

The Oregonian

Portland, Oregon. Entered at Portland, Oregon, Postoffice as second-class matter. Subscription Rates—Invariably in Advance. (BY MAIL) Daily, Sunday included, one year \$4.00...

Portland, Wednesday, Mar. 12, 1913.

OPINIONS ON THE CABINET.

President Wilson's Cabinet selections meet with almost unanimous praise from newspapers of all parties. They are regarded as men of his own choice, appointed with a view to the fitness of the men for the work laid out for them, as advisers to the President and for team-work, due regard being given to the political situation.

The New York Evening Post says:

This impression that President Wilson means to be his own master will be strengthened by the fact that he has selected one man to whom these appointments were dictated to him. There is not the faintest trace of a boss in the selection of any of them, and the political obligations assumed or bargained for are few.

The New York Tribune says that the change in public opinion since 1896 has brought it nearer to Mr. Bryan's radicalism, and that he was "in a sense the forerunner of the Wilson restoration."

It adds that "the President has realized that Mr. Bryan will be nowhere more serviceable and less dangerous for the next four years than at the head of the State Department." The Tribune has nothing but praise to say for the other Cabinet members, among them extolling Mr. Lane as "an aggressive force on the Interstate Commerce Commission."

Some misgivings are entertained by the New York Herald, judging by the tone of its editorial.

What shall be said of a Cabinet that is headed by William J. Bryan, who has been regarded by everybody as a perpetual agitator and not an executive, and is flanked off by William B. Wilson, of whom few persons ever heard?

PERSONALLY honorable, fairly able and indisputably Democratic is the Brooklyn Eagle's summing up of the Cabinet's character.

It says Mr. Bryan, that though he has never been engaged in diplomatic functions, he "has studied and been honored by more foreign nations than any American save John Quincy Adams."

It calls Mr. McAdoo "one of the most constructive and administrative financiers of the world and one of the ablest, most sunny and patriotic men."

It compliments Mr. McReynolds as "a very great lawyer, one of the greatest in the land," and says Judge Garrison "never the division of a battle knew" and that Mr. Daniels "could no more get a squadron on the go than a splinter," but assumes that their "underlings will tactfully coach them."

It has kind words for Mr. Burleson and Secretary of Labor by Mr. Wilson for his neighbors, Mr. Redfield, and it says that better selections than Mr. Lane and Professor Houston could not have been made.

closes with the remark, "It's a Cabinet without a New England Yankee in it." It has much praise to offer. It styles Mr. Bryan "a political inevitability," Mr. McAdoo "an excellent Secretary," Mr. Treasury Mr. McReynolds "a promotion on the merits," Mr. Lane "a capable Interstate Commerce Commissioner," adding, "and it's a big point in his favor that Mr. Hearst detests him."

The New York Sun finds a good word to say for each Cabinet officer aside from Mr. Bryan, in whom it never sees any good. Mr. McAdoo, Mr. McReynolds and Mr. Lane are singled out by the New York Journal of Commerce as men having special training for their offices.

ENOUGH FOR ONE MAN TO DO.

The State of Oregon has appropriated \$450,000 to complete the Columbia Southern Irrigation project, in Central Oregon. The deplorable condition of the settlers and the implied obligation of the State to support the project, in its limited sense, under its auspices were two factors in inducing the Governor and the Legislature to come to the rescue. The Oregonian has no purpose here to say that their action was not warranted or that the State had no explicit duty to make good on its promises of irresponsible promoters or reckless exploiters.

TEAR IT ALL DOWN.

Somehow the recent Legislature robbed the people of \$2,000,000. So it is now said. Think of it! Robbed us of \$2,000,000. Nobody knows or says how or where. Nobody can or will say the where, probably rarely descends to discuss the how. Gross exaggeration and infamous accusation couched in terms of impersonal denunciation startle and scandalize; but they afford many loopholes for convenient and ready escape when there is a call for vulgar facts. Guilt is individual; but falsehood may be made universal by aiming at everybody and hitting anybody, thus being resented by nobody in particular.

Yet the \$2,000,000 sensation, or attempted sensation, is used as a basis for a wild call to abolish the Legislature. Why not? Let us abolish law, order, government, society, property, religion and civilization. Every crime is committed, wrongs are perpetrated, people are oppressed, poverty rears its hideous head, the innocent suffer for the guilty, and the pure are defiled by the wicked. Let us throw away what poor safeguards we have against a painstaking, methodical and skillful management to conserve this great fund for the benefit alike of state and the settlers. It is a big job for a Governor or anybody. Hard sense, hard work, no dreams, are now required.

DANGER OF WAR CONTINUES.

Large increases in the standing armies of France, Germany, Italy, Russia and Austria to demobilize notwithstanding their exchange of pacific assurances, rumors of British plans to send an army to aid France and Austrian threats to Serbia—all these facts go to show that the danger of a general war is not past. What the German Chancellor has declared, and the Balkan allies, the latter deliberately delay negotiations in the hope that capture of the besieged fortresses will strengthen their position in dictating terms. The great powers wish to arrange peace conditions before Scutari and Adrianople fall, for they know that the danger of general war will be greater after these events.

The most serious peril will come from the fall of Scutari. Montenegro has set her heart on its possession, and Austria is as determined that she shall be included in a nominally autonomous Albania. Were the fortress once in the hands of Montenegro, that country would resist any effort to dispossess her. Much as Russia may desire peace, she could not stand idly by while Austria attempted by force to deprive a kindred Slav people of a fortress which she had so long yielded to public opinion on one subject—race sympathy with other Slav peoples. It made the war of 1877-78 against Turkey at the dictates of Pan-Slavism. It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

It would be strongly inclined to help the Balkan states.

Fairbanks, a distance of 313 miles; an extension of the Alaska Northern, which reaches tidewater at Seward, from Kern Creek to the Suetina Valley, 155 miles, and thence to McGrath on the Kuskoquim River, a distance of 229 miles, and branches of 85 miles each to the Bering River and Matanuska coal fields. The commission concludes its report by saying:

The Commission is unanimously of opinion that this development should be undertaken at once and prosecuted with vigor; that it cannot be accomplished without providing the railroads herein recommended under some system which will insure low transportation charges and the consequent rapid settlement of this new land and the utilization of its great resources.

ALASKA HAS ASKED THE PACIFIC STATES TO JOIN HER IN AN APPEAL TO PRESIDENT WILSON FOR ACTION ON THIS REPORT AND ON THE ALASKA LAND LAWS AT THE EXTRA SESSION OF CONGRESS.

Justice and that fellow-feeling which the whole West should have for every territory which is in the pioneer age whom we have but lately rescued from all upon us to respond. If sentiment were not enough, self-interest should cause us to speak out, for Alaska waits to expand our trade and to supply cheap fuel. Alaska has a voice, but no vote in Congress. The whole Pacific Coast should give her the aid of its votes in both Senate and House.

ECONOMY IN THE NAVY.

The Little Americans have adopted a naval programme which on the completion of the Pennsylvania will make our Navy just over half as strong as that of Germany. Ex-Secretary Meyer says that at that time we shall have fourteen dreadnoughts to Germany's twenty-six, though we should have twenty-one battleships in the active and twenty in the reserve fleet. We are not only far behind these requirements, but are falling far behind through our failure to build new ships to take the place of those which become obsolete.

Mark Twain's prediction that under woman suffrage pretty whiskers would beat grave, homely old wisdom at every election may turn out to be true.

But what if it does? Things will not be so simple as they seem. Who ever heard of a woman winning an election under male suffrage? A smooth tongue beats him, graft beats him. So we need not feel unduly depressed if ornate whiskers beat him when women vote. The poor old fellow is so used to being trodden under foot at the polls that a victory might be fatal.

For publishing widely and promptly the exposure of the Hissson Diving Bell swindle Inspector Harry Durand deserves all praise.

Such events ought not to be hidden under a bushel. They should be spread through the country with the sound of trumpets. Every exposure of a swindle educates the gullible public. Prompt publication of frauds saves many an unsuspecting victim the dollars he so readily hands over to the spoiler. To protect people from such swindles, it is difficult, but it must be done.

BEFORE they get through with it the Washington police may wish they had treated the suffrage paraders a little more decently. Senator Chapp thinks it is a pity that pure women cannot march through the streets of the capital of a free Nation without insult.

ADAMS, the thief of the Seattle assay office, goes back to McNell's Island to finish the term on which he was paroled a year ago. The few years he spent on the island failed to teach him respect for the law. Adams is a natural crook, that is all there is to it.

POPULAR fiction receives a setback in the suit of a Russian Princess against a New York lawyer for \$2000. In Russian romance tales a Princess would stoop to pick a small sum like that off the sidewalk.

Too much optimism need not be aroused by the report that Dr. Friedman's patients are improving. Suggestive influence often has that effect temporarily.

Yamhill County is proud of the nativity of three Justices of the Supreme Bench. That is but one of the large number of things to the credit of Old Yamhill.

At last we have found means of protection against the deadly hatpin. Massachusetts decrees that the points must be covered, the same as fencing tools.

Those militant suffragettes who chanted on the royal coach, brandishing beribboned petitions, were a very mild form of the London variety.

Arkansas has abolished its militia, but the practice of hunting the razor-back will develop good marksmen for the time of need.

The Russian Princess who disputes a New York lawyer's bill is evidently not familiar with the cost of living under Tammany rule.

But magnates of Chicago who think \$5 a week enough pay for women and girls cannot themselves exist on that much a day.

Europe is again aroused to war talk. We suspect the armament manufacturers are working up the sentiment.

Perhaps the Chinaman who employed Miss Milholland as his attorney hopes that her charms will hypnotize the jury.

London hoodlums may inspire more fear in the suffragettes than the London "bobbies" have been able to cause.

The Portlander who subscribes toward the Rose Festival is taking stock in a great dividend-payer.

According to a Chicago merchant a girl can live on \$3 a week. And wear diamonds.

It appears that the promoters of the submarine bell were ringers.

Is Woodrow going to turn out to be an enlarged edition of Oe?

Woodrow is scared by the specter of nepotism. He will recover.

Mexico is trying hard to get back onto the front page.

Has your wife registered yet?

controversy as that which impends on the tariff will do more than many conventions to heal Republican divisions, for men fighting in the same cause easily forget past quarrels and differences on questions which are not at issue.

If President Wilson should be able to bring into line those Senators who threaten to bolt the tariff reform programme, he will have to exert all his powers of reasoning and persuasion and will score a triumph of political management.

HOUSEWIVES NOT TO BE BLAMED.

High Cost of Living Laid to Price of Food. PORTLAND, March 5.—(To the Editor.)—Concerning the high cost of living, it seems to me that the real point in this question is being pushed aside by many writers to The Oregonian. Of course, many housewives manage badly, both from ignorance and carelessness, but this is a matter for homes and housekeeping courses, etc., to prevent and mend as far as possible. High cost of living is there all the same, both for good and bad housekeepers, and it is this injustice to the masses, not only as regard to estates but on all our needs, not least the fuel, that should be met and removed.

What completer proof could be asked? But I will be just as far further that the private life of all these men, as far as their domestic life is concerned, is singularly pure.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.

But in this claim as to the tendency toward monogamy, I find G. Bernard Shaw, another avowed and active Socialist, does not agree with Marx, Engels and Bebel. Shaw is a married man in all probability will survive in Socialist society as a convenient device to get on with the world.