## STENOGRAPHIC REPORT OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN SELECTING A JURY

HARRY MURPHY'S SKETCHES AT THE OPENING OF SENATOR MITCHELL'S TRIAL

BENNETT FOR DEFENSE

a jury and including the charge of the ert to the 12 men selected: Judge Bennett: Gentlemen of the jury, this

is the case of the United States against Sen-ator Mitchell, who sits here, and who is charged by the indictment with having taken a fee for services before Mr. Hermann, Comlober of the Land Office, in a matter

ch the United States was interested.

G. Steiner.

your and examined.

ammination by Julys Bennett:

Mr. Steiner, whereabouts do you live?

Salem.

How long have you resided there?

Nearly 18 years.

You are engaged in what business?

I used to be engaged in merchandise ill gearerdsy I retired from business and I nor in any business at all at present.

I too.

I do. You know anything about the farts this case? Have you heard or read about a matter in the papers?

A. Yes, are you have beard or read, or on any source, have you formed an opin-s as to the guilt or innocence of the demodant?

on as to the guilt or innocence of the deendant?

A. I have not.

Q. Fou have no opinion whatever?

A. No, etc.

Q. No prejudice from any cause?

A. No prejudice from any cause?

A. I read The Gregonian. Journal. Salem
Stateman and the Salem Journal. Salem
Stateman and the Salem Journal.

Q. Are you sequinized with Mr. McMahon,
who reddee at Salem?

A. L. S. McMahon?

Q. Yes, L. S. McMahon.

A. Yes etc.

Q. You say you have heard this matter
talked about to some extent?

A. Yes, I have heard it talked of more or
less on the streets, but then it is a matter
tigst. I have not given much attention, as I
did not from the Senator.

Q. Did you ever hear Mr. McMahon mention
the matter?

A. No, sir, not that I know of.

Q. Do you exmember who it was that you
heard talk about the case?

A. I do not; I could not name any one.

Q. What you heard and what you read did
not cause you to form any opinion is your
mind as to the guilt or finocence of the defendant?

A. No, sit.

JUDGE DE HAVEN.

sing as to the guilt or innocence of the de-tendant?
A. No, sir.
A. So, sir.
A. So, sir.
A. None, sir.
A. None, at all.
A. None, at all.
A. None, at all.
A. None, at all.
A. None in the slightest degree?
A. Not in the slightest degree?
A. Not in the slightest.
A. None is all.
A. None is a

. No, efr.

I I you were taken upon the jury, is re anything which would prevent you from ling him a fair and impartial trial?

X. No, sir, not as far as I know.

Z. Well, you know whether there is any-

Q. Well. you know whether there is anything.

A. Well. I might any there is not.

Q. Nothing that would prejudice you against him in the slightest?

A. No, sir.

Q. And if you were taken upon the jury you would go upon the jury and try him with the same fairness and give him the same beseft of all reasonable durie, if it came to a guestion of that, as you would any other person who was being tried?

A. I would, as much as a brother of miles.

Q. You eay you read The trespondan, Mr. Steiner? If The Oregonian, while you were string upon the jury, should publish articles reading to prejudice Senator Mitchell, or should make any attack upon him in any way, would that prejudice your mind in the slightest in the trial of the case?

A. It would not. I don't allow no papera to prejudice me.

Q. You would not permit anything of that kind to prejudice you?

A. It would not. I don't allow no papera to prejudice the.

Q. You would not permit anything of that kind to prejudice you?

A. No, sir.

Q. I take it you are not acquainted with any of the detectives or secret service officers of the Government so far as you know?

A. I do not understard.

Q. I eay, so far as you know, you are not acquainted with any of the detectives ar secret service officers of the Government?

A. I am not.

Q. And, as far as you know, you never have

cret service officers of the Government?

A. I am not.

Q. And, as far as you know, you never have liked with or hern talked to by any of them soul the case is any way?

A. Not that I know of.
Judge Bennett: We take the juror.

Examination by Mr. Herer.

Q. Mr. Steiner, how long have you known mater Mitchell?

A. I do not tilled I have beneare him work.

Senator Mitchell?

A. I do not titlak I have known him more than about a year personally, so as to know who be was. Today, I think, is the second time I have seen him.

Q. You were never a member of the Legislature of this state yourself?

A. No, elr.

Q. Did you ever take part in any campaign for the election of a United States Senator? Objected to by the defendant.

n? da not. Have you ever taken up any land under United States laws yourself? I have.

A. Yos, eir.
Q. Have you an ordinon now, at this time is to the guill or innocence of the defendant A. I have not.
Jutor accepted and source.

H. Cleveland.

In Salem.
How long have you lived there?
There years this Pall,
Are you acquainted with Senator Mitch No. Mr. Do you know anything of him by repu-

Q. Do you know anything of him by reputation?

A. Only what I have read of him. I have read of him and heard of him in that way.

Q. Do you know anything about the facts of this case from any source?

A. I do not.

Q. Have you read about the case?

A. You alt.

Q. Have you heard it discussed?

A. I have.

Q. With what frequency have you heard the case discussed—a good many times?

A. Oh. a good many times; yes.

Q. By persons who ascened to be interested on one cide or the other?

A. No. alt: among me neighbors principally.

Q. What papers have you read?

A. I have read the Capital Journal principality.

A. I have read the Capital Journal prin-ipally. I take that maper. I have read The Dregonian and the Statesman and the San Prancisco Examiner. Q. Are you a habitual reader of The Ope-posian?

Q. Are you a haliftimit reader of the Oregonian?

A. No, sir.
Q. Fou read it costs occasionally?
A. Just occasionally.
Q. From what you read in The Oregonian, or from what you read in any of these payers, did you form any quision as to the guilt of Secaine Alichell in this router?

A. Tea, sir: I think I have.
Q is that formed from what you read in the newspapers or from what you read in the newspapers added to the talk you have heard?
A. From what I have read.
Q. You don't have your opinion in any measure upon the talk that you have heard?
A. No, sir.
Q. What paper was it that you got the information from from which you have your repision; if from any one in particular from the Oregonian?

A. No, sir, not any in particular lust the

DETECTIVE WM J. BURNS.

THE ALCUSED

SENATOR IN A

FAVORITE ATTITUDE

care?
A. No. sir.
Q. In it such an opinion as would affect your mind in the elightest degree in the trial of the case?
A. I do not think so.
Examination by Mr. Heney:
Q. Have you ever been a member of the Legislature, Mr. Cleveland?
A. I never have.
Q. You haven't taken part in the entopaign for the election of a Senator?
A. No. sir.

Juror accepted and sween.

No. ser. Have you ever formed or expressed an ten in relation to this case, as to the to reinforced of the defendant?
No. ser.
You have no equinon as to whether Sen-Mitchell is suiffy or innocent of the of-seriorsed?
No. Ser.
Have you real any account of the matter the papers?

in the papers?

A. Yes, elr.

Q. What papers?

A. Well, I couldn't say, I take our county papers there. We take three county papers there there. Ye take three county papers the Grant's Pass paper, too, and the Mining Journal: and I don't know just which one of the papers I read it in.

Q. You don't road The Oregonian?

A. No, Mr.

Q. Is there anything that you may have beard in relation to this case or in relation to any matter, that would tend or done tend to prejudice you in any way against Senator Mitchell?

Growing my other cause?

Xo, air.

I take it you are not acquainted when it the Government detectives or secret rvice men, so far as you can identify them?

A. No, sir.

Q. You have never been talked to by any serson who seemed to be interested in the case, about the case?

A. No, sir, not as I know of.

Q. Not by any one who seemed to take at interest in it whatever.

No, sir, any interest in the matter

A. No, etc.

A. No, etc.

Q. Yeu are not holding any Governments. I take it, of any kind?

A. No, sit.

Q. Have you ever been in the employ Government in any way?

Government in any way?

A. No. str.
Q. And you are not mow?
A. No. str.
Enamination by Mr. Heney:
Q. Mr. Dalley, are you acquainted with an attorney by the name of Colvig?
A. Yes, str. At Grant's Pass?
Q. Yes?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Have you talked with him any about this case?

A. Why, I don't know; I couldnt' say as

Q. Did you have any talk with him about this case at that time? Q. Has anybody talked with you since you were summoned as juror, about this case?

A. No, sir; not any more than it come around that there would be a case in court

any person talk with you, after you were summoned about your coming here?

have
A. One is Mr. Lovelace, of Wilderville,
titien
Q. Just one person, was it?
tend
A Oh, there were asveral others that
spoke of it; Mr. Wilson, of Kirby.
Q. What Wilson is that?
A. William F. Wilson. He owns a miss.

Q. You have no feeling of prejudice for him I went down and brought him out from the from any source? Q. Did any of those people expre-optaion as to the guilt or innoceance ator Mitchell?

A. No, str. Q. Have you ever expres to his guilt or innocence?

H. D. Hill being sworn, was examined

Mitchell?

A. I met Senator Mitchell two times, not intimately acquainted with him.

Q. Is there anything in your acquaints with him that would tend to prejudice; against him in any way?

A. No sir.

A. No sir.

A. No sir.

Q. Have you read the accounts in relation to this matter in The Oregonian?

A. Tes. sir.

Q. And also in the other papers? Have you heard the matter talked of quite generally.

erally?
A. I have heard it talked of a great deal,
Q. Have you heard anybody talk about the
case who was interested in it in any way or
claimed to be interested in any way?
A. Nobody who pretended to know the
facts. They may have been interested.
Q. But so far as you know they were not
interested?
A. No, sir.
Q. Nobody that claimed to be interested?
A. No, sir.

Q. Nobody that claimed to be interested?
A. No, sir.
Q. Are you acquainted with Mr. Heney,
who is acting as District Attorney?
A. I am not, sir.
Q. Are you acquainted with any of the
detectives or Secret Service men, so far as
you know?
A. Not to my knowledge.
Q. Have you ever heard any of them, so
far as you know, talk about the case?
A. No, sir: not no far as I know.
Q. Have you heard enough about the case
so that you could form any definite opinion
as to the guilt or innocence of Semanor
Mitchell?
A. I have read a great deal about the

A. I have read a great deal about the case and heard some talk. Could not help but have some ideas about it, but no definite but have some ideas arout to opinion.

Q. Do you think you could disregard everything you have heard, and go upon the jury and try the case as though you had never heard anything about it?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And render a verifict according to the law and evidence as given you on the trial.

A. I would certainly have to go according to my eath.

to my each, tertainly have to go according Q. There is nothing in anything that has ever transpired that makes you feel unkindly towards Senator Mitchell in any may? A. No. sir.
Q. If you were called on this jury you could and would give him a fair and impartial trial?
A. Yes, sir, as far as I am able.
Mr. Bennett: We will take the juror.
By Mr. Heney:
Q. Are you any relation to William Lair
Bill?

Hill?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. What relation?
A. A brother,
Q. When did you see him last?
A. Probably lant Winter.
Q. He was here at that time?
A. Yes, sir, I met him here.
Q. The grand jury was in session at time, was it not?
A. I really don't know whether it wession or hot. It was soon after.
Q. What?
A. Al the time I was Late I dup't.

ANOTHER

VIEW OF

JUDGE

ere.
Q. Do you know Pierce Mays?
A. Quite well; yes, skr.
Q. How long have you know him?
A. Oh, I expect I have known Pierce 20

A. Oh. I expect I have known Pierce 20 years or more.

O. At the time you saw your brother didn't he beil you he was acting as attorney for Pierce Mays?

A. He did not.

Q. And he did not tell you he was up here because Pierce Mays was then being investigated by the grand jury?

A. He did not tell me anything about that at all.

as all.

Q. And he did not tell you that Pierce Mays and Senator Mitchell was being investigated jointly?

A. No, str. He told me that Pierce Mays would likely be in trouble, but he did not say anything about Senator Mitchell.

Q. He is now acting as attorney for Pierce Mays in a matter in which Senator Mitchell and Pierce Mays in a matter in which Senator Mitchell and Pierce Mays are jointly indicted, is he not?

ot?
A. Not that I know of.
Q. Not that you know of?
A. I know nothing about it.
Q. Is he here now?
A. Not to my knowledge; I have not met

Is Pierce Mays at your brother's house in Oakland, California?

I don't know.

Tou have not heard from your brother?
No, sir, not a word since I met him Winter.

How many times were you talking with

Was he at your house?

mee.

Q. Was he at your house?

A. No, sir.

Q. Where did you meet him?

A. I met him at the Belvedere Hotel.

Q. Was he stopping there?

A. No, sir.

Q. Were you?

A. Yea, sir.

Q. Did you keep house?

A. Me and my wife do.

Q. Here in town?

A. No, sir.

Q. Where?

A. Out on the farm, about twenty miles.

Q. Was your hother out there during that isit here?

A. No, sir.

Q. How long did you talk with him on such of the occasions you maw him here?

A. On, we were together probably 15 min-sires once and him and I and others alto-reined the man of a single have been increased in the hotel, maybe an hour, might have been more and might have been increased.

Q. Who were the others there at that time?

A. I can't remember but one man?

Q. Who was he?

A. I can't remember but one man?

Q. Who was he?

A. I don't think he was No, I am quite source he was not.

Q. Did B. F. Allen tell you he was here in town on the expectation of being investigated by the grand judy in connection with Durgan Devine hims.

Q. Yee.

A. When?

Q. At that time.

A. When?

Q. At the time you saw him at the hotel here last Winter?

A. He told him at one time he might be indired, he did not say in connection with whom.

Q. Was your brother there?

A. No. Sir.

Anow when he left here.

Q. At the time he told you that, did he express his opinion to you of the prosecution in this case?

A. Of what?

Q. Of the prosecutor, myself.
A. No, six, he did not; I don't know that I ever heard him mention your name.
Q. Were you reading the newspapers at the A. Yes.

A. Yes.

know when he left here.

eft here, or after I saw my brother; I don't

probably twice in my life, Q. How long have you known Marien R.

Q. But you think you probably qualified it

y "ff." do you? A. Yes, sir. Mr. Heney: We challenge the juror per

B. L. Ollver.

R. L. Oliver was sworn and examined as

Q. Mr. Oliver, where do you reside?
A. I reside in Pendleton.
Q. How long have you resided in Pendleton?
A. I resided near Pendleton for about 24

years.
Q. What business are you engaged in there?
A. I was engaged in the grocery husiness

Q. What business are you engaged in there?
A. I was engaged in the grocery husiness
and farming 14 years, and I closed up the
gracery business and give most of my attention now to farming.
Q. All of that time in Umatilia County?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. How long have you lived in the State
of Oregon?
A. From 1878.
Q. Whereabouts did you come from here?
A. I came from California.

From 1878.
Whereabouts did you come from here?
I came from California.
Are you a native of Canifornia?
No, sir.
What is your native state?

No. I am not: I never met Senator

emptorily.

By Mr. Bennett:

A. Of what?

Q. Of the prosecutor, myself.
A. No, sir, he did not; I don't know that I ever heard him mention your name.
Q. Were you reading the newspapers at the time you saw your brother here?
A. I read them every day, if I can get hold of them.
Q. Do you say at the time you saw your brother that you did not know that the grand jury was in seesion?
A. I cannot say that I knew it was in seesion at that time. I knew it was in seesion at that time. I knew it was in seesion at that time. I knew it was in seesion at that time. I knew it was in seesion at that time. I knew it was in seesion at that time. I knew it was in seesion at that time. I knew it was in seesion at the time it might have been right at that time.
Q. But your head here alid nothing about what he was doing here?
A. He did not tell me anything about his business.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and a lift in the company to the prosecution of these cases?

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and a lift in the lime was been at the was been at the time was been at the time.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and a lift in the lime was been at the was been at the time.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and a lift in the lime was been at the was been at the time.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and a lift in the lime was been at the time was been at the time was been at the time was been at the time.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and the prosecution of the grand jury?

A. I don't think I ever did.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and the prosecution of the grand jury?

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and the prosecution of the grand jury?

A. I don't think I ever did.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and the prosecution of the grand jury?

A. I don't think I ever did.

A. I qualified it by "its," yes—if so and the prosecution of the grand jury?

A. I don't think I ever did.

A. I don't think I ever did.

session at that time. I knew it was in session at or hear that time; it might have been right at that time.

Q. But your healter said nothing about what he was doing here?

A. He did not tell me anything about his hosiness.

Q. Didn't you ask him what he was doing here?

A. No, sir.

Q. You had no curiosity to learn why he cante up here?

Q. But your healter and nothing about his how was the case; I think I ever did.

Q. Did you ever express an opinion at all?

A. I qualified it by "ifs," yes—if so and so was the case; I think I probably have; I can't call to mind that I did, but I probably have, been talking with friends in the lobby of the hotel.

Q. But your healter and nothing about his was doing here?

A. I qualified it by "ifs," yes—if so and so was the case; I think I probably have; I can't call to mind that I did, but I probably have, been talking with friends in the lobby of the hotel.

You had no curronly and him to know it.

If I did, I did not want him to know it.

Does he come here frequently?

Well, really, I read about him once in tile in the papers, but I don't see him a very frequently.

He had not been here for a number of

A. One thing he told me when he first met
me here, that he wanted me not to be uneasy
about the money he over me; that he would
pay me some day.

Q. Was that the reason you did not want
him to think you had any curiosity about
why he came here?

A. That is not altogether the reason; no.

Q. Did he tell you where he expected to set
a fee in a criminal case very soon with which
to pay you?

A. No, sir. He told me exactly where he
expected to get it.

A. No, sir. He told me exactly where he expected to get it.
Q. He did not tell you he had been employed by Pierce Maye?
A. He did not.
Q. Did anybody tell you that?
A. No, sir, I don't know that he is today?
Q. You do not?
A. No, sir.
Q. Do you know Congressman Williamson?
A. I do.
Q. How well do.

A. I do.
Q. How well do yeu know him?
A. Quite well.
Q. How long have you known him?
A. It must be 20-odd years; I live! in the same county-Crook County-for a number of years. I lived in Crook County probably 25 years before I came down here.
Q. Ever talked with him shout these prosecutions and the land-fraud cases?
A. I have never met him since the land-fraud cases came up.

Mitchell but once.
Q. You met him once and know of him by reputation?
A. Yes, sir.
Q. Are you a reader of The Cregonian?
A. I am,
Q. Have you heard this matter talked about in the neighborhood where you live since it came up? Q. Have you heard this matter talked about in the neighborhood where you live since it came up?

A. I have heard it talked pro and cost in my place of business, but I never paid any particular attention to what was said.

Q. Did you rend the statements in relation to the matter published in The Oregonian from time to time?

A. I presume I have: I could not say. I read The Oregonian quite closely.

Q. From what you have heard and read, have you formed any opinion as to the guilt or innocence of Semator Mitchell?

A. No. I have not.

Q. None whatever?

A. Not to my anowledge; no. sir.

Q. Either qualified or unqualified?

A. No. I course you know you have not if you have not formed any?

A. No. I have not.

Q. You know you have expressed no opinion?

A. I could not express any.

Q. Is there anything that you may have heard or read about this case or about Senator Mitchell which has tended to prejudice you in any way against him?

A. No. sir.

Q. Anything which has tended to make you feel unkindly toward him in any way?

A. No. sir.

Q. Nothing?

A. No. sir.

Q. You have no feeling of unkindness. A. I saw him here at two different tilmes;
I cannot call to mind whether it was at the
same time I saw my brother or not.
Q. He is a partner of Williamson's is he
not?

not?
A. Not to my knowledge, any more than hearmay. I don't know it to be so.
Q. Did he tell you he was expecting to be indicted at that time?
A. Who?
Q. Dr. Van Gesaner?
A. No.

be indicted at that time?
A. Who?
Q. Dr. Van Gessner?
A. No.
Q. He did not tell you?
A. No, sir.
Q. Did he tell you what he was deing here?
A. No, sir. not particularly. I don't know as he told me at all.
Q. Didn't you read it in the papers?
A. What in the papers?
Q. As to what he was doing here?
A. No, sir; I read it a long time after that, or some time after that I read in the paper that he was indicted.
Q. That was a very short time after, was it not?
A. It might have been; those dates I don't remember exactly.
Q. Didn't you read in the paper at that time

A. It might have been; those dates I don't remember exactly.
Q. Didn't you read in the paper at that time that he was being investigated and that there was probability of his indictment?
A. I read before that.
Q. Before you talked with him?
A. No, not before I talked with him.
Q. How did you fix the date you talked with him?
A. I don't fix the date; I don't know exactly when it was.
Q. How do you remember so distinctly that you did not know that at the time you talked with him?

A. Did not know that at the time you taked with him?

A. Did not know what?

Q. That he was being investigated by the grand jury?

A. I did not know whether he was or not: did not know vanything about it, and he did not say anything about it, and he guilt or imposence of this defendant, have you?

A. Well, not a fixed opinion.

Q. I did not sake you about a fixed opinion.

I saked if you had any opinion?

A. Well, from what I read in the paper I

far as you have any at all?

A. Tes, sir.

Q. And you say that you have formed no sort of an impression or opinion from what you have read or heard about the case?

A. No, I did not.

Q. Has anybody talked to you or have you heard anybody talk about the case who was or seemed to be interested in the matter?

A. Well, from what I read in the paper I tormed—I have some idea.

Q. Can you answer that question by yes

Tanner in connection with the case

end it all; I usually do.

Oregonian in 1873, was he not?

A. In 1873?

A. I can't tell you; he was the editor of The Oregonian at one time, but I don't know the date. I was quite young then.

Q. Tou were quite young?
A. Well, yes; that was a time when I was fuller of mischief than reading and I don't know much about it. I did not read much. If I ever read an issue of the paper when he edited it. I did not know it.

Q. Yes?
A. In 1878? I was born in 1847; that would make me twenty-four.
Q. Didn't you read much when you were twenty-six years old?
A. Oh, some, but not as much as I do now.
Q. How long did your brother remain editor of The Oregonian.
A. I know nothing in the world about it.
Q. He was engaged in an attack on Senator Mitchell at that time was he not?
A. I don't know anything about it. I have heard that he was. I have been acquainted with my brother a long white, but I never read an issue of The Oregonian be edited and I never heard him make a speech

edited and I never heard him make a speech in court.

A. I can't say that I am any more than I am friendly with any man that I have met and shook hands with. I think I have met

neighborhood?

A. That is all.
Q. Persons who have no interest in the matter at all?
A. That is all.
Q. Are you acquainted with Mr. Hensy?
A. Lam not

A. What was it?

Q. Have you any opinion as to the guilt or innecence of this defendant?

A. Well, yes I have from what I have

Q. Never talked with anybody about it? A. No. sir.
Q. Never read them in the newspapers?

A. I have read in the newspapers, yes. I stated all the time that I have read in the newspapers. I read the papers all the time. Q. Did you read any paper that purported give the testimony given by Judge Tan

A. That is all.
Q. Are you acquainted with Mr. Hensy?
A. I am not.
Q. Are you acquainted so far as you know with any of the detectives or Secret Service officers of the Government?
A. I am not.
Q. Have you served on a jury within the last year in the State Courts or United States Court?
A. No, not within the last year.
Q. Have you served on a jury in this court within two years?
A. I have not served on a jury in this court within two years?
A. I have not served on a jury in this court at all.
Q. You know of no reason why you could not go upon this jury and try the case with fairness and impartiality?
A. I do not.
Q. Do you know of anybody in your neighborhood who is taking any interest in this matter on one side or the other? I do not ask you to state who it is, but if you know of anyone who is taking any interest?
A. I don't think I do, I cannot call to mind anybody now.
Q. Has anybody talked to you as though they had any interest in the matter what-A. I would not state positively whether I read that Issue or not. I take the Delly Journal, not the Oregonian. I remember reading some things that were about Judge

Q. Nothing?
A. No. sir.
Q. You have no feeling of unkindness toward him at all?
A. No. sir.
Q. Your feeling towards him is kindly so far as you have any at all?

You have only heard casual talk in the

had any interest in the matter what-A. No, sir; I don't know as I have heard A. Yee, sir; I read about it.
Q. Oh, you did read it?
A. Yee, I don't know what number it was.
Q. Did you only read a part of it?
A. Oh, I think after I started in I probably

A. Well, it had some energy on mr.
Q. It took effect on you?
A. I say it had some effect, forming an idea about it. A man can hardly read without forming some opinion, although this newspaper talk is not evidence.
Q. Is your disincilination to form an opin-O. And you feel that you could and you would?
A. Yes, sir.
Mr. Oliver accepted and sworn as No. 4 of the panel.
Burt Lebean.

ion on newspaper salk based upon the fact that you were ever in the newspaper busi-ness yourself? Burt Lebeau, being sworn, was examine A. Me? Q. Yes; were you ever in the newspaper

By Mr. Bennett:

G. Where do you reside?

A. McMinnville.

G. How loog have you resided there?

A. Near that place about 25 years.

G. Where did you reside before you went A. No. I never was.
Q. Did you ever work on The Oregonian?
A. No. I have been in the building, but I never set any type.
Q. Never worked there?
A. No, atr.
Q. Your brother was the editor of The

Forest Grove, Washington County, How long did you reside in Forest Grove? About ten years, What business are you engaged in now? Doing carpenter work

RATIONAL TREATMENT Stomach Diseases Discard Injurious Drugs

Glycozone

Endorsed by Leading Physicians. on Free Trust Bottle. Sold by leading

