

AFTER POT-HUNTERS

Amateur Athletes Must Be So in Health.

MUST GET PERMIT OF UNION

New President of Amateur Athletic Union Starts Campaign Against Athletes Who Tour the World After Prizes.

BOSTON, Mass., Feb. 6.—(Special.)—President Joseph H. MacCabe, of Boston, the new head of the Amateur Athletic Union, is going after the pot-hunters in athletics and will stop unauthorized trips abroad of amateurs who enter foreign meets on their own hook and win

He will try to get the A. A. U. to enact a rule forbidding any American amateur to go abroad to compete in athletic meets unless a permit prior to his departure is secured from the parent body

Ralph Rose and Arthur Duffey are two of the leading athletes who will be affected, and many noted champions are also likely to come in for a share of the discipline to be meted out.

SIGOURNEY AND CONKLIN TIED

Billiard Contest is Close—Rein Is Expected to Withdraw.

CHICAGO, Feb. 6.—The probabilities tonight are that Edward C. Rein, one of the local players, will draw from the amateur billiard tournament for the National championship now being played at the Chicago Athletic Club.

The first game today was between W. H. Sigourney of San Francisco, and J. Byron Stark, of New York. Sigourney won with a score of 300 to 177.

NEW RACING CIRCUIT FORMED

Eight Clubs Combine to Oppose Western Jockey Clubs.

NEW ORLEANS, Feb. 6.—The delegates of the racing organizations in opposition to the Western Jockey Club met here today and organized an American Turf Association. These race tracks or jockey clubs were represented:

Chicago Jockey Club (Hawthorne); Union Park, St. Louis; Kansas City Jockey Club, New Orleans; Jockey Club (Fort Erie, Highland Park and Windsor); Lexington, Ky.; Jockey Club; Louisville Jockey Club; Essex Park Jockey Club, of Hot Springs; Worth Jockey Club, Chicago.

Playball Plays Foul Game.

SAN FRANCISCO, Feb. 6.—Playball won his race easily today at Oakland, but he was disqualified for bumping, and Barney Dreyfus was placed first. It was a bad day for the favorites, only two winning.

Six and one-half furlongs—Miller's Daughters won, second Bessie second, San Jose third; time, 1:29.

Five and one-half furlongs—Dr. Leggo won, Trotter second, Eddowen third; time, 1:34.

One mile and one-eighth—Barney Dreyfus won, Erne second, Playball third; time, 2:36.

One mile—Cincinnati won, Isabella second, Modicum third; time, 1:57.

Seven furlongs—Soufriere won, Fontecas second, Cloverland third; time, 1:35.

Small Fields at Ascot.

LOS ANGELES, Cal., Feb. 6.—Small fields were the rule at Ascot today, due to the numerous scratches after last night's heavy rain.

Six furlongs—Rubiana won, Holl Dixon second, Kernan third; time, 1:45.

Four furlongs—Dr. Hollis won, Express second, Ha third; time, 0:49.

Six furlongs—Azolina won, Senack second, Metakabla third; time, 1:37.

One mile—Arabo won, Gorgelette second, Robador third; time, 1:42.

Stations course—Henry Ach won, Sinado second, Exapo third; time, 1:15.

MAY BE HOCH'S SECRET POISON

Tube Containing Drug Is Found—Two More Wives Discovered.

NEW YORK, Feb. 6.—When Johann Hoch was arraigned in court today in the expectation that he would be turned over to officers from Chicago, it was found that the extradition papers had not arrived, and he was remanded to police headquarters.

Detective Pope explained that the papers had been in Albany since Friday awaiting the signature of Governor Higgins, but that the Governor had left for New York without signing them. It is expected that the papers will be received on Monday morning and that the prisoner will probably leave for Chicago on that day.

Additional charges were made against Hoch today of having married three women in New York. It was also learned that in the search of the woman occupied by Hoch when he was arrested there was found a stylographic pen, the reservoir of which was filled with a white powder, believed to be poison.

The New York police announced today that they had discovered two other women whom Hoch had married. One of them, the police said, is Mrs. Minnie Westphal, of Jersey City, whom Hoch is said to have married in 1886 under the name of William Frederick Westing. According to the police, Hoch made her acquaintance through a newspaper advertisement, and got \$200 belonging to her. The name of the other woman is not disclosed by the police, but they say Hoch married her in 1887 and secured \$200 from her.

THOMAS SO BRINGS REPRESENTATIVE BAILEY'S STATEMENT.

GOES TO SALEM TO FIGHT IT

DISPROVING FRAUDS IN DENVER

Alleged Non-Residents and Election Officers Testify for Adams.

DENVER, Feb. 6.—Nine witnesses were examined today in the Peabody-Adams gubernatorial contest. Two of the witnesses, who are well-known citizens, had been declared not to reside in the places from which they voted. They testified they had lived at these places for some time.

The new building now under construction for the Benevolent and Protective Order of Elks, Portland Lodge, No. 142, at the northeast corner of Seventh and Stark streets, will materially add to the beautiful lodge homes of the Pacific Northwest.

The judges and clerks of Precinct 3, Ward 3, all declared that the election was fair and honest. One of them went over a list of names declared by a canvasser to have voted in the precinct.

ELKS' BUILDING WILL COST \$100,000



NOW BEING ERRECTED AT SEVENTH AND STARK STREETS.

The judges and clerks of Precinct 3, Ward 3, all declared that the election was fair and honest. One of them went over a list of names declared by a canvasser to have voted in the precinct.

The Republican attorneys discovered 71 votes cast from the St. Joe Hotel, and examined the witnesses on this point. One of them declared there were 25 rooms in the house, which was a workmen's hotel, and that each room contained from two to three beds.

Several witnesses also identified their ballots, which had been pronounced fraudulent by experts.

A number of witnesses at the night session identified their ballots and testified to the honesty of the election in their precincts. Some admitted that there had been fraudulent registration, but they saw no evidence of fraudulent voting.

Seven Supreme Court watchers were on the stand and declared that, despite the claims of fraud made by the experts, they had witnessed only quiet and orderly elections in the precincts to which they had been assigned.

Y. M. C. A. Will Build on Canal.

WASHINGTON, Feb. 6.—Secretary Taft, after a visit to the President today, said that quarters are to be established by the Panama Canal Commission for the Y. M. C. A. at four points in the Canal Zone: Ancon, Colon, Culebra and Esmeralda.

An Hour With the Seeing Telephone

Investor J. B. Fowler Gives a Practical Demonstration to a Small Group of Invited Friends.

The time was last night and the place was No. 33 East Eighth street north. The occasion was the exhibition by J. B. Fowler of his invention for seeing by telephone.

Mr. Fowler is always fond of curious things. He looked long and intently at the outside of the rear booth while Mr. Lee gazed earnestly at the tangle of wires in the front one.

"Hello, there," said the Colonel, speaking confidentially into the big box placed to reproduce the smiles of his visage at the other end.

"You will have to talk into that little place down by your chin, Colonel," corrected the inventor, who was holding the lamp.

"I beg your pardon," apologized the Colonel as he, by direction, fixed his eye at the correct place and began to talk.

"Hello, there," he repeated again, "Is there anyone at the other end of this line?"

"Yes," responded a voice of sonorous tones. "I think there is. Who is this?"

"I am not going to tell you," answered the Colonel playfully, "you will have to look in the phone and see."

"I can't see anything, though," complained the voice. "It is all dark."

"Perhaps your eyes are bad," suggested Colonel Fowler, skeptically.

"Can you see anything?" asked the voice, doubtfully.

SAYS IT IS A LIE

THOMAS SO BRINGS REPRESENTATIVE BAILEY'S STATEMENT.

GOES TO SALEM TO FIGHT IT

Vice-President of Port of Portland Commission Will Not Submit Tamely to the Accusation Made Against Him.

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

SAYS IT IS A LIE

THOMAS SO BRINGS REPRESENTATIVE BAILEY'S STATEMENT.

GOES TO SALEM TO FIGHT IT

Vice-President of Port of Portland Commission Will Not Submit Tamely to the Accusation Made Against Him.

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

SAYS IT IS A LIE

THOMAS SO BRINGS REPRESENTATIVE BAILEY'S STATEMENT.

GOES TO SALEM TO FIGHT IT

Vice-President of Port of Portland Commission Will Not Submit Tamely to the Accusation Made Against Him.

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

SAYS IT IS A LIE

THOMAS SO BRINGS REPRESENTATIVE BAILEY'S STATEMENT.

GOES TO SALEM TO FIGHT IT

Vice-President of Port of Portland Commission Will Not Submit Tamely to the Accusation Made Against Him.

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is brought up for passage by that body:

Portland, Feb. 6.—The article appearing in a Portland newspaper saying that I ever said I paid G. R. Thomas the sum of \$500 for services in connection with the drydock contract is false.

Mr. Wakefield also denies the statements attributed to Representative Bailey, and has given out the following letter, which will probably be read in the Senate today when the bill is