

OLDS, WORTH & COMPANY

LAST TWO DAYS OF OUR GREAT WHITE SALE

We believe most every woman in town has profited by the liberal reductions of this sale. Those who have not, should make an extra effort to improve these two remaining days. Remember, everything white in the store is RADICALLY REDUCED IN PRICE. LOWER PRICES FOR EQUAL VALUES HAVE NEVER BEEN OFFERED IN PORTLAND.

GREATEST SILK CHANGE OF THE SEASON

For one of this season's favorite waist fabrics

Flannel Silks

Only 39c yard

Today and Saturday

All the new shades—old rose, helio, navy, cadet, tan, brown and gray.

STRICTLY ALL SILK. THE USUAL PRICE \$1.00.



Sale of All Our \$12.50 and \$15.00 and \$6.50 Suits

At \$9.78 ea

Continues today. All the new styles and best colors. Not many of them, so for fullest satisfaction, come early.

A BIG SALE OF

Ladies' Fancy Hose

TOMORROW ONLY

at 29c pr

2000 pairs of modish hosiery. Richelieu rib, with black boot and fancy top, or fine all-over stripes. Cardinal, black, navy and tan hose, with white dots; light and dark tan, plain knit. Richelieu rib and lace boot patterns, and navy, with white blotched effects. All full finished, and reinforced. Our usual 50c and 60c grades.

A CONSOLATION FOR THOSE WHO WERE UNABLE TO SHARE IN LAST SATURDAY'S OFFERING.

WHAT TO GET IN LIBBEY'S CUT GLASS

Decisions should be made today and tomorrow, while ALL ARE REDUCED IN PRICE. We've the fullest variety, newest designs, and the name "LIBBEY" insures the most brilliant cuttings. DISPLAY ON THIRD FLOOR.

Headquarters for Artistic Picture Framing At Most Attractive Prices.

Lipman, Volter & Co.

Great WRAPPER SALE

AT 98c EACH. TODAY AND TOMORROW.



Six hundred fine percale wrappers, exactly like illustration, in a large variety of neat designs in many colors. Extra deep flounce ruffle.

Foulard Silk Costumes at Half Price

Elegant lace- and ribbon-trimmed newest style Foulard silk costumes, ranging in price from \$10 to \$37.50, will be placed on sale at from \$10 to \$37.50, each.

Ladies' Tailor-Made Costumes

At greatly reduced prices. \$17.50 and \$18.50 suits at \$11.50. \$20.00 and \$22.50 suits at \$12.50. \$25.00 to \$30.00 suits at \$15.00. \$35.00 to \$50.00 suits at \$17.50.

GLOVE SALE Today and Tomorrow

\$1.25 3-clasp Theodora Suede and 3-clasp Dora glove, all shades, all sizes, per pair \$1.25. \$1.75 2-clasp London quality Trefoune full P. K. Suede gloves, leading shades; per pair \$1.49.

Every Lace Curtain in the house at reduced price. All Curtain Materials at reduced prices.

Bargains in White Laces, Embroideries, Ribbons Parasols, Sheets, Pillow Cases, Shirt Waists, Suits and Skirts. All White Fabrics and Articles at reduced prices.

Last Two Days of

White Carnival

New white duck and pique hats, just received; all exclusive styles; by far the handsomest display of these popular hats we have made this season; each \$1.50, \$1.75, \$2.00, \$2.50.

Linens

Extra heavy bleached Damask Table Linen, 18 inch wide; special value at special yard. \$1.00. 1/2 Napkins to match at, special, dozen \$2.50. 36-inch Fine Quality Hemstitched Damask Tea Cloth, special, each \$1.50. 18x36 inch Hemmed Huck Towels, at, each \$1.00. 25x44-inch Extra Quality Turkish Towels, at, each \$1.50.

Muslin Underwear

Universal Brand Night Robes, unexcelled in material, workmanship, width and length, at special, each \$1.00. \$1.25, \$1.50, \$1.75, \$2.00, \$2.25. Skirts at, special, each \$1.00. Corset Covers at, special, each \$1.00. Drawers, at special, each \$1.00. Combinations at, special \$1.10, \$1.49, \$1.75.

White Wash Fabrics

1000 yards White French Batiste, real value, 18 inch wide, at special, each \$1.00. White India Lawn at, special, each \$1.00. 36 inch Pique at, yard, \$1.00. White Novelty Stripes at, yard, \$1.00. White Novelty Stripes at, yard, \$1.00. White Wash Chiffon at, special, yard \$1.00. 50c White Wash Chiffon at, special, yard \$1.00.

SUB DIVIDED THE WORK

GETTING DOWN TO DETAILS OF THE CHARTER.

Nothing Left Now for the Commission to Do Except to Frame a New Charter.

Another step was taken in the formation of the new city charter, at a meeting of the commission, last night.

The report of the committee to whom was referred the segregating of different subjects to be considered by the several committees was adopted as follows:

Your committee to whom was referred the matter of segregating the different subjects to be considered by the several committees beg leave to report as follows: First, we recommend that the committee on civil service and referred to the executive committee. We further recommend that questions covered by the following subjects be referred by the chairman to the respective committees as follows:

1. Boundaries, wards, precincts and elections—(a) boundaries of the city and of wards and of precincts; (b) time of municipal elections; (c) election of officers; (d) registration and precinct registration; (e) elections and canvass of votes.
2. Judiciary and legislative department—(a) Councilmen; (b) powers of City Council; (c) Police and City Attorney; (d) powers of Council.
3. Finance, revenue and taxation—(a) levying of taxes; (b) powers of the city; (c) custody of public funds; (d) payment of claims.
4. Executive department—(a) All elective officers; (b) salaries and bonds of officers.
5. Department of public works—(a) Streets, highways and roads; (b) sewers and drainage; (c) Harbor Commissioners; (d) contracts.
6. Public libraries and health—(a) Board of Health; (b) public libraries.
7. Police and corrections—(a) Chief of Police; (b) police force and officers; (c) suspension and removals; (d) lost or stolen property; (e) relief and pension fund.
8. Fire department—(a) Chief engineer; (b) officers, members and employees; (c) relief and pension fund.
9. Public utilities and franchises—(a) Acquisition of public utilities; (b) water, gas, electric lights and power; (c) telephone, telegraph and railroads; (d) street railways; regulation and control, rates of fare and franchises.
10. Civil service—(a) Civil Service Commission; (b) powers and duties of the Commission; (c) powers and duties of the Commission; (d) powers and duties of the Commission; (e) powers and duties of the Commission; (f) decisions upon appeals.

Respectfully submitted, H. S. ROWE, TYLER WOODWARD, H. W. FRIES.

A motion was passed that rule three of the rules of procedure be amended to read: "The chairman shall be ex-officio a member on the committee of the executive department of the Department of Public Works, and on Finance, Revenue and Taxation."

The sentiment of those present was that it would be better to wait until the different committees reported in toto, than to take any definite action at the meeting. Frederick V. Holman observed that the principal object before them was to make a charter for the City of Portland. "A perfectly good charter is impossible," he went on. "If we drew up such a charter, we would cover ourselves with glory, but I doubt if such a charter exists in this country."

J. N. Teal hoped as the work of the commission was divided into eleven different committees, that the members of the committees will take up their work at once, instead of allowing the summer to go by with little or nothing done.

Chairman Mills hoped the different committees would confer with each other at an early date, so that there would be definite recommendations to be brought up for action at the next meeting.

East Side Street Cycle Paths.

At a meeting of the Multnomah Bicycle Taxpayers' Association Wednesday evening the matter of cycle paths on East Side streets was taken up. The following committee was appointed to prepare a plan for a system of paths for that part

of the city: E. B. McFarland, Will G. Steel and L. H. Wells. The committee is expected to submit a report for the approval of the City Council on the 10th.

Suggestions from all interested in street cycle paths are invited, and may be handed to any member of the committee. They will receive consideration. The association is working to secure a complete cycle path system for both sides of the river that will be satisfactory.

WHAT TO GET IN LIBBEY'S CUT GLASS

Decisions should be made today and tomorrow, while ALL ARE REDUCED IN PRICE. We've the fullest variety, newest designs, and the name "LIBBEY" insures the most brilliant cuttings. DISPLAY ON THIRD FLOOR.

DID NOT WIN HIS SUIT.

Man Who Tried to Recover Money Alleged to Be Lost at Gambling.

The case of David P. Lang, of Roseville, to recover \$100 from August Erickson, being double the amount Lang alleged to have lost at a "twenty-one" at Erickson's, was tried before a jury yesterday in the court of Justice of the Peace Krasner.

After 10 minutes' deliberation, the jury returned a verdict for the defendant. W. P. Vaughn and C. M. Morgan represented Lang, and S. C. Spencer appeared for Erickson.

Evidence given by the plaintiff, David P. Lang, 34 years old, was that he helped his father on his farm at Roseville. Previously he had been one of the editors of a newspaper published at Montaville.

Lang testified that he had 5 cents when he and Lang started for town. The money lost was taken by the dealer. C. Thompson testified that he was manager for Erickson, and did not think that Lang lost \$100 at the tables.

A. G. Simmons testified that he was dealer at Erickson's. He remembered playing with Lang and Jaeger, but thought that Lang won money, and came out ahead. Lang could not have lost \$100, witness has been making his living by gambling for nine years.

C. Thompson testified that he was manager for Erickson, and did not think that Lang lost \$100 at the tables. A. G. Simmons testified that he was dealer at Erickson's. He remembered playing with Lang and Jaeger, but thought that Lang won money, and came out ahead. Lang could not have lost \$100, witness has been making his living by gambling for nine years.

The jurors were: Henry Albert, J. Rankin, P. McNamara, J. Mitchell, Henry Moore and H. A. Mosser.

IRWIN-DENNY MATCH.

Two Boxers of Equal Reputation Will Contest June 13.

Great interest is taken in the boxing contest which takes place between Toby Irwin, of San Francisco, and Martin Denny, of Australia, at the Exposition building, Thursday, June 13, by sporting men and ring followers throughout the Coast States, where the men are well known, but as yet no one has been found who is willing to back his opinion with odds on either man. Irwin's contests with Tommy Cox, whom he beat in 20 rounds, and Willie Cole, in 15 rounds, in San Francisco, last fall, marked him as a dangerous opponent for any lightweight in the country, and those who know him best say that Denny will need all the tricks he has learned in his 10 years in the ring to keep out of the way of this California champion.

Managers Day and Grant, of the club, have already received letters from several outside towns, asking whether seats would be sold in advance or not, and wired back that the box office opened at 8 P. M. sharp. The first preliminary will start at 8:45 P. M. sharp, and all will be given the same opportunity to get a front seat on the night of the contest.

SIX HOURS ON THE COLUMBIA

You can leave Portland on the "Chicago, Portland Special" at 9 A. M. any day, and connect with the steamer at Casco de Locks, which will get you home at 3 P. M. This trip is interesting every minute, and the scenic attractions of the Columbia River cannot be seen to better advantage. You can't afford to miss it. The best rates going, too. See ticket agent O. R. & N. Co., Third and Washington.

OPINIONS NOT WANTED

LEGAL ADVICE ABOUT TAX SALES IN JULY GOES BEGGING.

Taxpayers' League Thinks That Private Interpretations of the Law Are Not Necessary.

At a meeting of the County Judges from various parts of the state, held about six weeks ago, at which the taxpayers' league was present, the question of legal advice about tax sales in July goes begging.

Does said act authorize Sheriff to sell lands for the delinquent taxes of several previous years, other than those which the county has inclosed at tax sales, where time for redemption has not expired?

Can a county bid on land at Sheriff's sales where such lands have formerly been bid in by such county?

Judge Calkins afterwards stated that opinions had been requested from Cyrus A. Dolph, Zera Snow and J. N. Teal. Information now at hand is that these opinions will not be handed in.

It appears that Judge Calkins submitted the questions to the Taxpayers' League, and that Chairman A. L. Mills, of that body, requested opinions from the gentlemen named, and that a committee of the Taxpayers' League has since decided that the opinions are not necessary and not desired.

Mr. Teal, who is a moving spirit in the affairs of the Taxpayers' League, recently stated to an Oregonian reporter that these opinions would not be given. They would stand on the opinion given by the District Attorney. The latter is all right, so far as it goes, but it does not cover all of the ground contained in the questions propounded by the County Judges.

District Attorney Chamberlain was asked if the act came within the following section of the constitution of the state: "Every act shall embrace but one subject, and matters properly connected therewith, which subject shall be expressed in the title. But if any subject shall be embraced in an act which shall not be expressed in the title, such act shall be void only as to so much thereof as shall not be expressed in the title."

Mr. Chamberlain decided that the title of the act was within the constitutional requirements.

He was also asked whether the curative portion of the act was good. This provides that good title shall pass to purchasers at the sale, provided for in July, notwithstanding the property on the assessment roll is incorrectly described, the name of the owner not properly given, etc. The District Attorney held this part of the act to be invalid.

These were all of the points passed. He said nothing about the act enabling the county to give indefeasible title to purchasers, or regarding the important question: "Can a county bid on lands at the sale where such lands have formerly been bid in by such county?" The act referred to means that the Sheriff is to sell property heretofore bid in by the county at delinquent tax sales, to which the county has acquired title. The title provided that sale shall be to the highest bidder, and that the sale shall begin with the latest year and shall proceed backwards in inverse order, and no property shall be sold more than once. The title vested in the purchaser shall be superior to any lien other than the lien of a subsequent tax.

It has been argued in connection with this part of the act that mortgage companies and others, unless there is much opposition in the bidding, can bid in property on which there is large taxes due for much less than the amount of the taxes, and that the county would lose a very large sum on these taxes after having recently paid the expensive victory in the Supreme Court.

Judge Calkins thinks that unless all or more than the taxes due are bid, the county can protect its interest by bidding

in the property, and therefore will not lose.

Some attorneys, on the contrary, say that the county is not selling the property for taxes, but is selling property which it has heretofore purchased, and cannot be both the buyer and the seller, or the grantor and the grantee.

Judge Calkins and the County Commissioners have put a force of clerks to work following Judge Calkins' idea to bring the laws of the state into line so as to be sold (1888 to 1895 inclusive) up to date so that the county can tell at a glance the amount of taxes due against any piece of property, and bid it in at the sale if the whole sum is not bid by another.

This scheme will assist in collection of taxes from people owning much property who have neglected the payment of taxes, as it will make it easy to give them lists of what is due. As the rolls now stand it is a big job to check up a large list of property for a number of years' old taxes.

MacMaster & Birrell, mortgage agents, recently sent in long list of property on which the back taxes will amount to several thousand dollars, on which they offer to pay. The County Clerk could not go through the rolls and check it up in short of several days' work, but when the work on which the clerks are now engaged is finished he can do so very readily.

Other mortgage companies have not offered to pay any considerable sum of old taxes due by them. Whether or not they intend to wait and bid in their property at the July sale remains to be seen. Private individuals who owe old taxes or mortgage tax who do not pay up before this sale will find their property in the hands of the county.

The School Clerk has a lot of old lists on hand, including mortgage taxes which are being brought up to date preparatory to the sale of the property, and the city is doing the same thing with old rolls. The city or the school district has never bid in property for taxes as did the county and therefore cannot sell at the July sale as can the county. On property which the county has heretofore bid in and which it will sell in July on which there is also old school and city taxes due, the sale by the county will wipe out these taxes under the clause that the deed given by the Sheriff shall vest the purchaser with title superior to any lien except as can be the county.

Some persons who have purchased property in the past few years are having trouble with the mortgage tax. The abstractors paid no attention to this tax, saying it was a subsequent tax. On property which the county has heretofore bid in and which it will sell in July on which there is also old school and city taxes due, the sale by the county will wipe out these taxes under the clause that the deed given by the Sheriff shall vest the purchaser with title superior to any lien except as can be the county.

Some persons who have purchased property in the past few years are having trouble with the mortgage tax. The abstractors paid no attention to this tax, saying it was a subsequent tax. On property which the county has heretofore bid in and which it will sell in July on which there is also old school and city taxes due, the sale by the county will wipe out these taxes under the clause that the deed given by the Sheriff shall vest the purchaser with title superior to any lien except as can be the county.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Meier & Frank Co.

John S. Brown & Sons fine Table Linens at wonderfully low prices. Lace Curtains and Curtain Materials. Largest variety in town. (Third floor.) Sheets and Pillow Cases at exceptionally low prices during June "White Days."

Great Reductions on White Merchandise

June "White Days" offer the greatest opportunity of the year for purchasing anything in this mammoth establishment that's white at a great reduction from regular price. The history of our June "White Days" will be a red letter chapter in the annals of local dry goods retailing. The sales thus far have surpassed all former efforts, more than repaying us for the many days and nights of ceaseless labor in preparing the many details which had to be perfected in order to carry through successfully an event of this magnitude. Only two days in which to take advantage. Shrewd shoppers will buy all household necessities and wearing apparel that's white before Saturday night.



White Gloves and Ribbons
White Suits and Waists
Children's White Dresses
White Lawns, Piques, etc.

Sheets and Pillow Cases
Hosiery and Underwear
Boys' White Waists
White Silks & Dress Goods

Muslin Underwear
Infants' White Goods
Embroideries, Laces
Curtains and Curtain Material
Linen, Cottons

Bed Spreads
White Millinery
White Slippers
White Stationery
White Petticoats
Men's White Shirts

Men's Straw Hats
Men's White Suits
Coats and Aprons
White China
Silverware
Cut Glass, etc., etc.

Today 623d Friday Surprise Sale

1000 Pairs Shoes \$1.94

Reg. \$2.75 Value

Pair



Another great Friday Surprise Sale in Ladies' Shoes. The overstock of a prominent maker, comprising about 1000 pairs in viol kid with patent or kid tip, viol or vesting or kid top, and Russia tans. Light or heavy soles in the tan styles. Light soles in the viol kids, best too, all sizes and widths, every pair in the lot regular \$2.75 values. An opportunity to supply your Summer shoe needs at a ridiculously low price. \$1.94 the pair. Come early this morning. Better attention, quicker service.

MEIER & FRANK COMPANY.

FIGHTING FOR A MINE

OREGON KING CASE WILL TAKE SEVERAL DAYS YET.

Contention Over Validity of Staking, and as to Who Sunk the First Ten Feet of Shafting.

The Oregon King mining suit is still on trial before Judge Bellinger and a jury in the Federal Court. Just before the adjournment of court yesterday afternoon the plaintiff's side of the case was closed, and this morning the defense will commence, putting in its evidence.

The plaintiff put in nearly all day yesterday attempting to prove that the staking of the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.

T. J. Brown, one of the plaintiffs, had the mine by G. M. Wilson, under yesterday his testimony was supported by B. W. Maddox, the other plaintiff, and by B. F. Willott, a school teacher residing in Crook County, where the mine is located. Charles Allen also swore to practically the same state of facts.

Another point in contention is to whether the first ten feet of the shaft on the mine by John Knight and his associates, the plaintiff, or by Wilson and his associates, the defendant, was the placing of a monument at the east end, or point of discovery.