

# PREACHER'S WIFE MAKES GUN PLAY

## Pastor Sues for Salary and Trustees Mix With Him in Street Fight.

### COLFAX ALL STIRRED UP

Both Minister and Wife Arrested Following "Rough House" After Trustees Are Refused Admission to Church Building.

COLFAX, Wash., June 2.—(Special.)—A gunplay by the wife of Rev. H. G. Buss, pastor of the First Baptist Church; the arrest of the clergyman and his helpmate; the attempt of the members of the congregation and the trustees opposed to the retention of Mr. Buss to get possession of the church, and suit of the preacher for back salary, have stirred this city. The clergyman tells of an assault by the trustees at the door of the parsonage tonight, as follows:

"County Assessor F. N. English, B. F. Sherkey, E. E. Sherkey and J. Hugh Sherkey came to the door of my parsonage and demanded entrance to the church for those of the congregation who had assembled outside, but I refused them. English then demanded that I surrender the keys to the church, but I refused unless compelled by law. English handed me a written notice from the trustees that I must vacate the parsonage within five days, and he then attempted to enter the parsonage. I told him to keep out.

### Pastor Is Attacked.

"E. E. Sherkey caught hold of me and pulled me through the door. Once I was outside, B. F. Sherkey attacked and choked me. I was thrown to the ground and struck and kicked although I cannot state who were the perpetrators of the latter attack. I called to my wife and told her to get a gun. She went upstairs and returned with it, but instead of giving it to me, she ran out into the crowd in front of the church, calling for the police. My captors then allowed me to go and I returned to the house. Later I was visited by Sheriff Carter. I requested him to disperse the mob, which he refused to do."

E. Davidson, a trustee, was on the sidewalk in front of the parsonage making remarks directed at Buss. One statement Mrs. Buss could not understand and she crossed over from the opposite side of the street, where she had been waiting for the police, and struck him in the back with the gun. As he turned around, she pushed the revolver near his face and asked him to repeat what he said. He replied that he had said nothing offensive.

### Preacher Sues for Salary.

Mrs. Buss then entered the parsonage, where she was disarmed by Patrolman Hickman. The church members withdrew to the public rear room across the street and held a meeting. B. F. Sherkey swore out warrants against Buss and wife, charging them with exhibiting a deadly weapon in a crowd. Sheriff Carter arrested Buss and wife, who were later released on bond.

Rev. Buss today began proceedings in Superior Court to recover from the church society the \$704.15 he alleges is due him for back salary to June 1. He has also begun proceedings against the trustees individually, and service of the action was made by the Sheriff this afternoon upon Mr. Davidson, B. F. Sherkey, Fred Schreiner and John S. Agertner. The fifth member of the board, John Peterson, who was the Democratic gubernatorial candidate at the last election, has recently removed to Spokane, but the summons for him will be forwarded to the Spokane authorities tomorrow.

This is the beginning of the end of the most sensational church quarrel in the history of Colfax and is the culmination of 20 years of strife in the congregation.

# GLASS LEGISLATION BAD

## NATIONAL MANUFACTURERS' ASSOCIATION GIVES VIEWS.

General Manager Bird Says It Is Working Against Anti-Injunction and Eight-Hour Laws.

SPOKANE, Wash., June 2.—(Special.)—We are not opposed to the American Federation of Labor, but we are working against its idea of promulgating class legislation.

This is the statement of J. Philip Bird, general manager of the National Association of Manufacturers, who is in the city. James W. Van Cleave, ex-president of the association, will arrive tomorrow morning and remain until Saturday night. James A. Emery, general counsel of the association, will reach Spokane Friday, and conferences will be held with the manufacturers of the city.

"We are opposed to pernicious legislation in the states and the Nation," stated Mr. Bird. "We wish to check special recognition of certain classes. We object to seeing this republic drifting toward the condition of a nation of 90,000,000 people with every class a law unto itself. If one class gets special legislation, others will demand it, and every one will be trying to get particular rights."

"We are working against unfair anti-injunction and eight-hour laws. We do not believe it should be necessary for man to join a union to gain his rights."

### EXCISE TOLD "HANDS OFF"

District Judge Restrains Board From Bothing Lodge Buffets.

LINCOLN, Neb., June 2.—District Judge Corliss issued today a temporary restraining order forbidding the city of Lincoln, through its excise board or police, from interfering with the operation of the buffets in the clubhouses of the Elks, Eagles and German Family Society. The case will be tried July 5.

# MORE WITNESSES ON PANAMA LABEL

## Government Is Given Time to Prove Publisher Knew Charges Were False.

### JUDGE EXPRESSES DOUBT

Says Cromwell's Refusal to Give Names of Panama Syndicate Gave Ground for Suspicion. High Officials to Testify.

INDIANAPOLIS, Ind., June 2.—Prominent financiers, politicians and Government officials must testify in the criminal libel case of the Government against Delavan Smith and Charles E. Williams, owners of the Indianapolis News. Judge Anderson today continued till October 11 the hearing of the case. This was done on the Government's contention that such delay was necessary in order that the witnesses might be heard.

Those whose testimony is sought include Frank H. Hitchcock, chairman of the Republican National committee; Norman E. Mack, chairman of the Democratic National committee; George B. Cortelyou, certain members of the office staff of J. P. Morgan & Co., and various Government officials.

### PROVE STORY KNOWN AS FAKE.

The defense protested against a continuance, saying the Government should have been prepared to submit its evidence, and the court at first seemed to approve. On a statement of the Government counsel, however, as to what it was expected to prove by the new witnesses, the court decided to hear the further evidence.

District Attorney McNamara said he would prove by Messrs. Hitchcock and Mack that they had made the public announcements that the Panama story offered to both of them was a fake, originated by black-mailers, who at first hoped to get money from William Nelson Cromwell, but failed. The story was published in the New York World and the same paper on October 3 printed Mr. Cromwell's denial. Mr. McNamara said he expected to prove that the defendants had knowledge of the denials when they prepared their editorial article for the Indianapolis News.

By members of J. Pierpont Morgan's staff he said he expected to prove that the \$400,000 the United States paid for the Panama Canal was paid directly to the two old French companies and once distributed to about 230,000 holders of the stock in France, and that no go-between got a cent of profit.

### Cromwell's Silence Suspicious.

Judge Anderson had expressed doubt as to the relevancy of further evidence, saying he himself was impressed by the fact that Mr. Cromwell had refused to tell the Senate investigating committee the names of his clients, who composed a syndicate for "Americanizing" the canal. The public might justly infer, the court said, that there was something wrong somewhere in the transaction, in which \$100,000,000 of its money was expended.

The court further said it was his personal impression that at the time of the Walker Commission's inquiry into the comparative merits of the Panama and the Nicaragua routes, "the people that knew all about canal matters had a very quick, sudden change of heart from the Nicaragua route to the Panama route."

### Why Panama Was Chosen.

United States Attorney Miller here suggested that the commission favored the Panama route, but recommended the Nicaragua route because of the enormous price asked for the Panama property. Judge Anderson said he was not speaking of his clients, but of those persons about Washington and elsewhere who were interesting themselves in the canal.

Mr. McNamara said the defendants must have known from published reports that at the close of the Senate inquiry Mr. Cromwell said he had not received a cent of profit from the canal transfer and no other American had.

# REFERENDUM STOPS IT

## HOME TELEPHONE COMPANY IS LAW'S VICTIM SOUTH.

### It Would Raise Residence Rates \$1 and 40,000 Los Angeles Demand Vote on It.

LOS ANGELES, June 2.—The legislative principle of referendum which is a part of the Los Angeles charter, was successfully invoked today by 40,000 citizens, who attached their signatures to a petition preventing the City Council making operative a law allowing the Home Telephone Company to increase its rates on residence telephones 7 per cent.

If applied to all residence telephones, it would amount to about \$145,000 annually. The Council recently enacted such legislation, but a local newspaper invoked the referendum against it and obtained the signatures of 7 per cent of the electors, suspending the legislation and causing the ordinance to be submitted to a vote of the whole people at the regular election in December.

# FLARES UP IN COURT

## HELMS DEFIES ATTORNEY WHO CALLS HIM PERJURER.

HENRY IS REBUKED BY COURT IN CALHOUN TRIAL.—Detective Makes Damaging Points.

SAN FRANCISCO, June 2.—A dozen witnesses, who contributed disconnected portions of testimony, passed in review today before the jury in the case of Patrick Calhoun, president of the United Railroads. Virtually all of the testimony submitted was corroborative or contradictory to evidence already presented and it was apparent that the case of the prosecution, which was opened on April 15, is drawing to a close.

# MORE WITNESSES ON PANAMA LABEL

## Government Is Given Time to Prove Publisher Knew Charges Were False.

### JUDGE EXPRESSES DOUBT

Says Cromwell's Refusal to Give Names of Panama Syndicate Gave Ground for Suspicion. High Officials to Testify.

INDIANAPOLIS, Ind., June 2.—Prominent financiers, politicians and Government officials must testify in the criminal libel case of the Government against Delavan Smith and Charles E. Williams, owners of the Indianapolis News. Judge Anderson today continued till October 11 the hearing of the case. This was done on the Government's contention that such delay was necessary in order that the witnesses might be heard.

Those whose testimony is sought include Frank H. Hitchcock, chairman of the Republican National committee; Norman E. Mack, chairman of the Democratic National committee; George B. Cortelyou, certain members of the office staff of J. P. Morgan & Co., and various Government officials.

### PROVE STORY KNOWN AS FAKE.

The defense protested against a continuance, saying the Government should have been prepared to submit its evidence, and the court at first seemed to approve. On a statement of the Government counsel, however, as to what it was expected to prove by the new witnesses, the court decided to hear the further evidence.

District Attorney McNamara said he would prove by Messrs. Hitchcock and Mack that they had made the public announcements that the Panama story offered to both of them was a fake, originated by black-mailers, who at first hoped to get money from William Nelson Cromwell, but failed. The story was published in the New York World and the same paper on October 3 printed Mr. Cromwell's denial. Mr. McNamara said he expected to prove that the defendants had knowledge of the denials when they prepared their editorial article for the Indianapolis News.

By members of J. Pierpont Morgan's staff he said he expected to prove that the \$400,000 the United States paid for the Panama Canal was paid directly to the two old French companies and once distributed to about 230,000 holders of the stock in France, and that no go-between got a cent of profit.

### Cromwell's Silence Suspicious.

Judge Anderson had expressed doubt as to the relevancy of further evidence, saying he himself was impressed by the fact that Mr. Cromwell had refused to tell the Senate investigating committee the names of his clients, who composed a syndicate for "Americanizing" the canal. The public might justly infer, the court said, that there was something wrong somewhere in the transaction, in which \$100,000,000 of its money was expended.

The court further said it was his personal impression that at the time of the Walker Commission's inquiry into the comparative merits of the Panama and the Nicaragua routes, "the people that knew all about canal matters had a very quick, sudden change of heart from the Nicaragua route to the Panama route."

### Why Panama Was Chosen.

United States Attorney Miller here suggested that the commission favored the Panama route, but recommended the Nicaragua route because of the enormous price asked for the Panama property. Judge Anderson said he was not speaking of his clients, but of those persons about Washington and elsewhere who were interesting themselves in the canal.

Mr. McNamara said the defendants must have known from published reports that at the close of the Senate inquiry Mr. Cromwell said he had not received a cent of profit from the canal transfer and no other American had.

# REFERENDUM STOPS IT

## HOME TELEPHONE COMPANY IS LAW'S VICTIM SOUTH.

### It Would Raise Residence Rates \$1 and 40,000 Los Angeles Demand Vote on It.

LOS ANGELES, June 2.—The legislative principle of referendum which is a part of the Los Angeles charter, was successfully invoked today by 40,000 citizens, who attached their signatures to a petition preventing the City Council making operative a law allowing the Home Telephone Company to increase its rates on residence telephones 7 per cent.

If applied to all residence telephones, it would amount to about \$145,000 annually. The Council recently enacted such legislation, but a local newspaper invoked the referendum against it and obtained the signatures of 7 per cent of the electors, suspending the legislation and causing the ordinance to be submitted to a vote of the whole people at the regular election in December.

# FLARES UP IN COURT

## HELMS DEFIES ATTORNEY WHO CALLS HIM PERJURER.

HENRY IS REBUKED BY COURT IN CALHOUN TRIAL.—Detective Makes Damaging Points.

SAN FRANCISCO, June 2.—A dozen witnesses, who contributed disconnected portions of testimony, passed in review today before the jury in the case of Patrick Calhoun, president of the United Railroads. Virtually all of the testimony submitted was corroborative or contradictory to evidence already presented and it was apparent that the case of the prosecution, which was opened on April 15, is drawing to a close.

# MORE WITNESSES ON PANAMA LABEL

## Government Is Given Time to Prove Publisher Knew Charges Were False.

### JUDGE EXPRESSES DOUBT

Says Cromwell's Refusal to Give Names of Panama Syndicate Gave Ground for Suspicion. High Officials to Testify.

INDIANAPOLIS, Ind., June 2.—Prominent financiers, politicians and Government officials must testify in the criminal libel case of the Government against Delavan Smith and Charles E. Williams, owners of the Indianapolis News. Judge Anderson today continued till October 11 the hearing of the case. This was done on the Government's contention that such delay was necessary in order that the witnesses might be heard.

Those whose testimony is sought include Frank H. Hitchcock, chairman of the Republican National committee; Norman E. Mack, chairman of the Democratic National committee; George B. Cortelyou, certain members of the office staff of J. P. Morgan & Co., and various Government officials.

### PROVE STORY KNOWN AS FAKE.

The defense protested against a continuance, saying the Government should have been prepared to submit its evidence, and the court at first seemed to approve. On a statement of the Government counsel, however, as to what it was expected to prove by the new witnesses, the court decided to hear the further evidence.

District Attorney McNamara said he would prove by Messrs. Hitchcock and Mack that they had made the public announcements that the Panama story offered to both of them was a fake, originated by black-mailers, who at first hoped to get money from William Nelson Cromwell, but failed. The story was published in the New York World and the same paper on October 3 printed Mr. Cromwell's denial. Mr. McNamara said he expected to prove that the defendants had knowledge of the denials when they prepared their editorial article for the Indianapolis News.

By members of J. Pierpont Morgan's staff he said he expected to prove that the \$400,000 the United States paid for the Panama Canal was paid directly to the two old French companies and once distributed to about 230,000 holders of the stock in France, and that no go-between got a cent of profit.

### Cromwell's Silence Suspicious.

Judge Anderson had expressed doubt as to the relevancy of further evidence, saying he himself was impressed by the fact that Mr. Cromwell had refused to tell the Senate investigating committee the names of his clients, who composed a syndicate for "Americanizing" the canal. The public might justly infer, the court said, that there was something wrong somewhere in the transaction, in which \$100,000,000 of its money was expended.

The court further said it was his personal impression that at the time of the Walker Commission's inquiry into the comparative merits of the Panama and the Nicaragua routes, "the people that knew all about canal matters had a very quick, sudden change of heart from the Nicaragua route to the Panama route."

### Why Panama Was Chosen.

United States Attorney Miller here suggested that the commission favored the Panama route, but recommended the Nicaragua route because of the enormous price asked for the Panama property. Judge Anderson said he was not speaking of his clients, but of those persons about Washington and elsewhere who were interesting themselves in the canal.

Mr. McNamara said the defendants must have known from published reports that at the close of the Senate inquiry Mr. Cromwell said he had not received a cent of profit from the canal transfer and no other American had.

# REFERENDUM STOPS IT

## HOME TELEPHONE COMPANY IS LAW'S VICTIM SOUTH.

### It Would Raise Residence Rates \$1 and 40,000 Los Angeles Demand Vote on It.

LOS ANGELES, June 2.—The legislative principle of referendum which is a part of the Los Angeles charter, was successfully invoked today by 40,000 citizens, who attached their signatures to a petition preventing the City Council making operative a law allowing the Home Telephone Company to increase its rates on residence telephones 7 per cent.

If applied to all residence telephones, it would amount to about \$145,000 annually. The Council recently enacted such legislation, but a local newspaper invoked the referendum against it and obtained the signatures of 7 per cent of the electors, suspending the legislation and causing the ordinance to be submitted to a vote of the whole people at the regular election in December.

# FLARES UP IN COURT

## HELMS DEFIES ATTORNEY WHO CALLS HIM PERJURER.

HENRY IS REBUKED BY COURT IN CALHOUN TRIAL.—Detective Makes Damaging Points.

SAN FRANCISCO, June 2.—A dozen witnesses, who contributed disconnected portions of testimony, passed in review today before the jury in the case of Patrick Calhoun, president of the United Railroads. Virtually all of the testimony submitted was corroborative or contradictory to evidence already presented and it was apparent that the case of the prosecution, which was opened on April 15, is drawing to a close.

# MORE WITNESSES ON PANAMA LABEL

## Government Is Given Time to Prove Publisher Knew Charges Were False.

### JUDGE EXPRESSES DOUBT

Says Cromwell's Refusal to Give Names of Panama Syndicate Gave Ground for Suspicion. High Officials to Testify.

INDIANAPOLIS, Ind., June 2.—Prominent financiers, politicians and Government officials must testify in the criminal libel case of the Government against Delavan Smith and Charles E. Williams, owners of the Indianapolis News. Judge Anderson today continued till October 11 the hearing of the case. This was done on the Government's contention that such delay was necessary in order that the witnesses might be heard.

Those whose testimony is sought include Frank H. Hitchcock, chairman of the Republican National committee; Norman E. Mack, chairman of the Democratic National committee; George B. Cortelyou, certain members of the office staff of J. P. Morgan & Co., and various Government officials.

### PROVE STORY KNOWN AS FAKE.

The defense protested against a continuance, saying the Government should have been prepared to submit its evidence, and the court at first seemed to approve. On a statement of the Government counsel, however, as to what it was expected to prove by the new witnesses, the court decided to hear the further evidence.

District Attorney McNamara said he would prove by Messrs. Hitchcock and Mack that they had made the public announcements that the Panama story offered to both of them was a fake, originated by black-mailers, who at first hoped to get money from William Nelson Cromwell, but failed. The story was published in the New York World and the same paper on October 3 printed Mr. Cromwell's denial. Mr. McNamara said he expected to prove that the defendants had knowledge of the denials when they prepared their editorial article for the Indianapolis News.

By members of J. Pierpont Morgan's staff he said he expected to prove that the \$400,000 the United States paid for the Panama Canal was paid directly to the two old French companies and once distributed to about 230,000 holders of the stock in France, and that no go-between got a cent of profit.

### Cromwell's Silence Suspicious.

Judge Anderson had expressed doubt as to the relevancy of further evidence, saying he himself was impressed by the fact that Mr. Cromwell had refused to tell the Senate investigating committee the names of his clients, who composed a syndicate for "Americanizing" the canal. The public might justly infer, the court said, that there was something wrong somewhere in the transaction, in which \$100,000,000 of its money was expended.

The court further said it was his personal impression that at the time of the Walker Commission's inquiry into the comparative merits of the Panama and the Nicaragua routes, "the people that knew all about canal matters had a very quick, sudden change of heart from the Nicaragua route to the Panama route."

### Why Panama Was Chosen.

United States Attorney Miller here suggested that the commission favored the Panama route, but recommended the Nicaragua route because of the enormous price asked for the Panama property. Judge Anderson said he was not speaking of his clients, but of those persons about Washington and elsewhere who were interesting themselves in the canal.

Mr. McNamara said the defendants must have known from published reports that at the close of the Senate inquiry Mr. Cromwell said he had not received a cent of profit from the canal transfer and no other American had.

# REFERENDUM STOPS IT

## HOME TELEPHONE COMPANY IS LAW'S VICTIM SOUTH.

### It Would Raise Residence Rates \$1 and 40,000 Los Angeles Demand Vote on It.

LOS ANGELES, June 2.—The legislative principle of referendum which is a part of the Los Angeles charter, was successfully invoked today by 40,000 citizens, who attached their signatures to a petition preventing the City Council making operative a law allowing the Home Telephone Company to increase its rates on residence telephones 7 per cent.

If applied to all residence telephones, it would amount to about \$145,000 annually. The Council recently enacted such legislation, but a local newspaper invoked the referendum against it and obtained the signatures of 7 per cent of the electors, suspending the legislation and causing the ordinance to be submitted to a vote of the whole people at the regular election in December.

# FLARES UP IN COURT

## HELMS DEFIES ATTORNEY WHO CALLS HIM PERJURER.

HENRY IS REBUKED BY COURT IN CALHOUN TRIAL.—Detective Makes Damaging Points.

SAN FRANCISCO, June 2.—A dozen witnesses, who contributed disconnected portions of testimony, passed in review today before the jury in the case of Patrick Calhoun, president of the United Railroads. Virtually all of the testimony submitted was corroborative or contradictory to evidence already presented and it was apparent that the case of the prosecution, which was opened on April 15, is drawing to a close.

# MORE WITNESSES ON PANAMA LABEL

## Government Is Given Time to Prove Publisher Knew Charges Were False.

### JUDGE EXPRESSES DOUBT

Says Cromwell's Refusal to Give Names of Panama Syndicate Gave Ground for Suspicion. High Officials to Testify.

INDIANAPOLIS, Ind., June 2.—Prominent financiers, politicians and Government officials must testify in the criminal libel case of the Government against Delavan Smith and Charles E. Williams, owners of the Indianapolis News. Judge Anderson today continued till October 11 the hearing of the case. This was done on the Government's contention that such delay was necessary in order that the witnesses might be heard.

Those whose testimony is sought include Frank H. Hitchcock, chairman of the Republican National committee; Norman E. Mack, chairman of the Democratic National committee; George B. Cortelyou, certain members of the office staff of J. P. Morgan & Co., and various Government officials.

### PROVE STORY KNOWN AS FAKE.

The defense protested against a continuance, saying the Government should have been prepared to submit its evidence, and the court at first seemed to approve. On a statement of the Government counsel, however, as to what it was expected to prove by the new witnesses, the court decided to hear the further evidence.

District Attorney McNamara said he would prove by Messrs. Hitchcock and Mack that they had made the public announcements that the Panama story offered to both of them was a fake, originated by black-mailers, who at first hoped to get money from William Nelson Cromwell, but failed. The story was published in the New York World and the same paper on October 3 printed Mr. Cromwell's denial. Mr. McNamara said he expected to prove that the defendants had knowledge of the denials when they prepared their editorial article for the Indianapolis News.

By members of J. Pierpont Morgan's staff he said he expected to prove that the \$400,000 the United States paid for the Panama Canal was paid directly to the two old French companies and once distributed to about 230,000 holders of the stock in France, and that no go-between got a cent of profit.

### Cromwell's Silence Suspicious.

Judge Anderson had expressed doubt as to the relevancy of further evidence, saying he himself was impressed by the fact that Mr. Cromwell had refused to tell the Senate investigating committee the names of his clients, who composed a syndicate for "Americanizing" the canal. The public might justly infer, the court said, that there was something wrong somewhere in the transaction, in which \$100,000,000 of its money was expended.

The court further said it was his personal impression that at the time of the Walker Commission's inquiry into the comparative merits of the Panama and the Nicaragua routes, "the people that knew all about canal matters had a very quick, sudden change of heart from the Nicaragua route to the Panama route."

### Why Panama Was Chosen.

United States Attorney Miller here suggested that the commission favored the Panama route, but recommended the Nicaragua route because of the enormous price asked for the Panama property. Judge Anderson said he was not speaking of his clients, but of those persons about Washington and elsewhere who were interesting themselves in the canal.

Mr. McNamara said the defendants must have known from published reports that at the close of the Senate inquiry Mr. Cromwell said he had not received a cent of profit from the canal transfer and no other American had.

# REFERENDUM STOPS IT

## HOME TELEPHONE COMPANY IS LAW'S VICTIM SOUTH.

### It Would Raise Residence Rates \$1 and 40,000 Los Angeles Demand Vote on It.

LOS ANGELES, June 2.—The legislative principle of referendum which is a part of the Los Angeles charter, was successfully invoked today by 40,000 citizens, who attached their signatures to a petition preventing the City Council making operative a law allowing the Home Telephone Company to increase its rates on residence telephones 7 per cent.

If applied to all residence telephones, it would amount to about \$145,000 annually. The Council recently enacted such legislation, but a local newspaper invoked the referendum against it and obtained the signatures of 7 per cent of the electors, suspending the legislation and causing the ordinance to be submitted to a vote of the whole people at the regular election in December.

# FLARES UP IN COURT

## HELMS DEFIES ATTORNEY WHO CALLS HIM PERJURER.

HENRY IS REBUKED BY COURT IN CALHOUN TRIAL.—Detective Makes Damaging Points.

SAN FRANCISCO, June 2.—A dozen witnesses, who contributed disconnected portions of testimony, passed in review today before the jury in the case of Patrick Calhoun, president of the United Railroads. Virtually all of the testimony submitted was corroborative or contradictory to evidence already presented and it was apparent that the case of the prosecution, which was opened on April 15, is drawing to a close.

# A Quick Clearance of Tailored Suits \$8.95



We have gathered many stylish Spring Tailored Suits together, selling as high as \$30.00 each, and repriced them to sell at such a low figure, to close them out in a day.

They are made of finest imported materials in all the choicest Spring shades. Coats made in the 36 and 40 inch lengths. Skirts cut in the latest fashion. Some strictly tailored, others braid and button trimmed. All marked to close out at the lowest price of the year

\$8.95

No Alterations

# June White Sale of Undermuslins



Our Muslin Underwear sales are now in progress, and we do not hesitate in saying that the values offered this year excel any sale heretofore held. We will admit that we do not offer broadcast, extravagant reductions and impossible bargains.

If we could induce every woman who needs Muslin Underwear to visit the various sales and bear in mind the price, cut, finish, workmanship and quality of the goods offered, and make a fair and unbiased comparison, we know that they would necessarily have to give us their trade.

We carry a reliable, well-finished, perfect cut, best quality of clean, first-class Muslin Underwear. We are offering these goods at honest bargains, and with real reductions, and court inspection by customers. We solicit your patronage on these conditions.

Many buyers this week attest our claims.

Agents  
La Vida  
Corsets  
Best Trade

# Lipman-Wolfe & Co

Agents  
Nemo  
Corsets  
Best Trade

MERCHANDISE OF MERIT ONLY

# LESS THAN IN 1908

## Snow Predicts Wheat Crop Will Be Smaller.

# DECREASE IN WINTER YIELD

Bad Condition West of Missouri River Is Cause—Larger Acreage of Spring Wheat Almost Makes Up Shortage.

# GIFT OF DRINK COSTS \$100

## Montrose Man Serves Whisky to His Friend on Street and Is Fined.

MONTROSE, Colo., June 2.—It cost J. H. Clarke \$100 to treat a friend to a drink of whisky here today. Extracting a flask from his pistol pocket, he presented it to the friend on a street corner and was at once arrested and fined \$100. This is the first conviction under the prohibition laws adopted in many Colorado towns at the April election.

# LESS THAN IN 1908

## Snow Predicts Wheat Crop Will Be Smaller.