

The Oregonian

Entered as Second-Class Matter, Postoffice at Portland, Oregon, Postoffice No. 100. Accepted for mailing at special rate of postage provided for in Act of October 3, 1917. Authorized on March 1, 1911. (BY MAIL) Daily, Sunday included, one year, \$10.00. Daily, Sunday included, six months, \$6.00. Daily, Sunday included, three months, \$3.50. Daily, Sunday included, one month, \$1.25. Daily, without Sunday, one year, \$8.00. Daily, without Sunday, six months, \$5.00. Daily, without Sunday, three months, \$3.00. Daily, without Sunday, one month, \$1.00. Weekly, one year, \$3.00. Weekly, one year, \$2.50. Sunday and weekly, one year, \$2.00. LIT. CARRIERS Daily, Sunday included, one year, \$2.00. Daily, Sunday included, six months, \$1.50. Daily, Sunday included, three months, \$1.00. Daily, Sunday included, one month, \$0.50. How to Subscribe: Send your check or money order to the Oregonian, P. O. Box 100, Portland, Ore. Address in full, including county and state. Payment in advance. Single copies, 5 cents. Foreign postage extra. Foreign orders, 10 cents. Foreign postage extra. Eastern Business Office—Verge & Co., 100 New York building. Portland, Ore.

Portland, Saturday, March 25, 1911.

ROOSEVELT ON EXCEPTIONAL MEN.

Mr. Roosevelt is not the first American citizen to remark upon the superlative value of the master-mind. He told the Berkeley students, as no doubt they have often been told before by their professors, that material civilization is by its nature ephemeral. The luxury of Tyre and the naval supremacy of Carthage have disappeared without leaving more than a trace upon the history of the world, while the exceptional men of Greece and Rome still count as factors in civilization because they were master-minds.

When the Carnegie Institution at Washington began its work the first president, Dr. D. C. Gilman, declared that its principal purpose would be to seek out "the exceptional man" and help him develop his powers. No doubt this aim has been followed more or less faithfully ever since, but for some reason the master-mind which Mr. Roosevelt longs for has not made its appearance in the United States. During the last half century there have been tremendous intellectual movements in Europe and America has reflected them with a certain facility, but we have not initiated any of our own.

To mention only an instance or two, Nietzsche's philosophy, which is so subjective to the point of being almost insight under thin disguises in all our colleges, but we have brought no Nietzsche to light. After deriding Ibsen's epoch-making drama, we now go dutifully to see it played without the faintest expectation of seeing any new ideas arising out of the play-wright's pen. When Madame Curie discovered radium the scientific world of Europe forthwith prepared its instruments of investigation to push deeper into the secrets of radiant matter, but the first American to do this was a man who had just returned from Harvard professor that the whole thing was humbug.

The period of half a century which has brought forward so many Europeans in all the departments of intellectual life has produced a great number of respectable mediocrities in America, but not one genius of the first rank. Mr. Roosevelt may well remark upon the circumstance and ask what the reason is. Certainly it cannot be because of more material wealth, for the American people are richer than any other people in the world. The intellectual nobilities of Europe are not usually either rich men or aristocrats. They are born in modest homes and rise to eminence through adversity. There is a common notion that genius is directed to the Old World and opportunity denied to the poor, but facts do not approve it. It is proportionally more common for a poor boy to achieve real intellectual eminence in Europe than it is here, though they do not so frequently become millionaires.

Here, no doubt, is the key to the problem of our dearth of exceptional intellectual lights. The mental energy which in the Old World goes to the production of poems, plays and scientific discoveries is directed in the United States to the accumulation of wealth. Getting rich has been held up to our youth as the one worthy object of ambition. It is all very well to be a poet, we tell our young people, if you can afford it, but what chance has a man to win immortal fame if he hasn't enough to eat and must wear shabby clothes? The European answers that it profits a great deal, but in this country we are of another opinion.

Mental power is not marked off into fixed divisions. It is a protean entity which admits of transformations into many forms. While the genius of a Shelley is no doubt something unique, a special creation, still as a rule a person of exceptional ability may become eminent in any one of many different fields. Most of our boys have chosen the field of money-making, so that few have been left for the drama, scientific discovery and philosophy. A man who can do what Rockefeller did in the domain of finance could have become a rival of Descartes, perhaps, had his mind been turned to metaphysics when he was a boy. What we must do in order to produce the exceptional master-minds that set the lure of glory before our youth instead of the lure of dollars. Some way must be found to make them fall in love with the olive wreath and the lofty ode. The light that never was on land or sea would shine here if we gave it a crack to glimmer through the clouds that we have bar the windows with gold.

In our opinion Mr. Roosevelt is wise to make his plea to the college boys and girls. It is of no more use to try to convert a grown man to the evangel of art and fame than it is to seek to turn a forty-year-old man into a child. It cannot be done. But take a youth in his purple glory when the clouds he has brought from God are still trailing and heaven still shines about him and there is no limit to what you can do. Call to his soul from the heights and he will answer. Set the ideal before him and he will give his life to follow it.

The colleges have yielded too readily to the tyranny of the practical. They have scouted and inclined their ears all too readily to the money changers' inviting charm. When our colleges return to locusts and wild honey our boys will grow up into Miltons and Darwins. Until that happens we must be content with John Jay Hammond

and Rockefeller. They are excellent of their kind. It is with the kind itself that Mr. Roosevelt finds fault.

WHAT IS THE NEXT MOVE?

If we understand correctly the logic of Mr. Timothy Brownhill's argument, advanced in his frank letter yesterday, it is that the way to build up a great combined agricultural college and state university is to wreck the present institutions and rear the new edifice on the ruins. The plan involves a great deal of risk, not to say disaster, to the educational structure of Oregon.

The Oregonian has urged, and now favors, a rational and comprehensive plan for combining the institutions at Eugene and Corvallis. But it is not willing to adopt the violent Brownhill method. If the energies of Mr. Brownhill and his exasperated colleagues in old Yamhill were to be directed toward the formulation of a joint advisory of the three, being in line and in logic, a candid judgment, direct legislation will presently be giving to the framing of laws and to the phrasing of their titles. It is a conscientiousness than were responsible for the law intended to secure a procedure in the taxing of the single tax and for the "women's taxpayer's suffrage amendment."

It is probable that if the "short ballot" idea were applied to direct election in Oregon, the result would be in trite titles, imperfectly drawn measures and inadequately presented laws, such as Mr. Haynes mentions, would be greatly minimized. If there were fewer measures for consideration the voter would have a larger opportunity and more time for study and for discovering hidden meanings. In its application to candidates the short ballot movement has a large degree of merit if not carried to extremes, but the Oregonian is not prepared to endorse a system of state government inoperative to the commission of offenses. To go to this extreme would invite the return of machine rule in state politics. Always will there be men in each community who can control votes. Their organization under expectation of a large vote is not undeniably without a lodgment of the appointing power in a very few officers. Yet the reasons for an adaptation of the short ballot idea to both branches of the Oregon voter's prerogative are becoming imperative.

Mr. Roosevelt should find a receptive audience if he discusses the subject in Oregon.

ON TREATING.

According to the account in the Tacoma Daily Tribune the anti-treating ordinance carried every ward in the city except one. In some wards, the Fifth and Sixth, for instance, the vote in favor of it was two to one, while the total majority it received was heavy.

This shows that the Tacomans are strongly in favor of good morals, but it also shows that they do not know how to attain their ambition. When two men enter a saloon where there is nobody else but the barkeeper and the bartender, the latter is not to be treated as a social equal. They may repeat their crime a dozen times a day every day in the year without letting slip the slightest legal evidence of it.

One may believe that the anti-treating ordinance will deprive some hypocrites of paying each other's fare on the street cars, but it will not do a great deal more. We wish it could stop the practice of half a score of men lining up at the bar and making a social party of the whole crowd, but we fear it will not. To enforce a law there must be proof of its violation, and we doubt whether treaters or treatees will ever testify to the truth when it comes to the pinch.

PUTTING DOWN THE BRAKES.

Seattle has reached the point in its history where it does not disdain to talk of retrenchment in municipal expenses. Every spendthrift city, as well as every individual wastrel, sooner or later reaches that point. The trouble in municipal extravagance is in putting on the brakes. The habit is strong and none is stronger than that of spending unearned increments, whether from taxes or inheritance. Such a habit, entrenched in growth and pampered by indulgence, blinds its victims to financial prudence. It is like riding a bicycle. In that an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of cure. In the case of Seattle all suggestion of prudence as applied to the forced growth of the city was spurned as fit an implement of the short ballot as the rule. The short ballot, which implies the centralization of authority and the power to appoint minor officers in a few important ones, is advocated as a means of enabling the voters to choose among candidates a better knowledge as to their fitness.

"Now, you cannot exercise a wise choice if you are asked to vote on a multitude of names," said the Colonel in his Los Angeles speech. "If you are given a choice among thirty or forty names it is to vote, how many of them will you know anything about?" We are not informed as to the inspiration for the Colonel's illustration of a ticket carrying thirty or forty names. Perhaps that is the numerical status of the ticket he is alluding to voting. Here in Portland the ballot in the last general election carried about 130 names, in addition to the titles of thirty-two laws and constitutional amendments.

Colonel Roosevelt had been in conference. Mr. Haynes says. The experience in this election, furthermore, has proved the need of attention both to the psychology and to the ethics of title-writing. One measure, said to have been drafted because its title included a doubtful clause, which was successfully avoided in the text of the law itself. Another measure of dubious merit was passed because the title, was silent as to the main intent of the law, made a successful appeal to an exaggerated popular prejudice against a demerit poll tax. Direct legislation is not the spontaneous registration of individual voters' measured judgment as to the best method of dealing with a given problem; the voters simply acquiesce in the proposals of specific proposals originated, framed and phrased and every step in the procedure is controlled by some one else.

By whom? For what? These may at times prove doubtful questions. The measure now on the three tax measures upon the November ballot was drawn in such language as to make it difficult to understand; nor was this lack supplied by any enlightening argument in the book, the copy of which was submitted, in joint advocacy of the three, being in line and in logic, a candid judgment, direct legislation will presently be giving to the framing of laws and to the phrasing of their titles. It is a conscientiousness than were responsible for the law intended to secure a procedure in the taxing of the single tax and for the "women's taxpayer's suffrage amendment."

The remarkable feat of the French aviator Breguet in carrying eleven passengers a distance of two miles in his monoplane reveals wonderful progress in flying machine construction. The weight of the twelve people was 1315 pounds, and the combined weight of machine and occupants was 2602 pounds. It is not yet ten years since inventors were struggling in vain to perfect heavier-than-air machines that would lift one lone operator from the earth for a few moments at a time. The remarkable flight of Breguet is of vastly greater importance than all of the high-flying and spectacular stunts that have been performed in gold and silver balloons that followed the Wrights, the original birdmen. The most serious deficiency now apparent in the aeroplane is a device which will prevent too sudden a descent in case of accident. Like the air brake on the train, and the clutch on the automobile, the device will come along in time, but death will continue to levy heavy toll on the calling until some such device is provided.

The Supreme Court at Olympia, Wash., has handed down a decision which will affect the competition of the Southern Railway and the Seattle Electric Company to give transfers from one line to the other on a 5-cent fare. It was the refusal of the company to grant a 5-cent fare into the city that brought out the demand for municipal ownership of the downtown line. The Seattle voters, possibly including a few of the taxpayers, voted favorably on the proposition, and if the bonds can be sold, Seattle will in time be in possession of a line which will secure the ownership of the downtown line. The next thing necessary in order to make the line popular (not necessarily profitable) will be for the voters, not including the taxpayers, to declare for a 5-cent fare or ten tickets for the same amount. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders—at least it did last year. The demand was made last year. The truth is, the present rumpus is stirred up by four men, who are the owners of the downtown line. One of them owns eight 50-foot lots; another six lots; two own four lots apiece, and even Mr. Brereton owns half a block. Are they in any danger of losing anything? Is it not better that they wish to reap the unearned increment, without expending a cent, than to be reduced to a few dollars' worth of real estate speculators. This is not true; it comes from 50 per cent of Woodstock holders