, F. W. MURPHY, A. H. DEYBRS, LEO PHILDE, L. J. GOLDSMITH, Executive Committee.

#### Measures Are Analyzed.

The committee then has taken each measure for analysis and has made the following report on their findings:

#### State Ballot.

Single tax constitutional amendment, 304 Yes; 507 No. The purpose of this amendment is to assess all taxes necessary for the maintenance of state and local government upon the value of land until July 1, 1925, and thereafter to take the full rental value of land for governmental purposes. It is a general attack on private property. It is revolutionary and abolishes all taxes, except the tax on land value. If adopted it would destroy the market value of land, break the municipal charter, and take from bonds and mortgages the security upon which they rest. It is a measure that has, in the past, recorded its emphatic protest against legislation of this character, and now renews its protest.

Voters are advised to vote 307 No. Part of the bill is passed upon by the voters of the entire state, though it directly concerns the people of the Port of Portland. It is a measure that has, in the past, recorded its emphatic protest against legislation of this character, and now renews its protest.

No one is more interested in the development of the Port of Portland than are the members of the Taxpayers' league. There is no reason why, in order to provide a deep and safe channel between the city and Portland, it is necessary for a taxpayer to accept this measure on a take-it-or-leave-it basis. The laws of Oregon are not so weak and defective as to require the people of Oregon to accept this measure on a take-it-or-leave-it basis. The laws of Oregon are not so weak and defective as to require the people of Oregon to accept this measure on a take-it-or-leave-it basis.

#### Explanation is Given.

The Taxpayers' league submits herewith its explanation of certain measures to be submitted to the voters at the election to be held on Tuesday, November 2, 1920, and its recommendations thereon. From a study of certain statistics respecting the increase in taxation during the past year, it should be kept in mind that to a large extent the increase in taxation has resulted from the intermittent voting of taxes without the voters being fully advised as to the effect thereon.

#### Multnomah County.

	1919	1920	Pct. Inc.
Population	10,500	11,200	6.7
Assessment	\$301,825,640	\$356,019,000	18.22
Assessment in mills all purposes in county	21.21	30.92	47.97
Total tax	6,401,427	12,967,732	87.58
Trans. & all purposes	28.29	43.32	53.83

\*Levies based upon 1919 valuation. Since 1910 changes in the law removing certain classes from the assessment roll and exempting other classes of property have tended to limit the increase in the assessed value of the city as well as county assessment.

#### STATISTICS CITY OF PORTLAND.

	1919	1920	Pct. Inc.
Population	107,214	128,288	18.65
Total Assessment	\$274,296,033.00	\$512,671,785.00	24.65
City Levy, in mills	1,648,306.00	4,377,406.00	141.33
City Levy, in dollars	6,938,823.00	11,596,323.00	67.27
Total millage in city, all purposes	1.225	2.221	56.16
City employees January 1	1,225	1,913	56.16

\*Levies based upon 1919 valuation. \*1919 includes dock commission tax, 1.2 mill, providing \$210,206.14. Increase over January 1, 1919.

Through loss of receipts from liquor licenses and from the sale of the city received in 1919, \$435,320.45 less from source other than taxes than in 1918, since 1919, \$1,000,000. The city's share, however, Berkeley and a small piece of land, where the city's share is the company's plant stands, have been annexed to the city.

#### Tax Levy for 1921 Estimated.

The estimated tax levy on the property in the city of Portland for 1921 will be from 42 to 43 mills, which will produce from \$12,200,000 to \$14,100,000. Of this amount \$12.25 per cent, or \$10,000,000, will be paid by real estate and public service corporations, leaving \$2,100,000 to \$2,000,000 to be raised from all other sources and all other property. How does the home owner like the prospect? Are you beginning to grasp now why new buildings had new houses are not being built, why the worker is interested in good and economical government as much as in the activities of the city person in the community?

#### Retrenchment is Urged.

It is not time that government, as well as the individual, should retrench, economize and encourage efficiency? Is it not worth while to consider to what extent home owning, the activities of the city can have for stability and character, is discouraged by excessive taxation?

#### Bonded Indebtedness.

Bonded debt in county, which includes city: 1919, \$13,300,439.95; 1920, \$34,249,315.72; per cent, 124.56. Per capita debt: 1919, \$67.44; 1920, \$124.21; per cent, 84.15. Total levy in county for principal and interest: 1919, \$1,000,000; 1920, \$1,402,370.00; per cent, 32.26. The foregoing gross bonded debt includes \$1,000,000 for the Astoria bridge and \$6,792,000 for Portland water bonds, which are self-liquidating. The balance is from the bridge, the other by receipts for water. There are sinking funds to the amount of \$3,000,000, not included in the gross bonded debt shown, making the net bonded debt \$14,747.92.

#### Summary of Bonds.

Not bonded debt \$30,714,747.92 Bonds authorized by the legislature 4,402,100.00 Reserve bonding power for bonds authorized by the legislature 17,883,736.00 Total \$52,900,583.94. November 2, 1920, \$18,285,123.00. It is not at least the prudent thing to take our bearings, see where we are, catch our breath, so to speak, before finally committing ourselves to activities that will require many millions more in bonds than are now authorized project proceeds?

#### Big Taxation is Cited.

It is not sufficient to arouse one's just indignation that, if the port consolidation measure is passed, millions of dollars of bonds and taxation may be imposed upon us and made a part of our property, not only against our consent and will, but by voters outside our community. It is the taxes we do not pay and will not be liable to pay any portion of the bonds or any part of the tax. Is not this subverting the principle of home rule and in spirit enforcing a policy of taxation without representation? To say the least, we still have—our should have—the right of self-government, both as to life and property. We feel sure that if the people of the state understand our feelings and the fundamental reasons for our opposition to the so-called "port consolidation measure," they would vote against it.

## CAMPAIGN CENTERS ON SENATE PLACES

### East Looks to Oregon to Elect Stanfield.

## WISCONSIN IS IN DOUBT

### Harding Will Carry State by Large Majority; La Follette Backers Behind Senator.

BY WALTER I. TOOZE JR. CHICAGO, Oct. 25.—(Special Correspondence.)—The last days of the 1920 campaign are at hand, and they find the republican leaders exerting every effort to assure a working republican majority in the United States senate. With Harding's election a matter of counting the votes only and announcing officially the result, the senatorial situation offers the big objective of the battle.

In all the states where the contest is close, the republican leaders are being urged by the republicans to elect the senator. Oregon is one of the states being fought for by the national party leaders, from Senator Harding down the list, for a gain of one senator. Recent reports from Oregon indicate a growing sentiment in favor of Stanfield, and this favorable assurance has been received with much rejoicing at republican headquarters.

#### Blaine Runs for Governor.

John G. Blaine, in a field of five candidates, and with the indorsement of La Follette and the non-partisan league, captured the republican nomination for governor. After the state convention, William Morgan, republican nominee for attorney-general, publicly stated that he would not support any republican nominee who would not subscribe to the state platform. This was a shot at Blaine, Blaine refusing to run on the platform adopted by the state convention. Morgan indorsed the candidacy of Colonel McCoy, democratic nominee for governor.

#### Recapitulation.

Single tax constitutional amendment, 304 Yes; 507 No. Part of the bill is passed upon by the voters of the entire state, though it directly concerns the people of the Port of Portland. It is a measure that has, in the past, recorded its emphatic protest against legislation of this character, and now renews its protest.

#### Multnomah County.

#### STATISTICS CITY OF PORTLAND.

	1919	1920	Pct. Inc.
Population	107,214	128,288	18.65
Total Assessment	\$274,296,033.00	\$512,671,785.00	24.65
City Levy, in mills	1,648,306.00	4,377,406.00	141.33
City Levy, in dollars	6,938,823.00	11,596,323.00	67.27
Total millage in city, all purposes	1.225	2.221	56.16
City employees January 1	1,225	1,913	56.16

\*Levies based upon 1919 valuation. \*1919 includes dock commission tax, 1.2 mill, providing \$210,206.14. Increase over January 1, 1919.

Through loss of receipts from liquor licenses and from the sale of the city received in 1919, \$435,320.45 less from source other than taxes than in 1918, since 1919, \$1,000,000. The city's share, however, Berkeley and a small piece of land, where the city's share is the company's plant stands, have been annexed to the city.

#### Tax Levy for 1921 Estimated.

The estimated tax levy on the property in the city of Portland for 1921 will be from 42 to 43 mills, which will produce from \$12,200,000 to \$14,100,000. Of this amount \$12.25 per cent, or \$10,000,000, will be paid by real estate and public service corporations, leaving \$2,100,000 to \$2,000,000 to be raised from all other sources and all other property. How does the home owner like the prospect? Are you beginning to grasp now why new buildings had new houses are not being built, why the worker is interested in good and economical government as much as in the activities of the city person in the community?

#### Retrenchment is Urged.

It is not time that government, as well as the individual, should retrench, economize and encourage efficiency? Is it not worth while to consider to what extent home owning, the activities of the city can have for stability and character, is discouraged by excessive taxation?

#### Bonded Indebtedness.

Bonded debt in county, which includes city: 1919, \$13,300,439.95; 1920, \$34,249,315.72; per cent, 124.56. Per capita debt: 1919, \$67.44; 1920, \$124.21; per cent, 84.15. Total levy in county for principal and interest: 1919, \$1,000,000; 1920, \$1,402,370.00; per cent, 32.26. The foregoing gross bonded debt includes \$1,000,000 for the Astoria bridge and \$6,792,000 for Portland water bonds, which are self-liquidating. The balance is from the bridge, the other by receipts for water. There are sinking funds to the amount of \$3,000,000, not included in the gross bonded debt shown, making the net bonded debt \$14,747.92.

#### Summary of Bonds.

Not bonded debt \$30,714,747.92 Bonds authorized by the legislature 4,402,100.00 Reserve bonding power for bonds authorized by the legislature 17,883,736.00 Total \$52,900,583.94. November 2, 1920, \$18,285,123.00. It is not at least the prudent thing to take our bearings, see where we are, catch our breath, so to speak, before finally committing ourselves to activities that will require many millions more in bonds than are now authorized project proceeds?

#### Big Taxation is Cited.

It is not sufficient to arouse one's just indignation that, if the port consolidation measure is passed, millions of dollars of bonds and taxation may be imposed upon us and made a part of our property, not only against our consent and will, but by voters outside our community. It is the taxes we do not pay and will not be liable to pay any portion of the bonds or any part of the tax. Is not this subverting the principle of home rule and in spirit enforcing a policy of taxation without representation? To say the least, we still have—our should have—the right of self-government, both as to life and property. We feel sure that if the people of the state understand our feelings and the fundamental reasons for our opposition to the so-called "port consolidation measure," they would vote against it.

# CAMPAIGN CENTERS ON SENATE PLACES

### East Looks to Oregon to Elect Stanfield.

## WISCONSIN IS IN DOUBT

### Harding Will Carry State by Large Majority; La Follette Backers Behind Senator.

BY WALTER I. TOOZE JR. CHICAGO, Oct. 25.—(Special Correspondence.)—The last days of the 1920 campaign are at hand, and they find the republican leaders exerting every effort to assure a working republican majority in the United States senate. With Harding's election a matter of counting the votes only and announcing officially the result, the senatorial situation offers the big objective of the battle.

In all the states where the contest is close, the republican leaders are being urged by the republicans to elect the senator. Oregon is one of the states being fought for by the national party leaders, from Senator Harding down the list, for a gain of one senator. Recent reports from Oregon indicate a growing sentiment in favor of Stanfield, and this favorable assurance has been received with much rejoicing at republican headquarters.

#### Blaine Runs for Governor.

John G. Blaine, in a field of five candidates, and with the indorsement of La Follette and the non-partisan league, captured the republican nomination for governor. After the state convention, William Morgan, republican nominee for attorney-general, publicly stated that he would not support any republican nominee who would not subscribe to the state platform. This was a shot at Blaine, Blaine refusing to run on the platform adopted by the state convention. Morgan indorsed the candidacy of Colonel McCoy, democratic nominee for governor.

#### Recapitulation.

Single tax constitutional amendment, 304 Yes; 507 No. Part of the bill is passed upon by the voters of the entire state, though it directly concerns the people of the Port of Portland. It is a measure that has, in the past, recorded its emphatic protest against legislation of this character, and now renews its protest.

#### Multnomah County.

#### STATISTICS CITY OF PORTLAND.

	1919	1920	Pct. Inc.
Population	107,214	128,288	18.65
Total Assessment	\$274,296,033.00	\$512,671,785.00	24.65
City Levy, in mills	1,648,306.00	4,377,406.00	141.33
City Levy, in dollars	6,938,823.00	11,596,323.00	67.27
Total millage in city, all purposes	1.225	2.221	56.16
City employees January 1	1,225	1,913	56.16

\*Levies based upon 1919 valuation. \*1919 includes dock commission tax, 1.2 mill, providing \$210,206.14. Increase over January 1, 1919.

Through loss of receipts from liquor licenses and from the sale of the city received in 1919, \$435,320.45 less from source other than taxes than in 1918, since 1919, \$1,000,000. The city's share, however, Berkeley and a small piece of land, where the city's share is the company's plant stands, have been annexed to the city.

#### Tax Levy for 1921 Estimated.

The estimated tax levy on the property in the city of Portland for 1921 will be from 42 to 43 mills, which will produce from \$12,200,000 to \$14,100,000. Of this amount \$12.25 per cent, or \$10,000,000, will be paid by real estate and public service corporations, leaving \$2,100,000 to \$2,000,000 to be raised from all other sources and all other property. How does the home owner like the prospect? Are you beginning to grasp now why new buildings had new houses are not being built, why the worker is interested in good and economical government as much as in the activities of the city person in the community?

#### Retrenchment is Urged.

It is not time that government, as well as the individual, should retrench, economize and encourage efficiency? Is it not worth while to consider to what extent home owning, the activities of the city can have for stability and character, is discouraged by excessive taxation?

#### Bonded Indebtedness.

Bonded debt in county, which includes city: 1919, \$13,300,439.95; 1920, \$34,249,315.72; per cent, 124.56. Per capita debt: 1919, \$67.44; 1920, \$124.21; per cent, 84.15. Total levy in county for principal and interest: 1919, \$1,000,000; 1920, \$1,402,370.00; per cent, 32.26. The foregoing gross bonded debt includes \$1,000,000 for the Astoria bridge and \$6,792,000 for Portland water bonds, which are self-liquidating. The balance is from the bridge, the other by receipts for water. There are sinking funds to the amount of \$3,000,000, not included in the gross bonded debt shown, making the net bonded debt \$14,747.92.

#### Summary of Bonds.

Not bonded debt \$30,714,747.92 Bonds authorized by the legislature 4,402,100.00 Reserve bonding power for bonds authorized by the legislature 17,883,736.00 Total \$52,900,583.94. November 2, 1920, \$18,285,123.00. It is not at least the prudent thing to take our bearings, see where we are, catch our breath, so to speak, before finally committing ourselves to activities that will require many millions more in bonds than are now authorized project proceeds?

#### Big Taxation is Cited.

It is not sufficient to arouse one's just indignation that, if the port consolidation measure is passed, millions of dollars of bonds and taxation may be imposed upon us and made a part of our property, not only against our consent and will, but by voters outside our community. It is the taxes we do not pay and will not be liable to pay any portion of the bonds or any part of the tax. Is not this subverting the principle of home rule and in spirit enforcing a policy of taxation without representation? To say the least, we still have—our should have—the right of self-government, both as to life and property. We feel sure that if the people of the state understand our feelings and the fundamental reasons for our opposition to the so-called "port consolidation measure," they would vote against it.



# Take it from me!

Men's Clothes that are lower in price than Hickey-Freeman Clothes OUGHT to be, for they are inferior to Hickey-Freeman quality!

And any clothes that are higher in price ought not to be, for they cannot conceivably be finer. Hickey-Freeman are just as famous for being fair as they are for being fine, just as noted for integrity as they are for talent, just as honorable in what they ask as in what they offer. Their prices are a barometer of clothing values. You ought not to pay more, and you cannot afford to pay less.

## Hickey-Freeman \$80 Suits only \$60 dollars

### An Early Choice Is Advisable

# BEN SELLING

Leading Clothier  
Morrison at Fourth

	Democratic	Republican
Mississippi	10	10
Nevada	10	10
North Carolina	10	10
Oklahoma	10	10