

The Oregonian

Entered at Portland, Oregon, Postoffice as Second-Class Matter. Subscriptions: Invariably in Advance. (By Mail.) Daily, Sunday included, one year, \$4.00...

PORTLAND, THURSDAY, OCT. 8, 1908.

IT SHOULD CONCERN OUR PACIFIC STATES.

We have the faintest things here in Mr. Bryan saying: "There is no place in the world where citizenship means so much as it does in the United States; but I wonder whether people who vote in the United States realize what a single vote means?"

The reference is to the single vote in the Senate, on the question of Philippine independence, some two years ago. The treaty, which was taken by the Philippines from Spain was bitterly opposed in the Senate, and finally was ratified by only a single vote.

Opposition to the treaty and to annexation of the Philippine Islands was led by Senator Hoar, of Massachusetts. Many Republican Senators stood with him. Many Democratic Senators were for ratification of the treaty, which had been signed at Paris, December 10, 1898.

Mr. Bryan's advocacy of the treaty was notorious. All the advocates of an annexation spoke of it and welcomed his help. The newspapers of the time were full of Bryan's praise.

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

years. By allowing for the growth of the timber in the meantime, it is believed that this supply can be made to last for twenty-three years. When it is remembered that twenty-three years ago the supply of timber was regarded as inexhaustible, the waste of the consumption, which has taken place since then can be appreciated.

CAMPAIGN NOISE.

Very large audiences greet Mr. Taft, and the enthusiasm for him is said to be at high pitch. These, however, are but superficial indications, as everyone informed about our political history knows.

Larger and more enthusiastic audiences greeted Henry Clay than any who ever have met and applauded any other American statesman; yet Clay could not win the Presidency.

Mr. Bryan, in several recent speeches, has expressed confidence in the election of Taft. Taft has expressed similar confidence as to himself. The only excuse for Taft is in the fact that he is a new campaigner, while Bryan is an old war-horse on the stump, and ought not to be deceived by appearances.

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

the traffic which the railroads formerly brought to the Pacific ports, enabling the shippers of local products from these ports to have a freer service to the Far East. It will be still more seriously felt when a revival of the lumber trade will necessitate the hauling west of empty cars which should come loaded with trans-Pacific freight.

MR. BEVERIDGE ON INJUNCTIONS.

Like other orators, Mr. Beveridge says some things for the sake of the sound and some for the sense. The section of his speech at the Army which refers to injunctions is valuable for both sense and sound. It suggests an idea or two which no other speaker in the campaign has brought out so forcibly and whose truth is evident.

The abuse of injunctions is a transient matter resulting from the bias and temperament of a few judges. They must in the nature of things soon retire and the evil will in all likelihood disappear with them, while the immense remedial effect of the writ of injunction, which is used will continue forever unless it is impaled by unwise legislation.

ADVANTAGES IN THE WEST.

The immortal advice of Horace Greeley to "go West, young man, go West and grow up with the country," still has features of positive merit. The "East," even if you follow it, clear round the world until you reach the opposite shores of the Pacific, offers no superior advantages.

It is a long voyage from Europe to New York. To Chicago the distance is an effectual bar for any of the weaklings and for most of the strong. As an example of the latter, we have the case of the late Senator Sherman, who was a very few miles from the Pacific coast.

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

the school children where to find this current information which they desire so eagerly. The files of the Oregonian, the Forum and many other periodicals are rich in material touching the question of the closed shop.

HOT SHOT AIMED AT MR. BRYAN.

Here's a Hearst Editorial That's Well Worth Reading. New York American. Mr. Bryan, that last letter to Mr. Roosevelt is matchless in its impudence. With an effrontery that is like an inspiration, you charge Mr. Roosevelt with the Haskell dismissal.

When Mr. Haskell sought you in Chicago you wouldn't so much as see him. In your bare heart you feared lest the ruin that was overtaking him might seize also upon you.

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Former Resident of Oklahoma Asks Several Questions Involving Haskell. WOODBURN, Or., Oct. 6.—(To the Editor.)—The practical application of Mr. Bryan's "rule" that the people rule was plainly shown when his understudy, C. N. Haskell, of Oklahoma, adopted this same motto and used it as his own during his campaign for governor.

Did the people rule when you, Mr. Bryan, were elected? Did the people rule when you were elected? Did the people rule when you were elected? Did the people rule when you were elected?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

NEWSPAPER THE PREACHER IN THE PULPIT. MILTON, Or., Oct. 6.—(To the Editor.)—The report of Bishop Hughes' remarks at Salem about writing sermons, as given by The Oregonian, followed by an editorial article of approval, suggests to the undersigned the following reflections: Is it not more important for a preacher to prepare himself for pulpit work than to merely construct sermons to be offered congregations? A prepared preacher seldom prepares a sermon. The man in soul and body stands behind the pulpit or speech. More time is needed in thought, original thinking, and in gathering property from sources outside of himself for a sermon. Instead of spending so much time in putting down upon paper, it would be better to be more deeply engaged in the preparation of the sermon. Some of the cheapest contributions ever given long-suffering assemblies have come by the way of one or two sermons read or unread in the pulpit.

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

NAME SCHOOLS FOR PERSONS. Suggestion as to Honoring Portlanders in High as Well as Grade Schools. PORTLAND, Oct. 7.—(To the Editor.)—I noticed that Mr. E. Turner, in his Oregonian, suggests that the terms "West Side" and "East Side," now commonly used to designate our two high schools, be changed to "Albina High School" and "East Portland High School" and "West Portland High School" and "East Portland High School" be substituted therefor.

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?

Do our Pacific states desire this? Do they wish the United States to get into the Philippines? Are they willing to abandon this base of commerce and of naval power in the Pacific? Do we want no navy, no naval stations, here, for protection of our other interests in the Pacific?