

ICE EVIDENCE ON WILLAMETTE

River in Grip of Frost King First Time in More Than Twenty Years.

COLUMBIA IS CLEARING

Heavy Ice is Running Out and Worst Part is Between Astoria and Three Tree Point—Big Blockade Above Vancouver.

A thin coating of ice covered the Willamette yesterday morning at Portland, and the prospect is favorable for a closing of the river at this point for the first time in more than 20 years.

CAPTAIN BRIDGETT RETURNS

Arrives in Portland in Charge of Oil Tank Steamship Ansoncino.

Captain George E. Bridgett returned to Portland yesterday in command of the steamship Ansoncino. Captain Bridgett was suspended for a period of 60 days by the local board at San Francisco for running full speed during a fog, after a hearing relative to the collision between the Ansoncino and the Norwegian bark Skjold.

Coal Cargo of Quatsino Is Sold.

Hanfield, Veyser & Co. have purchased the coal cargo on board the British barge Quatsino. The craft arrived two months ago from British Columbia with a cargo of Wellington coal. A few tons were discharged and the rest held on board the ship awaiting a favorable sale.

Norwegian Bark Skjold on Berth.

The Norwegian bark Skjold has been placed on berth at Antwerp for general cargo for Portland, Astoria and Seaside. The craft registers 1497 tons and will begin loading within the next few days.

Marine Notes.

The steamship Eureka sailed yesterday for Eureka and Coos Bay. The steamship Breakwater sailed last night for Coos Bay points.

The steamship Rose City will sail tomorrow afternoon for San Francisco. The United States lighthouse tender America is in berth at Couch-street dock.

The steamship Alliance, from Coos Bay, is due to arrive up at an early hour this morning.

The steamship Nome City, from San Francisco, with passengers and general cargo, arrived up last evening.

Arrivals and Departures.

PORTLAND, Jan. 12.—Arrived—Steamship Nome City, from San Francisco; steamship Ansoncino, from San Francisco; steamship Washnetaw, from San Francisco; Sailed—Steamship Eureka, for Eureka and Coos Bay; steamship Breakwater, for Coos Bay.

ASTORIA, Jan. 12.—Condition of the bar at 5 P. M. smooth, wind, east 4 miles; weather, clearing, light up at last night.

ANTWERP, Jan. 12.—Arrived—Amiral Eskimade, from Seattle, via Portland; Sailed—Amiral Vincent, C. V., for Avonmouth and Swansea.

YOKOHAMA, Jan. 12.—Arrived previously—Aki Maru, from Seattle, for Hongkong; Cuyahoga, from Seattle, for Hongkong; Sailed—Amiral Vincent, C. V., for Avonmouth and Swansea.

QUEEN HELGA, Jan. 12.—Arrived previously—Queen Helga, from Seattle, Tacoma, etc., via Corvallis, for Astoria; Sailed—Steamship Cascade, from Astoria, for Seattle; Sailed—Steamship Sheridan, from Seattle, for Astoria; Sailed—Steamship Harbor, from Seattle, for Astoria; Sailed—Steamship Victoria, from Seattle, for Astoria; Sailed—Steamship Charles Nelson, from Seattle, for Astoria; Sailed—Steamship Santa Jacinto, for Grays Harbor.

TIDES AT ASTORIA THURSDAY. High, 6:20 A. M., 7.3 feet; Low, 6:25 P. M., 5.8 feet; 10 P. M., 2.7 feet.

TRIBUTE TO GENTLE SPIRIT

Lines in Appreciation of Character of Mrs. E. D. Johnson.

PORTLAND, Jan. 10.—(To the Editor.)—In the passing to the spiritual world on Christmas day of Mrs. E. D. Johnson many hearts were touched to tender grief at the seeming loss to the living.

WEATHER BUREAU PROMISES RELIEF

Light Snow Predicted for Today Expected to Turn to Rain.

MERCURY GOES UP NOTCH

Registers 18 Degrees Above Zero, Highest Temperature Reached Since Beginning of Cold Snap. River Anxiously Watched.

HOURLY TEMPERATURES AT PORTLAND WEATHER BUREAU. Hourly temperatures were recorded by the local Weather Bureau on the thermometer at the Customs building yesterday, as follows, beginning January 12, midnight:

The warmest moment in a whole week was experienced yesterday afternoon when the thermometer registered 18 degrees above zero. The lowest point reached by the mercury was 12 degrees above zero and this was during the early morning. Last night the thermometer again showed a slight moderation could be counted on for today. Light snow is expected, which may turn to rain, accompanied by warmer easterly winds. Slight snow flurries, which added over an inch to the total fall, occurred after midnight Tuesday, and at intervals through the day.

Eastern cities, Dr. Johnson brought his wife home to Portland, where the past few days have been so quiet. The influence radiating from the little home has reached far and added joy to many hearts. Her tenderness and devotion to her immediate family are known only to those who have known her. Her courage, loyalty and wide-reaching spirit of helpfulness are known to all who have known her. The child appeal to her without receiving full measure of consideration. She had the understanding heart.

LINEMEN ENTER PROTEST

OPPOSE GRANTING EXTENSION TO POWER COMPANY.

Representatives of Electrical Workers Urge Council to Enforce Measure to Protect Them.

Addressing the City Council yesterday afternoon, G. Frank Garrey, business agent of the Electrical Workers' Union Local, declared that a proposed extension of the ordinance for nine months, was before the council, the measure was referred to the committee after the remarks by the two speakers.

Mr. Parkinson spoke first, and reviewed briefly the efforts of the electrical workers in securing the passage of the ordinance which became effective about three weeks ago. When Mayor Lane was asked by the representatives of the union to enforce the law, he promised to do so, but about the time the company sought an extension of time until the arrangement of wires and poles throughout the city may be adjusted. The request was made by Oskar Huber, for the company, and was favorably recommended by the street committee. October 1, 1909, being the date fixed in the report for the ordinance to again take effect.

"We ask, in the name of humanity, that this Council do not grant the company more than 60 days in which to make the necessary changes," said Business Agent Garrey. "That is ample time in which to do the work. But I will say to you frankly that would not consent to even 60 days' time unless the company will put up a bond as a guarantee of good faith. I would not take the word of any officers for it, for their word is worthless; they seldom, if ever, keep a promise. You gentlemen and the Mayor have had enough experience to know the company to know that. They have promised many things, but have never fulfilled them."

ANNOUNCEMENTS. Thompson is the foremost authority on the second floor Corbett building, Fifth and Morrison.

FILES CURED IN 6 TO 14 DAYS. Painless treatment in any case of itching, blind, bleeding or protruding piles in 6 to 14 days or money refunded, 50c.

Beal & Co. have moved to their new brick warehouse at 309-315 East Yamhill, opposite the John Deere Plow Co.

"BARON" IS DYING

Crawfish Man Is Seized With Acute Pneumonia.

END QUESTION OF HOURS

Taken Ill at Beginning of Storm, He Stuck to Business Until Obligated to Take to His Bed Last Monday Never to Rise.

TELEGRAPH COMPANY SUED

Charged With Failure to Deliver Message for Five Days.

A \$1000 damage suit is the result of the alleged failure of the Western Union Telegraph Company to deliver an important message to Lenta. After being transmitted from Tacoma to Portland, it was mailed to the addressee, reaching him five days later. Charles Wheeler is the plaintiff, who has brought the suit in the State Circuit Court. He says that a Mr. Young, of Tacoma, presented to that office on October 16 last, the following message: "To Charles Wheeler, Lenta, Or.: Mother is dying. Come at once."

Insurance Concern Sues Contractor.

Alleging misrepresentation of the amount of their payroll on the part of A. J. Bingham and Joseph McClelland, structural contractors, the Crawford Marine Accident & Plate Glass Insurance Co. has brought suit against them in the Circuit Court for an accounting, or in case this cannot be had, for the recovery of \$2000. It is asserted that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904, insuring all their workmen. The amount of premium was to be based on the amount of the payroll. Bingham and Flynn succeeded to the business February 4, 1905. The amounts upon which premiums were paid were \$900 and \$2300, set off that the contractors took out a policy August 12, 1904,