

The Oregonian
PORTLAND, OREGON.
Entered at Portland, Oregon, Postoffice as Second-class Matter.
Subscription Rates—Invariably in Advance.
(DAILY MAIL.)
Daily, Sunday included, one year, \$8.00
Daily, Sunday included, six months, 5.00
Daily, Sunday included, three months, 2.75
Daily, Sunday included, one month, 1.00
Daily, without Sunday, one year, 6.00
Daily, without Sunday, six months, 3.50
Daily, without Sunday, three months, 2.25
Daily, without Sunday, one month, .85
Weekly, one year, 2.50
Weekly, one year, 1.50
Sunday and Weekly, one year, 3.50
(DAILY CARRIER.)
Daily, Sunday included, one year, 7.00
Daily, Sunday included, six months, 4.50
Daily, Sunday included, three months, 2.75
Daily, Sunday included, one month, 1.00
How to Remit—Send Postoffice money order, express order or personal check on your order, or bank, Steam, coin or currency are at the sender's risk. Give postoffice address full, including county and state.
Postage Rates—10 to 14 pages, 1 cent; 15 to 25 pages, 2 cents; 26 to 40 pages, 3 cents; 41 to 60 pages, 4 cents. Foreign postage, double rate.
Eastern Business Offices—Voss & Conklin—New York, Brunswick building, Chicago, Steger building.
San Francisco Office—R. J. Bidwell Co., 742 Market street.
Empire Office—No. 2, Regent street, S. W. London.

WHAT ROOSEVELT ACCOMPLISHED.

According to the New York Press, which Munnely uses to promote the so-called Progressive party, Wilson won 353 electoral votes in thirty-two states, in every one of which he secured less votes than the combined Taft and Roosevelt vote. Had that combined vote been cast for one man, he would have been elected President by a majority of 231 electoral votes. Wilson secured a plurality over both Taft and Roosevelt in only 16 states with 175 electoral votes. What Roosevelt has done is not to annihilate the Republican party, as he boasts, but to elect a Democratic President supported by a minority of the popular vote.

He pretends that this was necessary in order to advance the Progressive cause. The figures prove that it was not. His popular vote appears to exceed Taft's by 800,000 or 700,000. Probably if we could deduct the secured vote of Roosevelt, he would have secured more votes than Taft's total. The Republican votes which were cast for Wilson for no other purpose than to insure Roosevelt's defeat, we should find that about half the former Republicans voted the Progressive ticket. But, these men are by no means all Progressive Republicans. Hosts of men who had proved their fidelity to the cause of progress in warm campaigns and in energetic debates in Congress, when Roosevelt was still working hand-in-glove with Aldrich and Cannon, remained with the Republican party. They believed that, if all progressives would pursue the same course, they could gain control of the party, dictate its candidates, its platform and its policies and make it in fact the progressive party. The figures prove that they were right and that many of the members of the party who progressive and could and would have imposed its will on the reactionaries, who were in a hopeless minority. A ticket thus nominated could have been elected and we should now have been looking forward to a progressive Republican instead of a Democratic reaction.

Who prevented this progressive triumph? Roosevelt and no other man. Had he but stood aside at Chicago and listened to overtures for the maintenance of party unity, Hadley, Borah, Cummins or some other, whose progressive views could not have been denied would have been nominated on a platform indisputably progressive. Such a man could have swept the country. The sole obstacle was Roosevelt, his ambition, his insistence that he was the one and only progressive Republican.

Who organized around a single personality and it never will be, until this Nation is ready to sink to the level of Central and South American republics.

PASS PROSPERITY AROUND IN OREGON.

The Made-in-Oregon movement is to be promoted by the convention of Oregon manufacturers on November 21 and by the Made-in-Oregon dinner to follow the convention, as well as by the general observance of that day as Home Industries day in the factories, stores and schools, on the lines suggested in Governor West's proclamation. It is a movement deserving the patriotic support of every Oregonian. In case any of our citizens are deaf to the appeal of state patriotism, this movement should receive their support from selfish motives. Every citizen of the state, from the producer of the raw material and the manufacturer of the consumer, has an interest in promoting the purchase of Oregon products and in purchasing them himself. The producer of raw material is helping to broaden his market every time he buys an article made in Oregon, for he is contributing to an enlarged demand, which will employ more men who will buy the articles made from his material. The manufacturer who buys Oregon raw material and Oregon machinery is making customers for himself and other manufacturers by increasing the number of men who will need his products. The merchant who urges the purchase of Oregon-made goods contributes to the regular employment of more men in factories and thereby adds to the number of his prospective customers. The consumer who gives preference to made-in-Oregon goods is contributing directly to his own prosperity. If he be a workman he is clinching his hold on his job. If he be a farmer he helps to increase the number of consumers for his farm produce. If he be a lawyer or a doctor, he is adding to the number of possible clients or patients. If he be a merchant, he is helping to swell the total of made-in-Oregon goods, he is helping himself.

Fully 90 per cent of the commodities consumed by the average family, by the manufacturer, farmer, merchant, can be made in Oregon with a much smaller proportion of each article included in that 90 per cent is now being made in this state. Each of us can enhance his own prosperity, swell his own fortune, by doing his part towards swelling that proportion to the full 90 per cent possible.

An Australian farmer has discovered a method of destroying stumps. He bors a two-inch augur hole and pours in nitric acid, followed by sulphuric acid. This treatment rots the stump so completely in five weeks that it can be knocked to pieces with a hoe. About a pint of each acid suffices for a three-foot stump and Dr. S. W. McClure says the cost

should not exceed 20 cents. This method is an improvement on present methods, but is open to the same general objection—that it destroys the stumps instead of utilizing them. As we have already pointed out, stumps contain a number of valuable ingredients, which should be extracted and sold, and the residue should be reduced to charcoal and also sold. It is high time we began finding a use for all so-called waste products from stumps to cornstalks. That is true conservation.

SIR THOMAS LIPTON.

The thing about Sir Thomas Lipton that endears him to the American heart is not his rare combination of title, wealth and democracy. Nor is it his bountiful good nature, kindly disposition and the fact that we have beaten him in several hard-fought yacht races. Rather, it is his magnificent spirit of sportsmanship.

The world loses a good loser, and when it comes to being good loser Sir Thomas has displayed the fact that he is a master of that difficult art. Not, to be sure, that he makes a practice of being a loser. For with his speedy yachts he has trounced the proud old Emperor William's finest racing craft, and, in all, has lifted more cups than the output of a dozen cup factories running full blast for an indefinite period might supply. Why, in the past year, he has captured twenty.

But there's one cup he hasn't been able to win, and naturally that's the one he most desires. It is a battered old silver trophy that rests secure this side of the pond. Three times Sir Thomas has contested for it, spending millions in the endeavor, and each time he has smilingly failed. It was the Emperor's cup, and with the failure that made Sir Thomas immortal as a sportsman. It wasn't a forced smile, either, but a spontaneous smile; one that denoted a nature big enough to laugh at Fate. It set a new standard in sportsmanship and hasn't been matched before or since, although mirth has often been simulated by those introduced to defeat.

Now Sir Thomas scents an opportunity to conquer that portion of the yachting world which has resisted his designs to date. He is about to sail for France in the interest of an international yachting event on the Pacific to be held at the 1915 Fair. No doubt Sir Thomas has visions of fulfilling the ambition of many years with a new Shamrock and in that delectable ambition we cannot but wish him well. At least he should wish us all over again what a magnificent loser he is.

REFUDIATING ITS OWN RECORD.

Being dissatisfied with the policy of Collier's Weekly under the editorship of Mr. Hapgood, the sole proprietor retired him, and put his own name over the sanctum door as editor. Of course there is no reason why a Collier's Weekly should be edited by Collier's Weekly; not at all. Being convinced of that indisputable fact, Editor Collier has started out to prove in his own columns that it was always Collier's Weekly, anyway, and never Hapgood's Weekly. He makes clear his contention by declaring that Mr. Hapgood was originally an editorial writer on Collier's staff; less than half the editorials published this year were from his pen. Many such articles, it appears, were from the pen of Louis D. Brandeis, though printed as editorials. When Mr. Hapgood retired it was given out from Collier's side that political differences had caused the split, for Hapgood insisted on supporting Wilson. When Editor Collier got the editorial pen safely in his hand he made a flying leap to the Roosevelt ship, just in time to be a victim of the wind.

Now Hapgood says the real reason of his dismissal was commercial, for the advertising department wanted to run things. We have a suspicion that both sides are telling the truth, and will let it go at that. Collier's Weekly has a large and progressive interest in Western affairs and was something of a factor in bringing about a crisis with the present National Administration. What interests us just now is the authoritative declaration, from the editor and sole proprietor of Collier's, that the editorial pen was given to his militant and pernicious activity was so untrustworthy and undesirable a person.

SMOKING ON CIGARETTES.

The sympathies of a San Franciscan who recently visited Portland go out to the poor smokers who have been stopped from puffing cigars, pipes and cigarettes on the streets in this city. In a letter to The Oregonian he invites us to "take a crack at some of your milk-and-water would-be men who stand out so strong for the anti-smoke car act." He also enlightens us with a clipping from a Los Angeles newspaper giving a synopsis of interviews with prominent men who oppose a similar ordinance in the California city.

We imagine that a "crack" at the friends of the anti-smoking ordinance would hit a majority of the Portland voters, even excluding the newly-honored sex from the count. There is an argument for granting the privilege of smoking on streets. The Los Angeles "crack" which cannot be applied in Portland, Los Angeles' climate permits the operation of a peculiar type of car the year around. The two ends have open seats, while the middle is inclosed. Smoking is confined to the open-air seats in front. In Portland the prevailing type of streetcar is vestibuled at both ends and both for egress. There are few things more repulsive than stale tobacco smoke, and in a small compartment like the front or rear platform of a Portland streetcar the confined presence would become unbearable. Our sympathetic correspondent wants to know what chance the poor chap has who lives on the East Side to get an after-breakfast puff. The query is an argument in itself for prohibition of smoking on closed open cars. We do not know what is the average length of time spent by the streetcar patron in the journey from his home to his place of employment. We imagine thirty minutes is an excessive estimate. The man who undergoes misery when deprived of tobacco for thirty minutes, even if it is after breakfast, is making a beast of himself. He needs a short deprivation for his own good. This fact and the freedom from annoyance given non-smokers by the ordinance make it an act in the interest of health and public cleanliness. If the streetcar company ever gives us a car so built that the smokers can go off by themselves to puff and stink without annoying

and befouling others, the Portland ordinance may be modified, but not before.

HUMBURGING THE PUBLIC.

The danger of pre-election prophecy is great unless one knows what he is talking about. The fatal gift of fluency, when bestowed on a person or a newspaper that does not know, and apparently does not care to know, what it is talking about, leads often to embarrassing consequences, as the New York Mail, the Bull Moose organ, has reason to feel. The day before the recent election the Mail had a first-page, flare-headed prediction of Roosevelt's overwhelming success. A paragraph will illustrate the tone of the article:

In nine states Roosevelt is absolutely sure of carrying the election by safe pluralities. These states are California, Illinois, Pennsylvania, with thirty-eight, Michigan with fifteen, Kansas, Connecticut, seven, Oregon five, Washington seven and Minnesota twelve. This means that Roosevelt starts with 129 votes.

Here are enumerated all the states Roosevelt carried, and some—Illinois, Kansas, Connecticut and Oregon—which he did not carry; and it is still an open question about the "absolutely sure" of California. Roosevelt did not start with 129, but started with sixty-five from Pennsylvania, Michigan, Washington and Minnesota, and he stops there, unless he also gets California.

If the Mail believed what it said, it can offer the plea only that it was so obsessed by the Roosevelt bug that it could believe anything. If it did not believe what it said, it has a strange view of its duty to its readers.

BACILLI AND HAIR.

It is welcome news that a college professor has perfected an externalizing agent for that particular brand of bacilli which infests the human scalp, propagating its kind in millions and subsisting with its multifarious progeny upon the roots of the lavish hirsute growth peculiar to the scalp. A crying need of the human race is supplied after having gone unfulfilled for centuries. True, various tonorial artists have asserted possession of eradicators of this pestiferous pest, but experience has developed the fact that the tonorial eliminators operate only on the pocketbook.

The college professor in question went about solving the said-headed problem in the most practical way. He recognized at the offset that loss of hair is due wholly to the omnivorous activities of bacilli which have a total lack of regard for the principles of conservation. They find in the tangled hirsute forests and jungles an ideal field for activity and combat. Warmth is supplied gratis, radiating from the scalp day and night. Moisture proceeds from the same source. Usually a tight hat band conserves this heat and moisture so that the entire scalp area becomes a sort of bacilli incubator, preserving the footed atmosphere which breeds the luxury-loving bacilli. In course of time the colony develops into a veritable nation, which in turn overruns itself, and having no outlet for emigration, the busy little creatures strip their habitat as clean as a billiard ball and are left to perish of inanition.

It is to save them from this cruel fate, and incidentally to conserve the world's remaining hair supply, that the professor proceeded with his experiments. He concluded that the sole function of any effective curative must be to destroy the germs at the early stages of their activities. Hence the deadly compound which he announces as certain to spread death and pestilence in the realms of hirsute bacilli. If his compound proves effective the professor should be set down as one of the benefactors of mankind.

DR. FOSTER ON SEX HYGIENE.

The other day The Oregonian took occasion to comment upon Dr. Charles W. Elliot's plain-spoken address to the Harvard freshmen on early marriage. Today we wish to say something about President W. T. Foster's talk before the Federation of Women's Clubs last Thursday. Both addresses are of great interest and intimately to the subject of the improvement of human life by eradicating sexual vice. The ex-president of Harvard perceives that it is measurably useless to exhort young men to forsake their sins unless we point out to them clearly and distinctly the pathway of duty in the interest of harmony at the same time that it leads to happiness. The human race is so created that it craves happiness and will try to get it. If the goal seems to be unattainable through virtue it will be sought through vice and nothing can prevent. The old-fashioned evangelistic preacher of what he selected, because it merely urged people to forsake their sins without showing them anything better to choose. Dr. Elliot did not make this fatal blunder, nor did President Foster.

His text was the need of education in the hygiene of sex and he illustrated it with a restrained sex example. There is no doubt whatever that a great deal of what passes for "purity" in the imaginations of parents is, as he said, really nothing better than gross ignorance on their children's part, ignorance of the most important and interesting subject in the world. The new movement in sex hygiene is a reluctance to face the facts in this matter and impart timely instruction to the young merits all the help that sensible people can give it, for there are many obstacles in the way. Certainly some progress has been made. Portland has advanced farther in this direction than some other cities, as Mr. Foster remarked, but even Portland has still a long way to go before the evil of ignorance with its harvest of disease and misery is left behind. It cannot be too often repeated that unless children receive sex education from good sources they will obtain it from vile ones. Nature imperiously demands this knowledge and every child who has reached a certain age possesses it. Total ignorance is impossible even if it were desirable. The only question worth asking is whether we shall permit knowledge to come to the young with the taint of the slums or laden with suggestions of health and happiness.

Dr. Foster is decidedly of the opinion that children should be taught the vital facts of sex either by their parents or by properly-qualified instructors in the schools. Naturally he does not deem it desirable that every teacher should undertake the task. Some are not qualified by nature for a work so delicate and difficult. Some have the natural gifts needed, but lack knowledge. It would be absurd to entrust education on closed open company ever gives us a car so built that the smokers can go off by themselves to puff and stink without annoying

might make matters worse than ever. The minds of the young are more open to suggestion upon the subject of sex than upon any other and it is unhappily true that they receive little suggestion as to really a good one. Hence the importance of teaching which is not only good in purpose, but also good in fact. This is a domain in which pedagogic mistakes are not permissible. Naturally Dr. Foster would prefer that instruction in sex hygiene should come to children from their parents. It is difficult, however, if their parents are not prepared to give it. The burden of inherited prudery is heavy upon them. Ignorance ties their tongues in too many instances. Frequently their ideals are wholly wrong. It is a subject in which perhaps the majority of parents need instruction as sorely as their children. The fact of the matter is that we are in a miserable plight as far as this species of education is concerned. Urgently we need instruction that is scarcely anybody to teaching without doing more harm than good in the process.

Mr. Foster believes that the time will come when every teacher in the public schools will be required to hold a certificate in sex hygiene. This would be an extremely moderate requirement. Society might excuse teaching of geometry and arithmetic with far less peril to itself than ignorance of the foundations and sources of life. Every public school teacher ought to possess reasonable information on the subject of sex hygiene and should apply what he knows to every subject connected with that is not enough. The subject should be faced squarely. It should not be thrust aside. It is not of less importance than drawing, or athletics. Incidental instruction is indispensable, but there should also be systematic teaching every day enough to express its legitimate purpose. This is two-fold. The first aim is to impart clean and adequate knowledge. The second, and perhaps the more important, is to make the mind so familiar with the purely physiological aspects of sex that the subject will lose its morbid character. The familiarity has reached a certain point the whole matter becomes commonplace. It is accepted as we accept the stomach without any particular emotion except on the occasions fixed by natural law. The veil of unholiness, of prudery, of mechanical aspects of sex should be torn away relentlessly. It is in this mystery that vice makes its stronghold. Here as elsewhere the only safety is in light.

Evidently school instruction in sex hygiene ought to be entrusted to experts only. Men and women exist who are fitted by natural gifts for the task and to them it should be committed with a full sense of its supreme importance. The community owes a debt of gratitude to Mr. Foster for his frank discussion of this vital subject.

King George has found a new use for his royal office. His suggestion that the British House of Commons adjourn for a few days to rest the minds and bodies of its members and to cool their tempers was eminently sensible. It is not the first time he has intervened in the interest of harmony, for when the houses were wrangling about the Lords' veto, a hint from him brought the obstinate Lords to their senses. He has shown that a constitutional monarch can be more than a figurehead, for by a touch of his scepter when the wheels of government stick, he can set them moving again.

There should be some means of keeping a man in office as Treasurer of the United States for a reasonable time in order to avoid the necessity of counting all that money too often. The mere mechanical operation of handling so many coins and notes as make up \$1,260,124,946, to say nothing of the odd 93 2/3 cents, must be a herculean task.

Rapid consumption of beer causes bed eyesight, according to an eminent authority. Men and women exist who tend to cause inaccurate enumeration of objects, indirect locomotion and an inability to distinguish whether a given object is stationary or in transit.

Oregon's Governor is in harmony with the President on the date of Thanksgiving day, which recalls the year when his Democratic predecessor disagreed with President Cleveland and gave the state a second holiday.

People who have taken home packages of fruit to find they were given bed eyesight (that is, bed eyesight) will rejoice to learn one dealer, at least, has been fined in Municipal Court for the offense.

Having acquired plenty of glory and "junk" as reward for his efforts in dry farming, Tillman Reuter naturally prefers cash prizes, and he is right as far as he is concerned, but the other fellow must be considered.

A New Mexico lawyer and his family law partner have been wedded. We should be interested in learning which will be senior member of the firm.

One of the two great hirsute problems seems ready of solution, that of the bald head. The other, to take out the kinks, is in the very distant future.

The wits and energy devoted by many swindlers to their profession would suffice to make good on a bigger scale in legitimate business.

New Industries, sound prosperity and stimulated business activities fully refute those ante-election calamity predictions.

Qualification in Mrs. Belmont's society for suppression of the vice of Christmas giving is acquired easily. Do not reciprocate.

Cholera may prove more effective than the Turks in keeping the Bulgarians out of Constantinople.

Girl hobos are in custody at Baker. The new woman is invading all fields of activity and inactivity.

The stories told by the gunmen about the murder of Rosenthal bear evidence of too careful rehearsal.

ADVICE ON 'GETTING MARRIED.

MARRIED MAN COMMENTS ON SUBJECT OF CONJUGAL BLISS. PORTLAND, Or., Nov. 16.—(To the Editor.)—Views have been expressed through the columns of The Oregonian regarding the bachelor woman question by both bachelors, spinsters (young and old) and married women (happily and otherwise), and I am wondering whether or not you would care to have the views of a married man on the subject of matrimony. "That's Me," comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please, is that any reason why slurs should be cast upon the person, or persons, indulging in them?" I might quite as well say "That's Me" comes in for a vast amount of criticism, most of it I consider unjustly severe. It seems to me that a girl as well as a fellow would be a good mixer, be fond of fun and pleasure and indulge in the same without laying herself open to comments, such as have appeared in your issue, which are subject by your numerous subscribers. Understand me, though, I do not care to go on record approving such behavior. "Harmless" as many of the remarks are, such as "amusements please