

The Oregonian

Entered at Portland, Oregon, Postoffice as Second-Class Matter, August 16, 1881. Acceptance for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917, authorized on July 12, 1918.

Portland, Saturday, Sept. 23, 1911.

of the negotiations or debate on reciprocity would act violently on Canadian public opinion. Horden and his Tories, with a fifteen-year hunger for office, were waiting for just such a campaign cry.

The defeat of Canadian reciprocity means that the opportunity is lost for many years to come. The difficulty has always been to find both countries in the mood to make a treaty at the same time. From 1854 to 1868 we had free trade in many natural products, but in the latter year the treaty was annulled by the United States.

Canada was willing to make a new treaty any time in the ensuing thirty years and at one time a lively agitation to that end was kept up in this country, but the standstill element was supreme and was deaf to the pleadings of Blaine and McKinley to cultivate the foreign market.

Wary of waiting, Canada sought an outlet in British preferential trade. It is the result of that happy conjunction of such men as Taft and Laurier which brought about the long-deferred end, but the Canadians say they will have none of it.

The Medford traffic bureau (a newspaper creation) appears to think the traffic bureau is the cause of the Portland hog. To be sure, the traffic bureau (if there is a traffic bureau) is not on record as using such an unpleasant epithet.

Because Canada thought reciprocity would benefit the United States more than Canada. Because Canada feared that any manifestation of friendliness to the United States would be a demonstration of disloyalty to Great Britain.

Because Canada could not see how both parties to a trade bargain could profit. Because of the purpose of a rival leader of the French element to upset Laurier.

Because political thought and action in Canada are provincial, prejudiced and all-sufficient. Because a political reaction in Canada was about due.

Through the valuable assistance of Champ Clark, the Canadian Conservatives have won the elections by a decisive majority. Reciprocity is beaten. The vote was taken not so much on the question of reciprocity as on that of annexation.

It is useless for Mr. Clark to plead in his defense that President Taft's speeches had as much effect on Canadian opinion as his own and that corruption funds sent from abroad had more effect than both combined.

There are three principal elements in Canada—the descendants of early English and Scotch settlers, many of whom migrated from the north of the border rather than join in the revolution or forswear allegiance to King George III; the French, who are contented and loyal so long as their peculiar customs and privileges are left untouched; and the recent immigrants who are almost all English and Scotch and who have chosen Canada in preference to the United States through loyalty to the British flag.

They believe they have the best government on earth and are proud of being subjects of the greatest empire in the world. They regard as a disgrace any call for annexation, and our National policy in regard to Canada is looked upon along with the feeling which a small nation always has towards a big neighbor.

Laurier's change of policy made the contest between parties a struggle to outdo each other in cultivating both the national and pro-British sentiments of the people. Horden was waiting for Laurier to give him an opening that he might accuse Laurier of being false to Canada as a nation and to the empire.

Reciprocity offered him the opening, for it gave him an excuse to say that Laurier was turning aside from England to seek the alliance with the United States. Champ Clark's speech was his best campaign material. It appeared to justify him in saying that reciprocity was but a preliminary to annexation, and he used it without stint.

The result shows how this appeal to sentiment, passion and the vague fear of annexation worked upon the Canadian people. They were deaf to all the reassuring speeches of President Taft and Secretary Knox about annexation and they rallied to the banner of Canadian nationalism and the British connection. Mr. Clark might have known that the least hint at annexation at any stage

and remember while the orator was on the platform? Then the Governor might never have slipped the recall leash.

JUDGE GROSCUP UNDER FIRE. Judge Groscup is the victim of circumstantial evidence. When a number has been called upon to decide a number of a certain class of cases and the trend of his decisions has been uniformly in one direction, the public is to be excused for believing that he has a bias in that direction.

Judge Groscup has been called upon to decide a large number of important cases in which the interests of large corporations and the people were in conflict. His decisions have almost always been in favor of the corporations. How can people help believing that he is biased in that direction? All the facts tend to confirm the suspicion.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing. He was right close down to the people, with his ear to the ground. He caught every change in public sentiment before, long before, it reached the great papers of the city.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

was a genius, and he is by no means extinct. There are many of him right here in Oregon. May his tribe increase! May he multiply, for, after all, the great strength and power of the country editor lay—will lie—in his numbers.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

There are not many of him in Portland. There are not many of his numbers. Do you know so many of him? His issue is only perhaps six or eight quires, perhaps an average of say twenty tokens (some of the so-called editors may not even know how many a token is); but he issued fifty-two times a year, and his ten thousand associates in doing the same thing.

MILITANT METHODS DEFENDED. Washington Woman Suffrageist Tells What Was Fought. SEATTLE, Wash., Sept. 22.—(The Editor.)—I thoroughly agree with Governor Snafroth of Colorado, in his declaration that the Governors of Utah, Idaho and Washington "did not know what they were talking about when they condemned the methods of militant suffragists."

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

"Coercion and force" are no more analogous to suffrage militancy than is church militancy to those having any knowledge whatever of real suffrage militancy. As a matter of fact, all American suffragists concede to the English suffragists the superiority of the credit for the marvelous growth of the suffrage movement in our country within the last four or five years.

IF WEBB CASE CALLED FOR MERCY, WHAT OF OTHERS? Asks Writer. PORTLAND, Sept. 22.—(To the Editor.)—Many seem to see a meritorious act in the commutation of Webb's sentence. Such persons would have us believe that "humanity" means tenderness, well wishing, disposed to do good.

But human beings have other feelings more important than those. A feeling of the first magnitude is the desire for protection from plunder and murder. Many love an idea in the abstract, but get quickly over it when they themselves are the victims of its materialization.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

Webb and his paramour, Mrs. Carrie Kersh, abandoned their homes and forsaken their marital responsibilities to become denizens of the underworld. Mrs. Kersh had a room over a saloon and made men's acquaintance in the hallway as they passed to and from the bar.

FOOLISH SNEER AT THE OREGONIAN. This Writer Objects to Battleship at Panama Canal. The Oregonian has received the following letter, with the statement that it is a copy of a letter sent to the Secretary of the Navy.

"Dear Sir:—By the enclosed cutting from The Oregonian this date it is stated that the Navy Department wishes to test public opinion in regard to the battleship Oregon leading the procession through the Panama Canal.

"To be frank about the matter, I, for one, am heartily in the favor of a grin, and produce by chemistry and machinery toward conquering the real foes of mankind, ignorance, disease, poverty, vice, superstition.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

"I write these sentiments to satisfy you that the unwholesome clamor for a warship is not entirely unamused; that there is at least one dissenting voice in the ranks of the admiralty; that a battleship on a 'pacific' ocean? However, if there must be a battleship in the procession, let it be placed at the end of the procession, where it will pass by the heart of the matter.

"It has been suggested that a white dove and Mr. T. R. Roosevelt lead the procession. I have examined the drawing of G. B. Shaw's 'Man and Superman,' quoted herewith, is a strong argument against a warship leading.

JURK BECAUSE

Some of the reasons why Canada rejected reciprocity will be found to be included in the following:

Because Champ Clark made a foolish speech about annexation.

Because President Taft is not popular in Canada.

Because Canada thought reciprocity would benefit the United States more than Canada.

Because Canada feared that any manifestation of friendliness to the United States would be a demonstration of disloyalty to Great Britain.

Because reciprocity was seen to be widely popular in the United States.

Because the manufacturers of Canada believed their industries were in great peril.

Because Canada could not see how both parties to a trade bargain could profit.

Because of the purpose of a rival leader of the French element to upset Laurier.

Because political thought and action in Canada are provincial, prejudiced and all-sufficient.

Because a political reaction in Canada was about due.

Because Canada does not like us, anyway.

CHAMP CLARK'S ACHIEVEMENT.

Through the valuable assistance of Champ Clark, the Canadian Conservatives have won the elections by a decisive majority.

Reciprocity is beaten. The vote was taken not so much on the question of reciprocity as on that of annexation.

When the agreement was first introduced in the House at the session which ended last March, Mr. Clark allowed his enthusiasm to get the better of his judgment.

He said:

"I am sure I hope to see the day when the American flag will float over every square foot of the British North American possessions, clear to the North Pole. They are people of our blood. They speak our language. They are like us in many respects. They are trained in the spirit of self-government.

"I am sure I hope to see the day when the American flag will float over every square foot of the British North American possessions, clear to the North Pole. They are people of our blood. They speak our language.