

OUTLINES HIS POSITION

SENATOR J. H. MITCHELL DISCUSSES PENDING QUESTIONS.

Opposes General Tariff Revision, and Is Undecided on Subsidy Bill—River Improvements.

Senator John H. Mitchell, who returned from the East Wednesday, yesterday gave an Oregonian reporter an interesting interview relative to measures to be considered at the coming session of Congress.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Third—That he favors the principle of reciprocity, but is opposed to some of the details in the bill as it is about to be acted upon by the Senate.

Fourth—That he is in favor of the speedy construction of an isthmian canal, under full American control, and of the Panama route.

Senator Mitchell talked interestingly of his trip to Europe, and of his observations abroad.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

City of Portland as a great commercial and maritime city, and the State of Oregon generally. It goes without saying that every one of Oregon's representatives, with an Honorable Member of Congress, will do their utmost to secure the necessary appropriations for the improvement of our great rivers and harbors.

Second—That he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Third—That he favors the principle of reciprocity, but is opposed to some of the details in the bill as it is about to be acted upon by the Senate.

Fourth—That he is in favor of the speedy construction of an isthmian canal, under full American control, and of the Panama route.

Senator Mitchell talked interestingly of his trip to Europe, and of his observations abroad.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

Senator Mitchell said that he has not made up his mind as to what attitude he will take on the shipping subsidy bill.

rection and control of the Government of the United States, we must not be laggard in utilizing every means that may tend to aid in extending our trade and commerce to the most fertile fields of the world.

Assassination of McKinley. The sad news of President McKinley's assassination, and the crowds that gathered around the boards from day to day from early morning until late at night.

Speaking of legislation relative to anarchy, Senator Mitchell said: "I favor the most drastic legislation against anarchists and anarchy that can be passed by the Congress."

President Roosevelt. "President Roosevelt is in and of himself a most remarkable vital force, physically and mentally. He is a man of large heart and big brain; absolutely honest and patriotic in his purposes."

Observations in France. Speaking of his trip abroad, and of his observations in France, Senator Mitchell said: "Although France has for the past 30 years been maintaining her place in the advancing column of nations under the banner of the Republic, her government is concerned."

Canal Employees Blameless. The coroner held an inquest yesterday into the case of Olwyn Chester Anderson, 18 years old, 406 East Alder street, who was killed Wednesday night by being struck by a bridge beam and carried under a trolley car crossing Morrison-street bridge.

Pure Food Convention. Next Meeting of Commissioners Will Be Held Here in July. Telegraphic advices received yesterday from Washington, that the next convention of Food Commissioners of the various states will be held in Portland next July.

Revision of Bankruptcy Law. NEW YORK, Oct. 17.—An special committee on revision of the bankruptcy act has been appointed by De Roy Dresser, president of the Merchants' Association, pursuant to a request made to a committee of the prominent members of a conference recently held with Assistant United States Attorney-General E. C. Brandenburg in reference to this matter.

Some Advice From Russia. LONDON, Oct. 18.—"The Russia calls upon the United States Government to sacrifice Secretary Gage or compel him to change his views concerning a tariff war," says the Standard correspondent at Moscow.

FOR THE CHILDREN To Keep Their Digestion Perfect Nothing is So Safe and Pleasant as Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets.

NOYES FILES HIS ANSWER

NOME JUDGE DENIES CONTEMPT OF COURT CHARGE.

Testimony on the Connection of Wood and McKenzie With a Law Firm as Silent Partners.

SAN FRANCISCO, Oct. 17.—The answers of United States Judge Noyes and District Attorney Wood, of Alaska, to the charge of contempt of court in the Nome mining cases, were filed in the United States Circuit Court today.

The taking of testimony in the case was begun before United States Commissioner Hancock, at the residence of Deputy District Attorney of the district of Alaska, a member of the firm of Hubbard, Beaman & Hume, testified that District Attorney Joseph K. Wood, one of the defendants in the present case, admitted into his firm as a silent partner, with the understanding that he was to receive one-quarter of the profits.

But what we as a people and a nation have accomplished in this respect is a mere bagatelle compared with what the possibilities for the future open to us at the present time.

MISSION SOCIETY MEETS.

Interesting Programme Rendered and Officers Elected.

A largely-attended meeting of the Baptist Home Mission Society was held yesterday at the Second Baptist Church, on the East side. Both home and foreign missions were discussed.

President Roosevelt. "President Roosevelt is in and of himself a most remarkable vital force, physically and mentally. He is a man of large heart and big brain; absolutely honest and patriotic in his purposes."

Observations in France. Speaking of his trip abroad, and of his observations in France, Senator Mitchell said: "Although France has for the past 30 years been maintaining her place in the advancing column of nations under the banner of the Republic, her government is concerned."

Canal Employees Blameless. The coroner held an inquest yesterday into the case of Olwyn Chester Anderson, 18 years old, 406 East Alder street, who was killed Wednesday night by being struck by a bridge beam and carried under a trolley car crossing Morrison-street bridge.

Pure Food Convention. Next Meeting of Commissioners Will Be Held Here in July. Telegraphic advices received yesterday from Washington, that the next convention of Food Commissioners of the various states will be held in Portland next July.

Revision of Bankruptcy Law. NEW YORK, Oct. 17.—An special committee on revision of the bankruptcy act has been appointed by De Roy Dresser, president of the Merchants' Association, pursuant to a request made to a committee of the prominent members of a conference recently held with Assistant United States Attorney-General E. C. Brandenburg in reference to this matter.

Some Advice From Russia. LONDON, Oct. 18.—"The Russia calls upon the United States Government to sacrifice Secretary Gage or compel him to change his views concerning a tariff war," says the Standard correspondent at Moscow.

FOR THE CHILDREN To Keep Their Digestion Perfect Nothing is So Safe and Pleasant as Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets.

Stuart's Tablets after every meal to insure perfect digestion and avoid trouble.

But it is not generally known that the Tablets are just as good and wholesome for little folks as for the elders.

Little children who are pale and thin and have no appetite, or do not grow or thrive, should use the tablets after eating and will derive great benefit from them.

Mrs. C. H. Crowley, 238 Washington St., Hoboken, New Jersey, writes: "Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets just fill the bill for children as well as for older folks. I've had the best of luck with them. My three-year-old child takes them as readily as candy. I have only to say 'tablets' and she drops everything else and runs for them."

A Buffalo mother, a short time ago, was despaired of the life of her babe, who was so delighted with the results from giving the child these tablets that she went before the notary public of Erie Co., N. Y., and made the following affidavit:

Genlmen—Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets were recommended to me for my two-months-old baby, which was sick and poorly and the doctor said was suffering from indigestion. I took the child to the hospital, but there found no relief. A friend mentioned the Stuart's Tablets and I procured a box from my druggist and used only the large sweet lozenges in the box and was delighted to find they were just the thing for my baby. I feel justified in saying that Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets have saved my baby's life.

MRS. W. T. DETHLOPE. Subscribed and sworn to before me this 12th day of April, 1897.

Notary Public in and for Erie Co., N. Y.

For babies, no matter how young or delicate, the tablets will accomplish wonders in increasing flesh, appetite and growth. Use only the large sweet tablets in every box. Full size boxes are sold by all druggists for 50 cents and no parent should neglect the use of this safe remedy for all stomach and bowel troubles if the child is illing in any way regarding the food.

Stuart's Dyspepsia Tablets have been known for years as the best preparation for all stomach troubles whether in adults or infants.

SCIENCE SETTLES IT. Dandruff is Caused by a Germ That Saps the Hair's Vitality.

It is now a settled fact that dandruff is caused by a germ. Falling hair and baldness are the result of dandruff. Dr. J. C. GEE, of Chicago, has discovered the germ of the new hair preparation, Newbro's Herpicide—the only one that kills the dandruff germ. He says: "I used Herpicide for my dandruff and falling hair, and I am now satisfied with the result." Dr. J. T. Sigafoos, of Urbana, Ill., says: "I have used Herpicide for dandruff with excellent results. I shall prescribe it in my practice." Herpicide kills the dandruff germ. Physicians as well as the general public say so.

C. GEE W. THE Great Chinese Doctor. Is called great because his wonderful cures are so well known throughout the world and because so many people are thankful that they have been cured of their lives from operations. He treats all the diseases of the body with powerful Chinese herbs, roots, barks, oils and essences of plants that are entirely unknown to the people of this country, and through the use of these harmless remedies. This famous doctor knows the cause of every disease and has 500 different remedies that he has successfully used in different diseases. He guarantees to cure all the following: Rheumatism, neuralgia, nervousness, stomach, liver, kidney, female troubles, and all private diseases. Herpicide is a natural, safe, and effective cure for dandruff. Call and see him. CONSULTATION FREE. Write for a copy of the book "The Cure in 48 Hours" the same diseases without inconvenience. Sold by all druggists.

Buy and Try a Box Tonight. While you think of it, go buy and try a box of Cascarets Candy Cathartic, ideal laxative, tonight. You'll never regret it. Genuine tablets stamped C. C. C. Never sold in bulk. All druggists, too.

These tiny Capsules are superior to Balsam of Copaliba, Cubebs and Injections, and CURE IN 48 HOURS the same diseases without inconvenience. Sold by all druggists.

DAILY CITY STATISTICS.

Marriage Licenses.

Birth Returns.

Death Returns.

Real Estate Transfers.

State Concerning Supreme Court Decision in His Case.

FROM MR. MCAMANT.

PORTLAND, Oct. 16.—(To the Editor.)

I note in The Oregonian of this morning an article criticising a decision of the Supreme Court, rendered on Monday last in the case of the Rev. J. H. McAmant & Trust Company vs. Caleb A. Aylsworth. Inasmuch as this decision was rendered in one of my cases, I deem it only just to the Supreme Court to ask some questions in your columns to make your readers acquainted with the facts as shown by the record in this case.

Litigation had been pending for a number of years over the title to a tract of land in the neighborhood of Mount Tabor, and while the litigation was pending neither of the claimants to the property paid the taxes. The litigation terminated in 1898 and the property was subsequently purchased at execution sale by some clients of mine, who immediately proceeded to clean up the tax liens which stood against the property. It is but fair to say that the title to the property stood in the name of the Title Guarantee & Trust Company, that company held it in trust for the real owners, and this litigation was conducted by the company as trustee and under the instructions of the owners, and was not under the control of the Title Guarantee & Trust Company.

My clients, on acquiring title to the property, found that Aylsworth had bought in the tract for about \$80 on a tax sale, and they immediately proceeded to redeem from this tax sale, notwithstanding the fact that the assessment was void and hence never could have enforced any claim against them. They paid him not only the sum which he had paid, but the extortionate penalties provided by the statute. After making this disbursement in favor of Mr. Aylsworth, they found that in the meantime he had bought in the property again for a small sum of money, thus evincing a determination to pirate the property from its rightful owners. My clients were men who had seen much of the extortion resorted to by tax speculators and they were in haste to defend themselves from this second attempt to pirate their property. They therefore brought suit to quiet their title as against this claim. After the suit was brought and the record stated the facts, the propositions were made looking to a settlement of the matter, and a settlement was about to be made which involved the payment of the taxes on the property. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an aggregate valuation upon the same. The owners of the property, in order to get the land without any penalty, before this settlement was consummated my clients learned that Aylsworth had a third time bought in the property and was holding the same. This led to the interruption of all plans for the settlement of the matter and the case was prosecuted to a conclusion in the Supreme Court.

The Assessor in this case had lumped together four pieces of property owned by different parties and placed an